

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
Tyler Technologies, Inc.	75-2303920 – 10/21/17	Yarmouth, ME	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**
Requests for Statements of Qualifications (RFSQ) were opened by the City Auditor’s Office on April 14, 2012.
3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**
1. Tyler Technologies, Inc.
2. CGI Group, Inc.
3. Denovo
4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, e-mail address, and original contract number for the successful bidder only.**
Tyler Technologies, Inc.
One Cole Haan Drive
Yarmouth, ME 04096
Adam Griffiths, (800) 772-2260 ext. 4555, adam.griffiths@tylertech.com
EL014509
5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.**
Original contract:
Implementation and support services related to the deployment of the new financial management system, Microsoft Dynamics AX 2012 for Public Sector (Dynamics AX).

Change Order:
To add cashiering integration between the new Dynamics AX 2012 Financial Management System and the Department of Public Utilities’s CUBS billing system. The modification will cover hardware and implementation costs.

The Community Planning area is “N/A” since the CUBS billing system is used Citywide.
6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**
Beginning date = June 25, 2013
End date = ~ June 2016
7. **A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**
The new Dynamics AX 2012 Financial Management System will replace the City’s current Performance Series system. The latest significant update to Performance was in 2008 and

the vendor has not indicated if the software will be updated, therefore, the City needs to procure a new system before Performance Series reaches the end of its product life.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

This is the Department of Public Utilities's first financial contribution.

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

To add cashiering integration between the new Dynamics AX 2012 Financial Management System and the Department of Public Utilities's CUBS billing system. The modification will cover hardware and implementation costs.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The scope of work was not part of the original contract, as the legacy financial management system did not include cashiering for the Department of Public Utilities and the desire for a new cashiering solution did not arise until after the Tyler contract was in place.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Tyler Technologies has been performing the development of this highly technical financial management system for the past two years and are intimately involved in the configuration and implementation. It would take another vendor considerable amount of time and cost to become familiarized with the intricate configuration of this part of the integration to our Customer Information Billing System (CUBS) before conceptualizing a seamless roll-out.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

This is the Department of Public Utilities's first contribution towards funding. The Division of Water is contributing \$97,774.00 towards the cashiering integration.

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The consultant prepared an estimate based on the scope of work and City staff reviewed and approved this cost estimate.

14. Subconsultant information

Subconsultant information is unknown at this time.