

Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations
Effective January 1, 2015

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code [Columbus City Code](#)

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,840,589.70

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$2,981,522.89	\$3,080,353.89	\$3,089,218.55	\$3,270,583.90	\$3,321,200.00
		4.96%	3.31%	0.29%	5.87%	1.55%	

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B10	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,840,589.70

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.:	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$2,981,522.89	\$3,080,353.89	\$3,089,218.55	\$3,270,583.90	\$3,321,200.00

PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder provided a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	If subcontractors are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	NA	YES	YES
c	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	NA	NA	NA
d	If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
e	If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA	NA	NO	NA	NA
f	Bidder stated, via affidavit , that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
g	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
BID IS:		RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,840,589.70

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.:	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$2,981,522.89	\$3,080,353.89	\$3,089,218.55	\$3,270,583.90	\$3,321,200.00

PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	Bidder did not submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	Bidder acknowledged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE PAGE, page 2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
c	Bidder complied with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	YES	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA
d	The bid contains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
e	Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
f	Bidder submitted a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
g	Bidder did not submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
h	Bid prices are materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
i	Bid contains no irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
j	Bidder submitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NA
k	Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) FORM B4 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
l	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	YES	YES	NA	YES	YES	YES
m	Bid does not contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
n	Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	NA	YES	YES	YES
o	Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,840,589.70

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$2,981,522.89	\$3,080,353.89	\$3,089,218.55	\$3,270,583.90	\$3,321,200.00

PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution.					
a	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,840,589.70	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Danbert	← Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 2,840,589.70

CIP No.	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$ 2,981,522.89	\$ 3,080,353.89	\$ 3,089,218.55	\$ 3,270,583.90	\$ 3,321,200.00

PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")					
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
e	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES	YES	NA	NA	NA	YES
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Responsibility Score:		8	8	7	7	7	8
Continue to TAB 3?		YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :	\$ 2,840,589.70

CIP No.	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$ 2,981,522.89	\$ 3,080,353.89	\$ 3,089,218.55	\$ 3,270,583.90	\$ 3,321,200.00
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder							

IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
---------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at [X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

PART IIIA:	
step 1	<p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL, STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	4.961%	8.441%	8.753%	15.137%	16.919%
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:	
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB: \$ 2,840,589.70
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB: Danbert

← Manually Enter Amount

← Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):	Danbert
Section:	Distribution	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) :	\$ 2,840,590

CIP No.	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,840,589.70	\$ 2,981,522.89	\$ 3,080,353.89	\$ 3,089,218.55	\$ 3,270,583.90	\$ 3,321,200.00
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
Apparent Low Bid:	\$ 2,840,589.70	Apparent Low Bidder:	Danbert				

PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	4.961%	8.441%	8.753%	15.137%	16.919%
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 2,840,589.70	\$ 2,961,522.89	\$ 3,060,353.89	\$ 3,069,218.55	\$ 3,250,583.90	\$ 3,301,200.00
step 7	Low Bid Amount: \$ 2,840,589.70	Low Bidder:	Danbert				

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Danbert	\$ 2,840,589.70
Project:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmore	Conie
Bid	\$ 2,840,589.70	\$ 2,981,522.89	\$ 3,080,353.89	\$ 3,089,218.55	\$ 3,270,583.90	\$ 3,321,200.00
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	4.96%	3.31%	0.29%	5.87%	1.55%	

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

NO
YES

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES
YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvant bank

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

YES

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

NO
N/A

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/>

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements
Recommended Bidder:	Danbert, Inc.
Contract Amount:	\$ 2,840,589.70

Evaluator's Name:	Missy Smith 645-3776
Evaluation Completion Date:	8/20/2015

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

<https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html>

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Danbert	\$ 2,840,589.70
Project:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Improvements	

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

<https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1>

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

<http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx>

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

<https://www.osha.gov>

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email:

prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

PROJECT:	Berrell Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	August 19, 2015
-----------------	---	------------------	------------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	

From Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100057	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.e.	Danbert	Beheler	Undergrnd Util.	Elite	Kenmoe	Conie
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,831.05	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$2,840,589.70	\$2,981,522.89	\$3,080,353.89	\$3,089,218.55	\$3,270,583.90	\$3,321,200.00
		4.96%	3.31%	0.29%	5.87%	1.55%	

