

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

- 1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

| <u>Name</u> | <u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u> | <u>City/State</u> | <u>Status</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Burgess & Niple, Inc. | 31-0885550 – 12/10/10 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |
| C.T. Consultants, Inc. | 01-3633425 (expired) | Columbus, Oh | ? |
| DLZ Oh, Inc. | 31-1268980 – 3/10/11 | Columbus, Oh | MBR |
| Dynotec, Inc. | 31-1319961 – 5/13/11 | Columbus, Oh | M1A |
| EMH&T, Inc. | 31-0685594 – 9/21/11 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |
| MS Consultants, Inc. | 34-6546916 – 7/10/10 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |
| Prime Engr. & Arch., Inc. | 31-1373357 – 4/8/10 | Westerville, Oh | F1 |
| Resource International, Inc. | 31-0669793 – 6/19/10 | Columbus, Oh | F1 |
| W.E. Stilson Consult. Group | 31-1702689 – 8/28/10 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |
| URS Corporation – Ohio | 34-0939859 – 8/28/11 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |
| Woolpert Constr. Ser., LLC | 20-1391406 – 6/29/11 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |
| Stantec Consult. Ser., Inc (formerly R.D. Zande) | 11-2167170 – 1/7/10 | Columbus, Oh | Majority |

- 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**

The Department undertook a successful Request for Proposal process in accordance with Section 329.12 of the Columbus City Codes in an effort to find three firms to provide an ongoing source of technical personnel to supplement existing city inspection personnel that are required to protect its customers' investment in its sanitary sewer and water infrastructures. Based upon an evaluation of the twelve proposals received for the three construction administration and inspection contracts to be awarded for the years of 2008-2010, utilizing predetermined criteria, a selection committee submitted the rankings to the Director of Public Utilities.

- 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

The Director of Public Utilities determined that DLZ Ohio, Inc., Prime Engineering & Architects, Inc., and Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. were the highest ranking firms capable of providing the required services.

- 4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

DLZ Ohio, Inc.
 6121 Huntley Road
 Columbus, Ohio 43229-1003
 Gary D. Bowen, E-mail: gbowen@dlz.com
 Telephone: (614) 888-0040
 Fax: (614) 848-6712
 EL008289

5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

The work includes furnishing professional services for Construction Administration Services during 2008-2010 on projects for the Division of Power and Water (DOPW) and the Division of Sewerage and Drainage (DOSD). The work includes full time or part time construction administration services including resident project inspection for the specific project identified. In general the consultant will respond to the contractor's requests for information, respond to inquiries regarding the interpretation of the contract documents, review contractors claims for additional services and costs, review quality or materials/equipment substitutions and provide recommendations to the City, coordinate project submittals, schedule and chair progress meetings, and other services as directed by the City.

The original contract included services for the Livingston Avenue 24" Water Main, the 2008 Annual Lining Contract, and Franklin Main Interceptor, Section 8, Phase II Project.

Modification 1 included services for the Beulah Road Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation Project.

Modification 2 included services for the General Construction Contract, the 2009 Annual Lining Contract, and the Floodwall Concrete Repair Project.

Modification 3 included services for the Stanton Area Sanitary Improvements Project.

Modification 4 included services for the Livingston/Parsons Sewer Rehabilitation Project, the Main Street Water Line Cleaning and Lining Project, and the Brown Road Area Water Line Improvements Project.

Modification 5 will include services for the Long Street Water Line Cleaning and Lining Project.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The original contract was for a duration of three years beginning in 2008 and ending in 2010. The specific duration of services on the Long Street Water Line Cleaning and Lining Project will be 270 days.

7. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

- Modification No. 1, EL009167, in the amount of \$257,019.84, provided services for the Beulah Road Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation Project.
- Modification No. 2, EL009541, in the amount of \$405,000.00 provided services for the General Construction Contract, the 2009 Annual Lining Contract, and the Floodwall Concrete Repair Project.
- Modification No. 3, EL009759, in the amount of \$26,869.35, provided services for the Stanton Area Sanitary Improvements Project.
- Modification No. 4, currently in process, in the amount of \$917,800.00, provided services for the Livingston/Parsons Sewer Rehabilitation Project, the Main Street Water Line Cleaning and Lining Project, and the Brown Road Area Water Line Improvements Project.

8. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

The same work identified in section 5 above.

9. **If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**

The modification was anticipated and explained in the original legislation.

10. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

The original contract selected three firms to provide construction administration services for projects in 2008, 2009, and 2010.

11. **A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.**

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Original Contract Amount: | \$ 925,000.00 |
| Modification 1 | \$ 257,019.84 |
| Modification 2 | \$ 405,000.00 |
| Modification 3 | \$ 26,869.35 |
| Modification 4 | \$ 917,800.00 |
| Modification 5 | \$ 215,916.17 |
| Total (Orig. + Mods 1 - 5) | \$2,747,605.36 |

12. **An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.**

A cost proposal was provided by Prime Engineering and Architecture, Inc. and reviewed by the Division of Power and Water and was deemed acceptable.