Ord No.:

Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State Status

- 2. <u>What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).</u>
- 3. <u>List the ranking and order of all bidders.</u>
- 4. <u>Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.</u>
- 5. <u>A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.</u>
- 6. <u>A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.</u>
- 7. <u>An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.</u>
- 8. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. &</u> <u>expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date Status

9. <u>Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.</u>

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State Status Name 1. R. D. Zande & Associates 2. Burgess & Niple, Ltd. 3. DLZ 4. ms consultants, inc. 5. Jones Stuckey Ltd, Inc. 6. Resource International, Inc 7. Malcolm Pirnie, Inc 8. EMH&T 9. Pomeroy and Associates, Inc 10. Bird & Bull 11. Brown & Caldwell 12. M E Companies 13. Ribway Engineering Group, Inc 14. Hockaden & Associates, Inc 15. BBS 16. Prime Engineering 17. Hull & Associates, Inc 18. R. W. Armstrong 19.Kleingers & Associates, Inc **20.Site Blauvelt Engineers**

2. <u>What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).</u> RFSQ/RFP

3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

See 1. above for ranking/order for all bidders.

4. <u>Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.</u>

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
1900 Polaris Parkway Ste 200
Columbus, Ohio 43240-2020

Mr. Richard Herriott P. E. 614-888-4953

5. <u>A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.</u>

The engineer shall perform professional design services that include typical sewer design, sewer/manhole rehabilitation, surveying, structural, environmental and other supplemental

services required for the rehabilitation of the Clintonville Main sanitary sewer. The work will include a design report, flow monitoring locations, field surveying, sewer cleaning and television inspection, manhole inspections, geotechnical investigations, drawings and specifications, easements, bid document preparation and construction procurement assistance, required permits, Ohio EPA PTI, engineering services during construction and record plan drawing preparation.

6. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion.</u>

Submit draft final deliverables – November 2009. Revise draft deliverables to final in cooperation with CA/CI firm and City project manager – January 2010. Submit final record plans, digital copies of all reports and record file information - February 2010.

7. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)</u>

None

8. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

During the course of the project design work additional design tasks were identified that would add to the environmental benefits of the project. The elimination of Designed Sewer Relief (DSR) # 576 was investigated with additional field surveying, engineering evaluation and hydraulic modeling developed to evaluate the flow conditions if the DSR were to be removed. The DSR was removed by the project.

The recommended lining rehabilitation process requires manhole access with construction equipment, requiring access easements through private property at some locations. A stormwater project had acquired an easement that was also needed for the sanitary sewer rehabilitation. The stormwater outlet work was added to this contract so that all of the construction work could be performed during one access period. The stormwater work required additional design and permitting tasks not envisioned in the original scope.

During construction additional submittal review time was required as the contractor, in order to reduce his liability, proposed to reinstate all sewer lateral connections that could not be visually determined to be capped via the CCTV inspection. Numerous abandoned laterals were closed to reduce infiltration to the system.

The work remaining to complete the contract is to review the manhole rehabilitation documentation, assemble the pre and post CCTV logs for the record file to correspond with the record drawing numbers and complete and submit the record drawings based on the recorded construction documentation. Also, digital copies of the design information and reports will be provided.

9. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

See 8. above.

10. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

The present consultant has all of the data for completing the record plans, record files and digital submissions. This closeout information and historical information is readily available to the present consultant. The additional cost of transferring this data and information to a different consultant would increase the total man hours involved and would not be cost-effective nor timely.

11. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original contract	\$305,312.00
Previous modifications	0.00
Requested modification	\$ 23,897.00

12. <u>An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.</u>

Consultants estimated man-hours and sub consultant labor to complete the deliverable submissions.

13. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. &</u> <u>expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date Status

J. D. Services, Inc.

14. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

CADD work for record plan preparation \$4,000.00

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Updated as of 4-3-09 (JPM)