Responsiveness Criteria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance		A "NO" answer will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required information					
FORM B11	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
FORM B12	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
FORM B13.1	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to show it has met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE (if "NO," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see immediately below)	yes; EDGE-certified	no	no	no	no	yes
FORM B13.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	yes	no; submitted for supplier, not subs	no; submitted for supplier, not subs	no	no; submitted for supplier, not subs	yes
FORM B13.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	yes	no	no	no	no	yes
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	no	yes	no	yes	no	n/a
FORM B14	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB 1	\$ 2,840,589.70	←	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Danbert	←	Manually Enter Information

Danbert, Inc.	Beheler Excavating	Underground Utilities	Elite Excavating	Kenmore Construction	Conie	Shelly & Sands	
						Bid amount = \$3,469,321.32	
Tab1, Part 1A-I thru o: Subcontractor Responsiveness							
State said to list JN Graham Trucking as EDGE certified contractor to meet MBW/WBE goals.						*Bid not evaluated; 16.9% higher than lowest, responsive, responsible bidder (Danbert).	
Tab 1, Part 1B: Subcontractor Responsiveness							
			b. Asphalt & concrete work do not need to be pre-qualified, so marked NA to make bid responsive. E. Elite listed 2 subs for asphalt work, for the same spec numbers.	b. Subs listed do not have to be licensed.	b. Subs listed do not have to be licensed. e. 2 subs listed for trucking but most likely to meet MBE/WBE goals. Marked "NA" to make responsive.		
Tab 1, Part 1C-c, l & n: Technical Pre-qualifications: did vendor submit experience statement for HDD w/Ductile Iron Water Pipe							
Submitted statement from Precise Boring of Ohio.	Submitted statement from Precise Boring of Ohio.	No statement submitted, did not list Precise Boring for HDD as sub so not sure who's doing HDD work. Marked "NA" because we are allowed to ask for clarification, however, they may not use Precise Boring.	c. No statement submitted, did not list Precise Boring for HDD as sub so not sure who's doing HDD work. Marked "NA" because we are allowed to ask for clarification, however, they may not use Precise Boring. I. Elite listed 2 vendors for asphalt work for same spec #'s. Marked "Yes" since we can ask for clarification. n. Marked "Yes" since we can ask for clarification on questionable items.	c. No statement submitted, did not list Precise Boring for HDD as sub so not sure who's doing HDD work. Marked "NA" because we are allowed to ask for clarification, however, they may not use Precise Boring.	c. No statement submitted but listed Precise Boring as sub so most likely using them. Marked NA to make responsive.		
Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:							
# of projects meeting costs: 6 out of 17 # of projects meeting schedules: 7 out of 7 (with dates provided)	# of projects meeting costs: # of projects meeting schedules: 2 out of 3	# of projects meeting schedules: only completion dates given, no start dates. Marked "NA".	inadequate info given for meeting schedules # of projects meeting costs: 3 out of 3	# of projects meeting costs: 2 out of 3 # of projects meeting schedules: 1 out of 3	# of projects meeting costs: 2 out of 3 # of projects meeting schedules: 3 out of 3		
Tab 4, Part IV-step 2: Environmental Preference:							
				Submitted Fleet Policy but no schedule to retrofit/retrograde current vehicles with emission-reducing technologies.			
Only submitted WBE.	Submitted figures for Rath Builders Supply (both WBE & MBE), but they are a supplier, not subcontractor.	Submitted figures for Rath Builders Supply (both WBE & MBE), but they are a supplier, not subcontractor.		Submitted figures for Rath Builders Supply (both WBE & MBE), but they are a supplier, not subcontractor.			
Tab 6, Good Faith Efforts:							

ORD# 2268-2015

Danbert, Inc.	Beheler Excavating	Underground Utilities	Elite Excavating	Kenmore Construction	Conie	Shelly & Sands
State said to list JN Graham Trucking as EDGE certified contractor to meet MBW/WBE goals.	Submitted statement.	Would not have submitted documentation because they are using Rath Builders Supply as WBE/MBE.	Submitted copy of where they advertised on Builders Exchange.	Would not have submitted documentation because they are using Rath Builders Supply as WBE/MBE.		