

Cofield, Alex A.

From: Kelsey, Mark
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2013 4:44 PM
To: Cofield, Alex A.
Cc: Crawford, Thomas L.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A.; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Palmer, Tierra L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject: RE: RECOMMENDATION: Pedestrian Safety Improvements - COTA Sidewalks Phase 2

I approve of the recommended consultant for selection

From: Cofield, Alex A.
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2013 10:21 AM
To: Kelsey, Mark
Cc: Crawford, Thomas L.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A.; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Kelsey, Mark; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Palmer, Tierra L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject: RECOMMENDATION: Pedestrian Safety Improvements - COTA Sidewalks Phase 2

To: Mark Kelsey, Director
Department of Public Service

From: Alex Cofield, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: May 23, 2013

Subject: Pedestrian Safety Improvements – COTA Sidewalks Phase 2

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the Pedestrian Safety Improvements – COTA Sidewalks Phase 2 contract. The contract shall be funded from DPS' 2013 CIB.

This project includes preliminary and final engineering for improvements to install sidewalk curb ramps at three locations: Kenny Road from Upper Arlington corporation line to Francisco Road, Dierker Road from Henderson Road to Bethel Road, and Campus View Boulevard between High Street and Huntington Park Drive and Huntington Park Drive between Campus View Boulevard and the COTA Park and Ride. The project will include ROW acquisition and improvements necessary to accommodate drainage, if needed. The selected consultant will prepare final construction drawings for the improvements.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from April 26, 2013, to May 16, 2013. The city received five (5) responses. All proposals were deemed responsive and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on May 22, 2013. The responding firms were:

CONSULTANT Sub-consultant	Business Enterprise Status	Contract Compliance Number	Active	% OF WORK	% MAJ. % OTH.
------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------	-----------	------------------

PATRICK ENGINEERING, INC.	MAJ	36-3008281	Y	45%	70% MAJ 30% OTH
Stantec Consulting Services	MAJ	11-2167170	Y	25%	
Columbus Engineering (CEC)/Utilocate	ASN	31-0716498	Y	30%	
ADR & ASSOCIATES, LTD.	MAJ	31-1499809	Y	60%	65% MAJ 35% OTH
Smart Services, Inc.	FBE	31-1456994	Y	25%	
Columbus Engineering (CEC)/Utilocate	ASN	31-0716498	Y	5%	
Traffic Engineering Services	MAJ	31-1178383	Y	5%	
Lawhon & Associates, Inc.	FBE	31-1153141	Y	5%	
DYNOTECH, INC.	AFM	31-1319961	Y	65%	65% OTH 35% MAJ
EMHT	MAJ	31-0685594	Y	30%	
KCI Associates	MAJ	In Process		5%	
E.P. FERRIS & ASSOCIATES, INC.	MAJ	31-1194974	Y	80%	85% MAJ 15% OTH
ASC Group	FBE	31-1167506	Y	10%	
Resource International, Inc.	FBE	31-0669793	Y	4%	
Lawhon & Associates, Inc.	FBE	31-1153141	Y	1%	
Heritage Land Services, Inc.	MAJ	31-1442778	Y	Estimated \$3000/parcel	
JONES-STUCKEY LTD., INC.	MAJ	31-0723296	Y	72%	80% MAJ 20% OTH
W.E. Stilson Consulting Group	MAJ	31-1702689	Y	8%	
Lawhon & Associates, Inc.	FBE	31-1153141	Y	5%	
Columbus Engineering (CEC)/Utilocate	ASN	31-0716498	Y	15%	

This RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Jennifer Gallagher, Randall Bowman, and Hassan Zahran. Non-voting members, but attendees, included Tierra Palmer and Tom Crawford. Duane Parks, a representative from EBOCO, also attended.

Patrick Engineering received 86.67 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100). The next closest firm was ADR & Associates with 84.67 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee’s assessment of the four evaluated proposals for your final selection.

Patrick Engineering	86.67
ADR & Associates	84.67
Jones-Stuckey	81.00
E.P. Ferris & Associates	79.00
Dynotec	78.67

The Committee would like to submit Patrick Engineering for the Director’s review and recommendation. The Committee felt that Patrick Engineering submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

1. As a new firm, Patrick Engineering made a wise decision relying on veteran firms to perform some services.
2. Their project manager has experience and a proven track record with federal aid projects.
3. Patrick Engineering sold the skillset of the project manager on public involvement.
4. Patrick Engineering provided a very good and detailed analysis of the project understanding.

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

1. Approve of the committee’s recommendation, Patrick Engineering, Inc.
2. Reject the committee’s recommendations and designate a firm
3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scoring firms
4. Other