### <u>Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:</u>

1	The names contract compliance no. 8: or	eniration data location	by City/State o	nd status		
1.	The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive					
	bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.					
	Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	Status		
2.	What type of bidding process was used (	ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Com	petitive Bid).			
3.	List the ranking and order of all bidders	<u>.</u>				
4.	Complete address, contact name and pho	one number for the succ	essful bidder or	nly.		
5.	A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.					
6.	A narrative timeline for the contract inc dates for known phases of the contract a			d ending		
7.	A narrative discussing the economic is community outreach or input in the development of advantages of the project.					
8.	An estimate of the full cost of the Contra phases or proposed future contract modified the contract modified t		estimate of any	and all		
9.	Sub-Consultants identified to work on the expiration date, and their status (NPO, N			<u>no. &amp;</u>		
	Name	C.C. No./Exp	o. Date	Status		
10.	Scope of work for each subcontractor a	nd their estimate of dol	lar value to be p	oaid.		

#### <u>Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:</u>

# 1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	<b>Status</b>
Brown & Caldwell, LLC	981446346/001 6-28-12	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Burgess & Niple, Inc.	310885550/001 12-10-10	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Chester Engineers	202401674/001 6-4-11	Columbus, Ohio	MBE
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	112167170/001 12-17-11	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Black & Veatch Corp.	431833073/001 10-30-11	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
MS Consultants, Inc.	346546916/001 5-27-12	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ

### 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid). RFP

#### 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

- 1. Brown & Caldwell Ohio, LLC
- 2. Burgess & Niple, Inc.
- 3. Chester Engineers
- 4. Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
- 5. Black & Veatch Corp.
- 6. MS Consultants, Inc.

### 4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.

Chester Engineers

88 East Broad Street, Suite 1980

Columbus, OH 43215

Contact: Allen Fathi, P.E., Project Manager

614-224-4419

## 5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Work items performed to date for this project include closed circuit televising of the trunk sewer to assess its structural and operational condition as identified on the project Exhibit, an Assessment Report outlining recommendations for their cleaning and/or repair, and all other necessary items for the completion of the Report.

Work to be completed under this modification shall include all professional services necessary for the completion of the design plans/specifications, bidding documents, engineering services during construction, and all other services required for the completion of the project.

#### 6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

This phase of the project is expected to last 3 years.

## 7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

This project is being completed as part of the Large Diameter Condition Assessment program that was established as part of the CMOM. Under the original contract, the trunk sewer was inspected and assessed so the condition of the sewer could be established and so that any defects in the pipe could be addressed to prevent future collapses, spillages and interruption of service.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

Original Contract : \$598,313.22
This Modification: \$579,902.97
Total Contract: \$1,178,216.19

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This modification was anticipated and shall include all professional services necessary for the completion of the design plans/specifications, bidding documents, engineering services during construction, and all other services required for the completion/construction of the project.

- 10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

  N/A
- 11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract : \$598,313.22
This Modification: \$579,902.97
Total Contract: \$1,178,216.19

#### 13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The proposed costs for this modification were determined through negotiations with the consultant once the level of effort to complete the design was known from the sewer assessment.

### 14. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	Status
Resource International	310669793 - 6/30/13	FBE
Arcadis US, Inc.	570373224 - 8/25/13	MAJ

### 15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Resource International will conduct field surveying services as well as complete any necessary easement documents that may be required in order to complete construction. Their contract amount is \$184,240.21.

Arcadis, US Inc. will provide professional drawing and specification generation for the design of the necessary repairs. Their contract amount is \$43,123.87.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11