

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

- 1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
DLZ Ohio, Inc.	31-1268980-3/10/11	Columbus, OH	MBR
ms consultants	34-6546916-5/27/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
R.D. Zande & Assoc. (now Stantec)	11-2167170-12/17/10	Columbus, OH	MAJ

- 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**
Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were received January, 22, 2001.
- 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.**
DLZ Ohio, Inc. ranked highest followed by ms consultants and R.D. Zande & Associates.
- 4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**
DLZ Ohio, Inc
6121 Huntley Rd.
Columbus, OH 43229-1003
David Day (614)848-4141
EA025197-002

- 5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**
Design of a raw water line (RWL) to connect the new South Wellfield to the Parsons Avenue Water Plant (original contract Ord# 1964-2001, EA025197-002). The original contract assumed the waterline to be installed in right-of-way (outside pavement) of S.R. 23; ODOT changed policy after original alignment was designed disallowing longitudinal occupation of right-of-way. Modification No. 1 allowed for redesign to place the RWL outside of right-of-way requiring easements.

This current modification is to perform geotechnical services to determine pipe bedding requirements, any potential groundwater issues, and to evaluate corrosivity and the potential need for corrosion protection in the event steel pipe is installed. This additional geotechnical work will require additional survey work to locate the soil borings. Additional coordination activities are required with ODOT due to new requirements and coordination with upcoming interchange improvements in project area. The original contract was anticipated to be completed in 2004, at time of Modification No. 1 project was anticipated to be completed in 2007. Current schedule anticipates project to be bid for construction in 2012. Wage rate escalation and additional coordination meetings required due to project schedule delays.

- 6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**
We anticipate start of Land Acquisition services in first quarter 2011; anticipate advertising project for bid in last quarter 2012; construction complete in 2013.

7. **A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**

Modification No. 1 (1848-2005, EL005785, \$295,499.94) allowed for redesign to place the RWL outside of right-of-way which required preparation of easement documents. This contract modification also allowed for additional site survey, telemetry design and services during construction.

8. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

Current modification is to perform geotechnical services to determine pipe bedding requirements, any potential groundwater issues, and to evaluate corrosivity and the potential need for corrosion protection in the event steel pipe is installed. This additional geotechnical work will require additional survey work to locate the soil borings. Additional coordination activities are required with ODOT due to new requirements and coordination with upcoming interchange improvements in project area. Original contract was anticipated to be completed in 2004, at time of Modification No. 1 project was anticipated to be completed in 2007. Current schedule anticipates project to be bid for construction in 2012. Wage rate escalation and additional coordination meetings required due to project schedule delays.

9. **If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**

At the time of the original contract and the first contract modification City specifications did not allow for utilization of steel pipe as an approved material. It was also the philosophy at that time that soil boring investigation was not required for water line installations. City specifications will soon allow for steel pipe to be bid as an equal product to PCCP, requiring geotechnical investigation for corrosivity and possible corrosion protection design. ODOT has introduced new requirements for environmental reports to be completed when a utility will occupy ODOT right-of-way which will require additional engineering services to complete these investigative reports. The project has been delayed which has cost implications for rate increases and additional coordination meetings.

10. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

The current consultant is familiar with the project and has completed all the work to date on the plans as well as attended all the coordination meetings with ODOT. Bidding the work to another consultant will further delay the project and will probably result in higher costs due to bringing the new consultant up to speed on the project.

11. **A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.**

CONTRACT AMOUNT:

Original contract amount	\$460,284.00
Modification No.1	\$295,499.94
Modification No. 2	\$150,838.93
New contract amount	\$906,622.87

12. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The consultant prepared a detailed estimate of cost per task for remaining scope of work, broken down by project phase. The consultant also prepared a cost for all work that was performed outside the original scope of work. City Project management staff reviewed and approved these cost summaries.

13. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
N/A		

14. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

N/A