

BID EVALUATION FORM (FOR CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000)					
Department: <u>Public Utilities</u>		CIP Number: <u>690501-100001</u>			
Division: <u>Water</u>		Project Name: <u>Old Roberts Rd. 20 Water Line Improvements</u>			
		Funding Source: <u>Water Bonds</u>			
		Bid Opening Date: <u>8/28/13</u>			
Lowest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount: <u>\$639,258.84</u>		Engineer's Estimate: <u>\$947,994.30</u>			
		<u>John Eramo & Sons</u>	<u>Shelly & Sands</u>	<u>Fields Excavating</u>	<u>Trucco Construction</u>
Bid Tab Amount: <u>\$639,258.84</u>		<u>\$680,063.12</u>	<u>\$781,514.80</u>	<u>\$784,610.20</u>	
*Non-environmentally preferred bidder.					
FACTORS					
<u>(Pgs. 6-7) & Form 1 (Pg. 49) - Contract Compliance</u>	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or submitted on-line (Y/N)	yes	no	yes	yes
<u>Form 2 - Claims & Liquidated Damages</u>	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N)	no	no	no	no
Negative Factors:		0	-1	0	0
Form 3 - Compliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regulations					
Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with					
1. Fair Labor Standards Act		no	no	no	no
2. Prevailing Wage Laws		yes	no	no	no
3. Unemployment Compensation Laws		no	no	no	no
4. Workers' Compensation Laws		no	no	no	no
governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs (Y/N)		no	no	no	no
6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EN		yes	yes	yes	yes
7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon request		yes	yes	yes	yes
Negative Factors:		-1	0	0	0
Form 4 - State and Federal Health and Safety Laws:					
1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)		yes	yes	no	yes
2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSHA		yes	yes	yes	yes
3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years		no	no	no	no
Negative Factors:		-1	-1	0	-1
Form 5 - Debarment					
with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)		no	no	no	no
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0
Form 6 - Local Workforce					
1. What percentage of bidder's employees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties		82.0%	52.0%	0.0%	70.0%
Negative Factors:					
Form 7 - Health Care and Pension/Retirement Programs					
1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
2. If yes, percentage paid into plan:		80% / 20%	ER: 100%	ER: 100%	65% / 35%
3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:		ER: 100%	ER: 100%	ER: 100%	see comment
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0
Form 8 - Apprenticeship Programs					
1. Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)		no	yes	yes	no
Negative Factors:		-1	0	0	-1
Form 9 - Experience, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED					
Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Form 10 - Experience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED					
Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N)		"TBD"	yes	yes	yes
Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Form 11 - Deadlines and Cost Control					
Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction projects (Y/N)		see comment	see comment	see comment	see comment
Form 12 - Availability					
Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes

		John Eramo & Sons	Shelly & Sands	Fields Excavating	Trucco Construction
Bid Tab Amount:		\$639,258.84	\$680,063.12	\$781,514.80	\$784,610.20
Form 13 - Trade Licenses and Certificates					
Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requi		no	no	no	n
License and/or Certificate No:		SW0004	City license # not listed	(blank)	SW0102, G7045
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0
Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder		\$639,258.84			
Percent Over Low Bid:		0%	6%	22%	23%
Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('Le., within 10% of low bid)		yes	yes	no	no
Pg. 67 - Environmental Preference					
Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N)		no plan submitted	did not evaluate since not within 5% or \$20k of non-environmentally preferable bidder.	did not evaluate since not within 5% or \$20k of non-environmentally preferable bidder.	did not evaluate since not within 5% or \$20k of non-environmentally preferable bidder.
Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferable bidder (%)		0.00%	6.38%	22.25%	22.74%
Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferable bidder (\$)		\$0.00	\$40,804.28	\$142,255.96	\$145,351.36
Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.		no	no	no	no
If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pgs. 68-71 - Statements and Affidavits					
Statement 1: Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Statement 2: Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Statement 3: Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Affidavit Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0
Pg. 78 - Addenda Acknlgmt.					
Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Proposal (Pg. 79) - Proposal Signature					
Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0
Pg. 80 - Proposal Bond					
Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Coverage (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0
Grand Total Negative Factors:		-3	-2	0	-2
Division's Recommendation:	John Eramo & Sons				
Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section 102.08, not covered above in RED.					
1) Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)		no	no	no	no
2) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)		no	no	no	no
3) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)		no	no	no	no
4) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)		yes	yes	yes	yes
5) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)		no	no	no	no
6) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item?		no	no	no	no
7) Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where required?		no	no	no	no
Negative Factors:		0	0	0	0