

Cofield, Alex A.

From: Kelsey, Mark
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 9:13 AM
To: Cofield, Alex A.
Cc: Wayton, Daniel J.; Richard, Mark A.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Ludwig, Rachel D.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject: RE: RECOMMENDATION: Intersection Improvements - Georgesville Road to Holt Road

I approve of the recommended consultant for selection

From: Cofield, Alex A.
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 8:03 AM
To: Kelsey, Mark
Cc: Wayton, Daniel J.; Richard, Mark A.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Ludwig, Rachel D.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject: RECOMMENDATION: Intersection Improvements - Georgesville Road to Holt Road

To: Mark Kelsey, Director
Department of Public Service

From: Alex Cofield, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: March 25, 2013

Subject: Intersection Improvements – Georgesville Road to Holt Road
CIP No. 530086-10020
FRA-GEORGESVILLE/HOLT (PID Number 94913)

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the Intersection Improvements – Georgesville Road to Holt Road project. The project shall be funded by City and ODOT funds.

The primary purpose of the project is to improve safety at the Georgesville Road at Holt Road and Georgesville Square North at Holt Road intersections. The project will improve capacity of the intersections and the Holt Road corridor and will address deficiencies in the pedestrian and bikeway system.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from February 21, 2013, to March 14, 2013. The city received three (3) responses. All proposals were deemed responsive and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on March 22, 2013. The responding firms were:

CONSULTANT/ Subconsultant	BUSINESS ENTERPRISE STATUS	CONTRACT COMPLIANCE NO.	ACTIVE	% OF WORK	% MAJORITY % OTHER
------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------	--------------	--------------------------

WE Stilson	Majority	311702689	yes	64%	86% Maj 14% Other
DHDC	ASN	320376762	yes	14%	
TranSystems	PHC	430839725	yes	22%	
ADR & ASSOC.	Majority	311499809	yes	50%	50% Maj 50% Other
Smart Services, Inc.	?	311456994	no	8%	
Columbus Engineering Consultants, Inc.	ASN	310716498	yes	20%	
CTL Engineering	ASN	310680767	yes	5%	
Lawhon & Assoc.	FBE	311153141	yes	6%	
Advanced Engineering	ASN	311612308	yes	4%	
Traffic Engineering Services, Inc.	Majority	311178383	yes	7%	
Stantec	Majority	112167170	yes	80%	80% Maj 20% other
Resource International	FBE	310669793	yes	15%	
ASC Group	FBE	311167506	Yes	5%	

The RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Jennifer Gallagher, Patti Austin, and Randall Bowman. Non-voting members, but attendees, included Alex Cofield. A representative from EBOCO was invited to attend, but declined.

W.E. Stilson received 76.00 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 90). The next closest firm was Stantec with 72.00 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee’s assessment of the three evaluated proposals for your final selection.

W.E. Stilson 76.00
 Stantec 72.00
 ADR & Assoc. 69.33

The Committee would like to submit W.E. Stilson for the Director’s review and recommendation. The Committee felt that W.E. Stilson submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

1. W.E. Stilson did the preliminary engineering study and DPS staff provided leadership positive feedback on the outcome
2. W.E. Stilson provided the best public information approach and understanding
3. W.E. Stilson provided strong examples of intersection design experience
4. W.E. Stilson has a history of designing complex intersections
5. W.E. Stilson highlighted similar project experience

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

1. Approve of the committee's recommendation, W.E. Stilson
2. Reject the committee's recommendations and designate a firm
3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scoring firms
4. Other