		CITY OF COLUMBUS BID EVALUATION FORM								
		CONTRACTS OVER \$20,	000)							
	Department:	Public Utilities		CIP Number:	610	0767				
	Division:	Stormwater		Project Name:		er Rd SSI				
				Funding Source:		r Bonds Fund				
				Bid Opening Date:		14/09				
	Low Bid at Opening:	\$ 632,958.31		Engineer's Estimate:	\$754,	764.72				
	Non-Local Low Bid at Opening:			COMPANY NAME						
		Nickolas Savko	Eramo & sons		Columbus Asphalt	Complete Gneral				
	Original Bid Amount:									
	Local Company?	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
	FACTORS									
-	Tier I - Mandatory Factors									
M-1	Bid is responsive	yes	yes	yes	ves	yes				
M-2	Bidder is compliant with city taxes on payroll and net profits	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
M-3	Bidder will make financial statements available upon request	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
M-4	Bidder is currently debarred from doing business with any govt. agency	no	no	no	no					
M-5	Bidder has requisite licenses	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
M-6	Bidder has provided a contract compliance no., or application for one	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
_	Proceed to Tier II?	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
-	Tier II - Creditable Factors (possible percentage credits listed below)									
C-1	Bidder is eligible for local credit - (Based on lowest non-local bid) (If yes = 1%)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
C-2	Bidder is eligible for local workforce credit (If yes = 1%)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
C-3	Bidder is eligible for quality training contractor credit (If yes = 1%)	yes	yes	no	yes	yes				
C-4	Bidder is eligible for health insurance credit (If yes = 1%)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
	% of insurance provided by company	50.00%	80.00%	75.00%	100.00%	100.00%				
C-5	Bidder is eligible for retirement or pension plan credit (If yes = 1%)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
	% of retirement or pension plan provided by company	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%				
C-6	Bidder is eligible for environmental preference (If yes = 1%-5%)	yes	yes	no	yes	yes				
-	Total Credits (cannot exceed \$20,000 per factor or \$120,000 in total)	10.00%	10.00% \$45.318.33	4.00%	10.00%	10.00%				
	Dollar Value of Credits Bid Total:	\$45,318.33 587.639.98	\$45,318.33 663,480.69	\$18,988.75 725,107.98	\$45,318.33 706,552.47	\$45,318.33 728.605.29				
-	Did Total.	307,039.90	003,400.09	725,107.96	700,332.47	728,005.29				
	Low Bid Dollar Amount After Credits	\$ 587,639.98								
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0.000%	12.906%	23.393%	20.236%	23.988%				
	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid after credits)	yes	no		no					
	Proceed to Tier III?	yes	no	no	no	no				
-	The III - United States Control of the Control of t									
HE-1	Tier III - History Experience Factors Bidder has a record of non-compliance with City of Columbus affirmative action programs		20	no						
HE-2	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with federal, state and local affirmative action programs	no no	no no	no no	no no	no no				
	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds	no	no		no					
	Bidder has paid liquidated damages in the past 3 years	no	no	no	no	no				
	Prinicipals or principal employees of bidder have record of fraud, collusion, etc.	no	no		no					
HE-6	Bidder has been debarred from doing business with govt. agency(s) in past 3 years	no	no	no	no	no				
HE-7	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with the following:									
<u> </u>	A. Fair Labor Standards Act	no	no	no	no	no				
—	B. Prevailing Wage Laws	no	no		no	no				
\vdash	C. Unemployment Compensation Laws D. Worker's Compensation Laws	no no	no no	no no	no no	no no				
HF-8	Bidder has a record of "willful or "serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years (OSHA.gov)	yes	no		no	yes				
	Bidder has an adequate health and safety plan and supporting documentation	yes	ves	yes	ves	yes				
	Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EMR	yes	yes	no	yes	no				
	Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no	no		no	no				
HE-12	The results of Bidder's references are questionable	no	no	no	no	no				
L	Total Negative History and Experience Factors:	-1	0	-1	0	-2				
<u> </u>	A negative score in tier III may result in further investigation of bid, if merited									
\vdash	Bid investigated with satisfactory outcome? (Requires user input) Proceed to Tier IV?	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
\vdash	FIGURER TO THE TY!	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
	Tier IV - Resource Factors (yes answers desirable)									
Note:	Note: Tier IV - resource reactors (yes aniswers desirable) Note: Tier IV ontails examining resource factors of bidder specific to the project for which the bid was solicited. The field of eligible bidders will be determined									
through	application of Tiers I through III. In Tier IV, a review of the remaining bidders' resource factors will be conducted,									
R-1	Bidder has sufficient physical resources to reasonably complete this project (e.g., equipment)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
R-2	Bidder's management has sufficient experience to ensure completion of project	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
R-3 R-4	Bidder's workforce has sufficient experience to complete this project	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				
R-4 R-5	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel proposed for this project Bidder does not have too many current projects to take on this project	yes yes	yes yes		yes yes	yes yes				
11-3	Total Number of "Yes" Answers	yes 5	yes 5			yes 5				
		•	Ŭ	,		Ĭ				
