	Department of Summary			
Division: Director's Office				
			Date of Notice	
Project:	Estimated Cost:		To City Council:  RFSQ Due Date:	
Dept.Env. Mg. System Project	to be negotiated		Date of Report:	
. , , ,				
Committee's Ranking of Technical P	<u>roposals</u>			
Offeror:				Total Points:
Gresham, Smith, & Partners				251.5
Malcolm Pirnie				228.5
Committee Members	<b>.</b>			
Name:		ification:		
Dan J. Hanket		ant Director		
Steve Salay	Assista	ant Administrate	or, DOSD	
Joyce Bushman	Assista	ant Division Adr	ministrator, Power	
Offerors NotScored Offeror:	Total	Points:	Explain:	
	iotari	FUIIIIS.	Expiaiii.	
August Mack	propos work a will out enviror require submit	sal. It failed to a ilready complete tsource all com nmental and he ements, comple	pliance by having the alth and safety record ting on-site inspection I of these proposals a	onmental compliance It assumes that the City consultant implement all lkeeping and reporting is, and preparing and

		Evaluation of Proposal
		A. Project Approach A.1. Presentation well-structured, clear and succinct
		Offeror: Gresham Smith and Partners  Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Possible 10	Avg. pts. Received 9.5	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided  Well structured, detailed yet succinct. Clearly identified steps for commencement of project,
		notably brought in city management beyond this department in planning the EMS, planned and provided support for procedure development and training. Well though out plan for assignment of staff and associated hours to complete each task.
		Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Possible 10	Received 7.3	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided  While clearly MP has a thorough understanding of EMS principles, its proposal on conducting workshops between the selected pilot facilities and the rest of the department was not clear. Proposal not clear on how DPU staff will implement the remaining EMS work after completion of EMS' for pilot project facilities. Major responsibility under this proposal will fall back on the department that may still need much hands-on assistance, even after much training.
		Offeror: August Mack Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Possible 10	Avg. pts. Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

		Evaluation of Proposal  A.2 Project Schedule is realistic and meets department needs
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	Offeror: Gresham, Smith and Partners  Proposed Subcontractors:  Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
Possible Received 10 9.7		Proposal sets out the necessary steps to develop the EMS and provides sufficient consultant support each step of the way. Importantly, the proposal includes all facilities in the effort from the beginning which recognizes department-wide approach the Department has already adopted in meeting its environmental challenges. The proposal emphasizes the need for grass roots work and interdepartmental cooperation to achieve the EMS. Project hours appear realistic and budgeted hours for each staff for each task is clear.
		Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Possible	Avg. pts. <u>Received</u>	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10	7.3	While the EMS steps are addressed, the proposal relies heavily on workshops to drive the EMS preparation process. The proposal seeks to select only two facilities to commence the EMS preparation which overlooks the fact that many of the necessary procedures and training for the 2 facilities will of necessity have to involve the remaining units that will be directly affected by the pilot EMS. The length of the workshops (2-3 days) and the frequency of the workshops will overly burden staff. Concern that dropping back the EMS effort to two facilities will result in the loss of momentum the department has hopefully achieved to date in implementing EMS principles. There appear to be too few hours to accomplish the tasks at hand - the budgeted hours are not as clear.
		Offeror: August Mack
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	Proposed Subcontractors:
Possible	Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10		

## **Evaluation of Proposals**

A.Proposal Quality
A.3. Project approach leads to product which assures environmental compliance while

m	inimizing a	diverse environmental impacts through implementation of an EMS
		Offeror: Gresham, Smith and Partners
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	
Possible	Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
30	28.6	Strong support provided to complete the process of identifying environmental
,		aspects at the faciltiies and developing written procedures; carefully thought
		out plan in man hours and staff to achieve each of the EMS milestones; builds
		on the environmental team leader structure and environmental management
		plans already in place; provides for situations where hands-on training and
		guidance are needed on an issue by issue basis such as SPCC compliance.
		Notably consultant was the only one that used recyclable paper in its bid
		proposal evidencing its attention to sustainability issues.
		Free commences of the succession of the successi
		Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts.	Ava. pts.	
Possible	• .	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
30	26.3	Project structure covers EMS requirements; however, proposal not as strong in
		that approach omits one enterprise fund - power or water - in the pilot project
		phase; there is an overreliance on workshops, there is a top down approach
		which first focuses on the EMS manual preparation and then trains staff on the
		elements for implementation.
		Offeror: August Mack
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts.	Ava. pts.	
Possible	• .	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
30		
		1

## Evaluation of Proposals B. Project team experience B.1. Education and training fits the project's needs

		Offeror: Gresham, Smith and Partners
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	
Possible		Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10	10	Satisfactory
		- Cameracion,
		Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	
<u>Possible</u>	Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10	10	Satisfactory
<del>.</del>		
		Offeror: August Mack
		Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.	
<u>Possible</u>	Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10		

Evaluation of Proposals  B.2 Experience on similar projects completed in past 3 years for this Department, other City departments, or other government and business entities
Offeror: Gresham Smith and Partners
Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.
Possible Received Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
Two staff have impressive experience in EMS preparation - one who finished up an EMS for the City of Dallas. Audit staff strong in composition.

