

Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	Status
MS Consultants	34-6546916/3/7/2016	Columbus	MAJ
Chester Engineers	20-2401674/6/30/2016	Columbus	MBE
CH2M Hill	32-0100027/12/2/2016	Columbus	MAJ
Arcadis	57-0373224/5/14/2017	Columbus	MAJ
OHM	38-1691323/2/16/2016	Columbus	MAJ
DLZ	31-1268980/2/28/2017	Columbus	MBE
CHA	16-0966259/6/17/2017	Columbus	MAJ
EMH&T	31-0685594/9/23/2017	Columbus	MAJ
Black & Veatch	43-1833073/9/22/2017	Columbus	MAJ
Ribway	31-1406579/5/13/2016	Columbus	MBE

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**

RFP

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Score</u>
ms Consultants	86
Chester Engineers	84
CH2M Hill	82
Arcadis	80
OHM	78
DLZ	76
CHA	74
EMH&T	73
Black & Veatch	71
Ribway*	0

*This proposal was deemed unresponsive

4. **Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.**

Arcadis, U.S., Inc.
 Mr. William Landshof, PE
 100 East Campus View Blvd.
 Columbus, OH 43235
 614-985-9261

5. **A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.**

It is the objective of the City to mitigate overflows of DSRs throughout the City's system to the 10-year level of service. The City will evaluate and determine if this LOS is best achieved with strictly I&I elimination with GI or in combination with some gray infrastructure.

To accomplish this objective, the scope of work for this project will include all the requisite investigation, evaluation, formulation, and design to produce fully independent construction documents for the remediation of inflow and infiltration (I/I), green infrastructure (GI) technologies and other related activities and/or documents necessary for project completion.

It should be noted that this project, and all current and past Blueprint Columbus projects, are heavily "exploratory" in nature. The processes that we are employing are constantly in review and revision. Thus, a significant amount of general and specific scope revision is common. A perfect example of this is the need for lateral CCTV work (Task A3). After receipt of the proposals for this round of Blueprint Columbus, past Blueprint projects deemed that lateral inspection and field location data was of little value for cost savings. However, no projects containing this feature have been constructed. So, this not considered a final decision and is under review. So, all associated costs for this portion of Task A3 for this project have not been removed; but changed to an "If Authorized" status.

Blueprint Miller Kelton – Newton/Bedford: This project will provide consultation services for the remediation of I/I in the area as requested by the City. It will also conduct field investigations, model and clean all DOSD-owned storm water system facilities in the area, and devise, plan, and design green infrastructure facilities to accommodate storm water removed from the sanitary system by the I/I remediation efforts.

This project will look at the combination of gray/green solutions to remove/reroute inflow/infiltration from the sanitary sewer to relieve WIBs and DSRs in the Miller Kelton – Bedford/Newton boundary area up to the 10-year level of service.

6. A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

It is anticipated that a Notice to Proceed will be issued in October 2015, Detailed Design is projected to be completed by June 2017, land acquisition is projected to be completed June 2019, Construction is projected to commence November 2019 and with a projected completion by November of 2021

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

The City has embarked upon its approved Wet-Weather Management Plan (WWMP). Currently, that plan is almost entirely comprised of a series of treatment plant capacity upgrades, storage tanks, tunnels, and other piping system augmentations; collectively known as "gray" infrastructure. After approval of the WWMP, the use of more renewable, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems, commonly referred to as "green" infrastructure, has been recognized and implemented in many communities as a potential solution to many of the elements associated with consent order requirements.

It is anticipated there will be a greater impact to both economic impact and advantages by implementing a group of smaller projects which would be conducive for competition with local suppliers and vendors as opposed to the large scale, previously devised, WWMP projects.

Community and Outreach for the project will be conducted by the City, the selected consultant and the Public Outreach Consultant, Brown and Caldwell, which is currently under contract for this work.

8. An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

Initial Contract:	\$999,911.92
Modification 1:	\$250,000.00
Total:	\$1,249,911.92

Modification 1 is an estimated cost to prepare bid documents and provide construction engineering

9. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

Name:	C.C. No.	Exp. Date	Status
Brown and Caldwell	94-1446346	3/18/16	MAJ
Dynotec	31-1319961	4/30/17	MBE
CCI Engineering Services	31-1390280	2/28/17	FBE
DHDC	32-0376762	2/31/16	MBE
Flowline, LLC	31-1592640	3/20/16	MAJ
Henderson Aerial Survey	34-080463	9/27/2015	MAJ

10. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Brown and Caldwell: Preliminary design report, field evaluations, planning	\$102,755.50
Dynotec: Field survey, easements, field services on lateral locates	\$51,119.33
DHDC: Geotechnical/Subsurface utility locates (SUE-level A)	\$33,113.33
CCI Engineering Services: Provide CADD & GIS support to design teams	\$40,605.62
Flowline: Sewer cleaning, main line sewer/lateral CCTV inspections, lateral locations	\$74,267.80
Henderson Aerial Survey (Kucera): Aerial mapping	\$5,035.00

Note: estimate of dollar value to be paid does not include "If Authorized" tasks

Ord No.:

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
-------------	---------------------------	-------------------	---------------

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**
3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**
4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**
5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**
6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**
7. **A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**
8. **A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**
9. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**
10. **If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**
11. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification**

cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
-------------	---------------------------	---------------

15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

