

Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>Exp Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
American Structure Point	35-1127317	/	10/4/2015	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
Arcadis	57-0373224	/	7/10/2015	Columbus/Ohio	PHC
Black & Veatch	43-1833073	/	9/30/2015	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
CDM Smith	04-2473650	/	4/2/2016	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
CH2M Hill	32-0100027	/	1/7/2015	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
CHA	16-0966259	/	8/13/2015	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
GS&P	62-1736493	/	1/10/2016	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
Hazen & Sawyer	13-2904652	/	3/25/2016	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
HDR	47-0680568	/	9/10/2014	Columbus/Ohio	PHC
MS Consultants	34-6546916	/	3/7/2016	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

A modified RFP process was used. This was deemed warranted due to the specialized nature of the project and the strict pre-qualifications included in the request. Furthermore, four specific projects were in consideration under the same Proposal request process: 650870.701 (Hudson/McGuffey), 650870.702 (Oakland Park/Medina), 650870.703 (Agler/Berrell), and 650870.704 (Artane/Parkwood). This Legislation Request pertains specifically to 650870.703 (Agler/Berrell).

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1) HDR 2) Hazen & Sawyer 3) CDM-Smith 4) Gresham, Smith Partners 5) Black & Veatch 6) Arcadis 7) CH2M Hill 8) ms Consultants 9) American Structure Point 10) CHA

4. **Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.**

Negotiations w/the top 4 Offerors were sought for one of the four projects within the RFP. The successful bidder for the 650870.703 (Agler/Berrell) project was:

*CDM SMith
8800 Lyra Drive , Suite 500,
Columbus, Ohio, 43240*

*Derek Wride, P.E. (Project manager)
(614) 847-6834
wriedd@cdmsmith.com*

5. **A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.**

The scope of work for this project is to perform all the requisite investigation, evaluation, formulation and design work to produce fully independent construction documents for the for the remediation of inflow and infiltration (I/I), green infrastructure (GI) technologies and other related activities and/or documents necessary for project completion of re-purposing of Columbus Land Redevelopment Office (Land Bank), abandoned, and vacant parcels for the implementation of Green Infrastructure (GI), with the long term goal to mitigate DSR activations, water-in-basement occurrences (WIBs) and street and yard flooding.

It is further desired to mitigate overflows of incident DSRs to the 10-year level of service (LOS). For the CSO area, it is the desire of the City to mitigate overflows to the typical year LOS. This is intended to be a multi-year contract with one or two annual modifications

6. A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

The Project task end dates are as follows and includes all City reviews and approvals.

<i>Proposals out</i>	<i>12-26-13 (Actual)</i>
<i>Proposals received</i>	<i>1-31-14 (Actual)</i>
<i>Contract Initiation (NTP)</i>	<i>10-6-14</i>
<i>Detailed Design Complete.....</i>	<i>2-12-16</i>
<i>Land Acquisition Complete.....</i>	<i>1-13-17</i>
<i>Construction Start.....</i>	<i>7-23-18</i>
<i>Construction Complete.....</i>	<i>7-22-19</i>

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

The City has embarked upon its approved Wet-Weather Management Plan (WWMP). Currently, that plan is almost entirely comprised of a series of treatment plant capacity upgrades, storage tanks, tunnels, and other piping system augmentations; collectively known as “gray” infrastructure. After approval of the WWMP, the use of more renewable, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems, commonly referred to as “green” infrastructure, has been recognized and implemented in many communities as a potential solution to many of the elements associated with consent order requirements.

It is anticipated there will be a greater impact to both economic impact and advantages by implementing a group of smaller projects which would be conducive for competition with local suppliers and vendors as opposed to the large scale, previously devised, WWMP projects.

Community and Outreach for the project will be conducted by the City, the selected consultant and the Public Outreach Consultant, Brown and Caldwell, which is currently under contract for this work.

8. An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

<i>Original Contract (Preliminary Design/Field Work)</i>	<i>\$1,599,336.26</i>
<i>Modification 1 (Final Design & Engineering during Construction)</i>	<i>\$319,867.25</i>
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$1,919,203.51</i>

9. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>Exp Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
<i>CDM Smith</i>	<i>04-2473650</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>4/2/2016</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>MAJ</i>
<i>DLZ Ohio Inc</i>	<i>31-1268980</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>2/19/2015</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>MBR</i>
<i>Dynotec</i>	<i>31-1319961</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>4/30/2015</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>MBE</i>
<i>Prime A/E</i>	<i>26-0546656</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>2/28/2016</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>AS1</i>
<i>Resource Int'l</i>	<i>31-0669793</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>6/30/2015</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>FBE</i>
<i>Dreier & Maller</i>	<i>34-1681027</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>5/21/2016</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>MAJ</i>
<i>MSK2, LLC</i>	<i>45-3413259</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>10/9/2014</i>	<i>Columbus/Ohio</i>	<i>MAJ</i>

10. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Project Role</u>	<u>Contract Value</u>
<i>CDM Smith</i>	<i>Project Management/Design/HH Modeling</i>	<i>\$789,065.71</i>
<i>DLZ Ohio Inc</i>	<i>Design/Permits</i>	<i>\$230,000.00</i>
<i>Dynotec</i>	<i>Survey/Easements</i>	<i>\$75,000.00</i>
<i>Prime A/E</i>	<i>Design</i>	<i>\$100,000.00</i>
<i>Resource Int'l</i>	<i>Geotechnical Investigations/Survey</i>	<i>\$40,000.00</i>
<i>Dreier & Maller</i>	<i>CCTV/Cleaning</i>	<i>\$349,546.70</i>
<i>MKSK</i>	<i>Design</i>	<i>\$15,723.85</i>

Ord No.:

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	Status
------	--------------------	------------	--------

2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).
3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.
4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.
5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.
6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.
7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.
8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)
9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)
10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)
11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not

sufficient explanation.)

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.
13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.
14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):
15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Project Role</u>	<u>Contract Value</u>
-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11