

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

Name	C.C. No.	/	Exp. Date	City/State	Status
AECOM	952661922		10/11/14	Chicago, IL	MAJ
Brown and Caldwell	941446346		5/2/14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Burgess & Niple, Inc.	310885550		10/4/14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
CH2M Hill	320100027		1/7/15	Dallas, TX	MAJ
EMH&T	310685594		9/22/13	New Albany, OH	MAJ
Gresham Smith	620794126		Inactive	Dublin, OH	MAJ
HDR	470680568		9/10/14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
ME/IBI Group	311442777		11/20/14	Westerville, OH	MAJ
ms consultants	346546916		4/5/14	Youngstown, OH	MAJ
Pomeroy & Associates	311568332		12/13/13	Worthington, OH	MAJ
Stantec	112167170		12/21/13	Chicago, IL	MAJ
Strand	391020418		10/31/13	Madison, WI	MAJ
URS	340939859		7/28/13	Atlanta, GA	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**
RFP

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

Strand

URS

EMH&T

Brown and Caldwell

Stantec

Burgess & Niple, Inc.

Gresham Smith

HDR

AECOM

ms consultants

ME/IBI Group

CH2M Hill

Pomeroy & Associates

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

EMH&T, Inc.

Marci Bland, P.E.

5500 New Albany Road

Columbus, OH 43054

(614) 775-4237

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

It is the objective of the City to mitigate overflows of DSR 335 to the 10-year level of service. The City will evaluate and determine if this LOS is best achieved with strictly I&I elimination with GI or in combination with some gray infrastructure.

To accomplish this objective, the original scope of work for this project included all the requisite investigation, evaluation, formulation, and design to produce fully independent construction documents for the remediation of inflow and infiltration (I/I), green infrastructure (GI) technologies and other related activities and/or documents necessary for project completion.

However, due to the investigative nature of these pilot projects, additional funds are required in order to complete the requisite preliminary design elements before completing construction plans and specifications. Thus the need for this modification.

It is anticipated that another contract modification will be required in 2015 in order to provide funds to complete the tasks associated with bidding procurement, engineering services during construction, and record planning.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

It is anticipated that the construction plans will be completed in the 4th quarter of 2015 which will allow for the project to be advertised for construction in late 2015.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

The City has embarked upon its approved Wet-Weather Management Plan (WWMP). Currently, that plan is almost entirely comprised of a series of treatment plant capacity upgrades, storage tanks, tunnels, and other piping system augmentations; collectively known as “gray” infrastructure. After approval of the WWMP, the use of more renewable, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems, commonly referred to as “green” infrastructure, has been recognized and implemented in many communities as a potential solution to many of the elements associated with consent order requirements.

It is anticipated there will be a greater impact to both economic impact and advantages by implementing a group of smaller projects which would be conducive for competition with local suppliers and vendors as opposed to the large scale, previously devised, WWMP projects.

Community and Outreach for the project will be conducted by the City, the selected consultant and the Public Outreach Consultant, Brown and Caldwell, which is currently under contract for this work.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

Modification #1: \$ 338,787.27

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The additional funds will be utilized to complete additional field investigations, alternative evaluations, formulations, and design services necessary for project plan completion.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been

anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

N/A

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Initial Contract:	\$1,220,773.21
Modification #1:	\$ 338,787.27
Modification #2:	<u>\$ 250,000.00</u>
Total	\$1,809,560.48

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The costs of Mod #1 were determined by negotiations between EMH&T and DOSD.

14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status .</u>
Resource International	310669793		5/23/14	FBE
Dynotec, Inc.	311319961		4/30/13	MBE

15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Scope of Work</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Resource International Inc.	Utility Locating; Geotechnical	\$0
Dynotec, Inc.	Survey; Plan Development; Easements	\$0

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.