1	Division's Recommendation:		NICKOLAS SAVKO			1				

		CITY OF COLUMBUS BID EVALUATION FORM CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000))						
	Department: Division: <u>1</u> Low Bid at Opening: Non-Local Low Bid at Opening:	Public Utilities Sewerage and Drainage \$ 554,187.00 \$ 883,207.20		CIP Number: Project Name: Funding Source: Bid Opening Date: Engineer's Estimate:	610744 Parkside Road Stormw Storm Sewer B 08/31/20	ond Fund			
	Non-Local Low Bid at Opening.	\$ 003,207.20		COMPANY NAME					
		Storts Excavation, Inc.	Double Z Constr Co.	Complete General	Nickolas Savko	Trucco Construction			
		\$ 554,187.00 \$						671,967.00 \$	671,967.00
	Local Company?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
	<u>FACTORS</u>								
M-1	Tier I - Mandatory Factors								
M-1 M-2	Bid is responsive Bidder is compliant with city taxes on payroll and net profits	yes yes	yes yes	yes ves	yes ves	yes ves	yes ves	yes yes	yes yes
M-3	Bidder will make financial statements available upon request	ves	yes	ves	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
M-4	Bidder is currently debarred from doing business with any govt. agency	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
M-5	Bidder has requisite licenses	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
M-6	Bidder has provided a contract compliance no., or application for one	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
1	Proceed to Tier II?	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Tier II - Creditable Factors (each yes answer gives 1% credit)								
C-1	Bidder is eligible for local credit - (Based on lowest non-local bid)	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes
C-2	Bidder is eligible for local workforce credit	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
C-3	Bidder is eligible for quality training contractor credit	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes
C-4	Bidder is eligible for health insurance credit	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
C-5	% of insurance provided by company Bidder is eliqible for retirement or pension plan credit	100.00% ves	100.00% ves	100.00% ves	60.00% ves	75.00% ves	100.00% ves	100.00% ves	100.00% ves
0-5	% of retirement or pension plan provided by company	3.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
C-6	Bidder is eligible for environmental preference	no	yes	no	yes	no			
	Total Credits (cannot exceed \$20,000 per factor or \$120,000 in total)	5.00%	10.00%	5.00%	9.00%	3.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
	Dollar Value of Credits	31,000	51,000	31,000	45,458	16,626	31,000	31,000	31,000
	Bid Total:	523,187.45	620,967.45	646,561.85	824,522.88	866,581.59	640,967.45	640,967.45	640,967.45
	Low Bid Dollar Amount After Credits	\$ 523,187.45							
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0.000%	18.689%	23.581%	57.596%	65.635%	22.512%	22.512%	22.512%
	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid (I.e., within 10% of low bid after credits)	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Proceed to Tier III?	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Tier III - History Experience Factors								
HE-1	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with City of Columbus affirmative action programs	no							
HE-2	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with federal, state and local affirmative action programs	no							
	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds	no							
	Bidder has paid liquidated damages in the past 3 years Prinicipals or principal employees of bidder have record of fraud, collusion, etc.	no no							
	Bidder has been debarred from doing business with govt. agency(s) in past 3 years	no no							
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with the following:	110							
1	A. Fair Labor Standards Act	no							
1	B. Prevailing Wage Laws	no							
1	C. Unemployment Compensation Laws D. Worker's Compensation Laws	no no							
HE-0	Bidder has a record of "willful or "serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years	no no							
	Bidder has an adequate health and safety plan and supporting documentation	ves							
	Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EMR	yes							
	Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no							
HE12	The results of Bidder's references are questionable Total Negative History and Experience Factors:	no O	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	A negative score in tier III may result in further investigation of bid, if merited	U	0	U	U	U	U	0	٥
	Bid investigated with satisfactory outcome? (Requires user input)	yes							
1	Proceed to Tier IV?	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	The BY Decrees Factors (see account declarity)								
Note:	<u>Tier IV - Resource Factors (yes answers desirable)</u> Tier IV entails examining resource factors of bidder specific to the project for which the bid was solicited. The	field of eligible hidders will be	determined						
	Ther IV entains examining resource ractors or bidder specific to the project for which the bid was solicited. The ph application of Tiers I through III. In Tier IV, a review of the remaining bidders' resource factors will be condu								
R-1	Bidder has sufficient physical resources to reasonably complete this project (e.g., equipment)	yes							
R-2	Bidder's management has sufficient experience to ensure completion of project	yes							
R-3	Bidder's workforce has sufficient experience to complete this project	yes							
R-4 R-5	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel proposed for this project Bidder does not have too many current projects to take on this project	yes yes							
~~~	Total Number of "Yes" Answers	yes 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	_				·	·	·	•	1
	Division's Recommendation:		Storts Ecavation, Inc.						