Steege, Jerry K.

From: Kelsey, Mark

Sent: Tuesday, December 28, 2010 9:32 AM

To: Cofield, Alex A.

Cc: Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Blackford, Megan D.; Bowman, Randall; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.;

Figley, Russ U.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; McGuiness, Rory O.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Poindexter, Kaelyn C.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Rundio, Ted; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Zahran, Hassan

Y.

Subject: Re: RECOMMENDATION: Bikeway Development- Professional Services

I agree with the recommendation to award this contract to Stantec Mark Kelsey sent from my blackberry

From: Cofield, Alex A. **To**: Kelsey, Mark

Cc: Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Blackford, Megan D.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; McGuiness, Rory O.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Poindexter, Kaelyn C.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Rundio, Ted; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Zahran, Hassan Y.

Sent: Mon Dec 27 13:08:25 2010

Subject: RECOMMENDATION: Bikeway Development- Professional Services

To: Mark Kelsey, Director, The Department of Public Service

From: Alex Cofield, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: December 27, 2010

Subject: Bikeway Development- Professional Services

Cc: Evaluation Committee

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the Bikeway Development- Professional Services. The budget is \$310,000; amount of the contract shall be \$310,000 funded from 2010 bond funds.

The intent of this project is to provide the City of Columbus, Division of Mobility Options, additional resources to determine capital improvement priorities by the production of a preliminary engineering report including preliminary engineering analysis, traffic engineering analysis, conceptual designs, preliminary alignments, traffic and parking analyses, preliminary cost estimates, preliminary environmental analysis, as well as determinations of project feasibility and constructability.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from November 3, 2010, to December 3, 2010. The city received nine (9) responses. All proposals were deemed minimally compliant and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on December 22, 2010. The responding firms were:

```
Subcontractors Business Enterprise Status
                                                       Prime Contractor
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
                                            112167170
                                                             80.00% 89.00% MAJ 11.00% OTH
                                 Majority
   Alta Planning & Design Majority
                                                  9.00%
                                  680465555
    Engage Public Affairs FBE 201593274
                                           11.00%
                                      V
TranSystems
                Publicly Held 430839725
                                             100.00% 100.00% OTH
ME Companies
                  Majority
                                             90.00% 90.00% MAJ 10.00% OTH
                             311442777
                                         У
   ASC Group, Inc. FBE 311167506
                                      10.00%
                                  V
Resource International, Inc.
                            FBE 310669793
                                                  53.00% 40.00% MAJ 60.00% OTH
    Burgess & Niple Majority
                            310885550
                                            35.00%
                                        У
                                  680465555
   Alta Planning & Design Majority
                                              ٧
                                                  5.00%
    Engage Public affairs FBE 201593274
                                           5.00%
    Hardlines Designs
                     FBE 311688928
                                           2.00%
DLZ Ohio, Inc.
                MBE 311268980
                                       60.00% 100.00% OTH
    Dynotec MBE 311319961
                                 30.00%
                             ٧
              042230445
                             8.00%
    Sasaki ?
                         n
    Engage Public affairs FBE 201593274
                                       У
                                           2.00%
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
                                 251228638
                      Majority
                                            Υ
                                                  62.00% 70.00% MAJ 30.00% OTH
    Barr & Prevost MBE 311347309
                                      25.00%
   Alta Planning & Design Majority
                                  680465555
                                                  3.00%
                                              ٧
    MSI Majority
                    311310090
                                     5.00%
                                n
    Engage Public Affairs FBE 201593274
                                           5.00%
EMH&T
           Majority
                      310685594
                                       58.00% 58.00% MAJ 42.00 OTH
    Resource International, Inc. FBE 310669793
                                                 10.00%
    DHDC MBE 311227692
                             ٧
                                10.00%
    Ribway Engineering MBE 311406579
                                             20.00%
```

Cannel Graphics MBE 201762210 y 2.00%

Columbus Engineering Consultants, Inc. Majority 310716498 y 65.00% 90.00% MAJ 10.00% OTH

W.E. Stilson Consulting Group, LLC Majority 311702689 y 25.00%

ASC Group, Inc. FBE 311167506 y 5.00%

CTL Engineering, Inc. MBE 310680767 y 5.00%

RW Armstrong and Associates Publicly Held 351062227 y 90.00% 100.00% OTH

Lawhon & Associates FBE 311153141 y 10.00%

This RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Megan Blackford, Patti Austin, and Randall Bowman – a representative from EBOCO was invited to attend, but declined, and Max Bauman.

Stantec received 92 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100). The next closest firm was TranSystems with 89 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee's assessment of the nine evaluated proposals for your final selection.

Stantec 92

TranSystems 89

M-E Companies 81

Resource Int., Inc. 81

DLZ 80

EMH&T 78

Michael Baker 78

CEC 78

R. W. Armstrong 74

The Committee would like to submit two consultants for the Director's review and recommendation. The Committee felt that Stantec and TranSystem submitted the strongest overall proposals for the following reasons:

Stantec

- 1. Stantec has demonstrated relevant experience in regards to the development of bikeway projects on roadways as opposed to trails and jogging paths.
- 2. Stantec's project manager has more relevant experience in bikeway projects.
- 3. Stantec's design team has a good performance record in previous bikeway projects.
- 4. Overall, Stantec has demonstrated a good understanding of the project by referencing the Federal funding that will be used and the appropriate ODOT plan development process that needs to be employed to utilize that funding.
- 5. Stantec has recent relevant experience in retrofit bikeway design from the Weinland Park Community Plan project.

TranSystem

- 1. TranSystem has demonstrated relevant experience in regards to the development of bikeway projects through previous design projects for the City.
- 2. TranSystem has demonstrated the experience gained in designing traffic infrastructure projects such as the Hilltop Mobility Plan, where parking analysis disclosed the biggest issue in the functionality and feasibility of the complete street improvements.
- 3. TranSystem has demonstrated a thorough understanding of the scope through the level of detail that has been offered in their approach to analysis of the various tasks and the deliverables that are required of this project.

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

- 1. Approve one of the committee's recommendations (Stantec or TranSystem)
- 2. Reject the committee's recommendations and designate a firm

.

3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scor	ring fi	scori	highest s	three	of the	presentation	oral	r an	Call for	3.
--	---------	-------	-----------	-------	--------	--------------	------	------	----------	----

4. Other

Alex Cofield

Capital Fiscal Manager

Office of Support Services

Department of Public Service

City of Columbus

109 N. Front St. - Ground Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

p - (614) 645-1557

f - (614) 645-7549

aacofield@columbus.gov



please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to do so.