	Offeren Melecles Bireis
	Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie
	Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.	
Possible Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10 8	While two team leaders have extensive EMS experience one with assisting a
	major utility, most experience in the private sector. Audit team includes
	lawyer/engineer of subcontractor which may not be as helpful or needed for this
	particular project based on current staffing of the Regulatory Compliance
	Section.
	'
	Offeror: August Mack
	Proposed Subcontractors:
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.	
	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided
10	

Evaluation of Proposals						
B. Project Team Experience						
B.3 Team assignments represent the best mix of talent for the assigned task						
	Officer of Occasions Occide and Boots and					
	Offeror: Gresham Smith and Partners					
	Proposed Subcontractors:					
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.	N . O					
	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided					
5 5	Staff well selected and properly assigned to the tasks at hand. Former Dallas					
	EMS lead now on consultant staff as one two EMS advisors.					
	Officer Malacha Plants					
	Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie					
	Proposed Subcontractors:					
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.	N . O					
	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided					
5 4.7	Strong EMS trainers selected for the workshops but support team for auditing					
	not as well staffed and experienced as Gresham. Hours appear to be					
	underestimated for the tasks at hand. Project manager appears to be less					
	involved in project than expected for the level of effort.					
	Officer and Account March					
	Offeror: August Mack					
Man Huta Ann	Proposed Subcontractors:					
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.	Maior Companyor Fundametics of Deinte Branidad					
	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided					
5						

Evaluation of Proposals C. Project team workload							
C.1. Proposal demonstrates availability of project team to complete project expeditiously							
		Offeror: Gresham Smith and Partners					
		Proposed Subcontractors:					
Max. # pts.	Avg. pts.						
<u>Possible</u>	Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided					
5	5	Satisfactory					
		Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie					
		Proposed Subcontractors:					
Max. # pts.							
		Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided					
Possible 5	Received 5	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided Satisfactory					
		Satisfactory					
		Satisfactory  Offeror: AugustMack					
5	5	Satisfactory					
5 Max. # pts.	5 Avg. pts.	Satisfactory  Offeror: AugustMack Proposed Subcontractors:					
5 Max. # pts. Possible	5 Avg. pts.	Satisfactory  Offeror: AugustMack					
5 Max. # pts.	5 Avg. pts.	Satisfactory  Offeror: AugustMack Proposed Subcontractors:					
5 Max. # pts. Possible	5 Avg. pts.	Satisfactory  Offeror: AugustMack Proposed Subcontractors:					
5 Max. # pts. Possible	5 Avg. pts.	Satisfactory  Offeror: AugustMack Proposed Subcontractors:					

	Evaluation of Technical Proposal				
	Local Work force - 0 to 20 points				
Offeror:	Gresham Smith and Partners				
Proposed Local Subcontractor:	Total Compliance; Sander Group Environmental	-			
Describe other considerations, of	concerns about workforce:				
Offeror:	Malcolm Pirnie	-			
Proposed Local Subcontractor:	Resource International, Stone Environmental	_			
Describe other considerations, o	concerns about workforce:				
Offeror:	August Mack				
Proposed Local Subcontractor:					
Describe other considerations, concerns about workforce:					

C.	. PR	₹0,	JECT	TEAN	M WC	RKLO	DAD	5	D. L	ocal Workforce [select o	only one]	20	
								E.1. 90% in Columbus	E.2. 75% in Columbus	E.3. 90% inside Franklin County	E.4. 50% in Columbus		
C.1. Proposal demonstrates the availability of the project team to complete the project expeditiously					project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is	Totals							
				5.0					20.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	
SS	SS JB DH					[Assigned by g	roup/per document]						
													0.0
5.0	5.0	)	5.0							251.5			
5.0	5.0	)	5.0						20.0				228.5

Evaluation of Proposal  A.2 Project Schedule is realistic and meets department needs						
Max. # pts. Avg. pts. Possible Received 10 9.7	Offeror: Gresham, Smith and Partners  Proposed Subcontractors:  Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided  Proposal sets out the necessary steps to develop the EMS and provides sufficient consultant support each step of the way. Importantly, the proposal includes all facilities in the effort from the beginning which recognizes department-wide approach the Department has already adopted in meeting its environmental challenges. The proposal emphasizes the need for					
Max. # pts. Avg. pts. Possible Received 7.3	Offeror: Malcolm Pirnie  Proposed Subcontractors:  Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided  While the EMS steps are addressed, the proposal relies heavily on workshops to drive the EMS preparation process. The proposal seeks to select only two facilities to commence the EMS preparation which overlooks the fact that many of the necessary procedures and training for the 2 facilities will of necessity have to involve the remaining units that will be directly affected by the pilot EMS. The length of the workshops (2-3 days), the frequency of the workshops, and the over-reliance on in-house resources will overly burden staff.					
Max. # pts. Avg. pts. Possible Received 10	Offeror: August Mack Proposed Subcontractors:  Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided					