

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

Name	C.C. No.	/ Exp. Date	City/State	Status
AECOM	952661922	9/23/16	Chicago, IL	MAJ
Brown and Caldwell	941446346	8/26/17	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Burgess & Niple, Inc.	310885550	9/12/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
CH2M Hill	320100027	12/2/16	Dallas, TX	MAJ
EMH&T	310685594	9/23/17	New Albany, OH	MAJ
Gresham Smith	621736493	1/10/16	Dublin, OH	MAJ
HDR	470680568	8/13/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
ME/IBI Group	311442777	9/25/16	Westerville, OH	MAJ
ms consultants	346546916	3/7/16	Youngstown, OH	MAJ
Pomeroy & Associates	311568332	2/11/16	Worthington, OH	MAJ
Stantec	112167170	9/10/17	Chicago, IL	MAJ
Strand	391020418	9/25/17	Madison, WI	MAJ
URS	340939859	7/1/17	Atlanta, GA	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

RFP

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

Strand

URS

EMH&T

Brown and Caldwell

Stantec

Burgess & Niple, Inc.

Gresham Smith

HDR

AECOM

ms consultants

ME/IBI Group

CH2M Hill

Pomeroy & Associates

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

Stantec, Inc.

Elie Sabbagh

1500 Lake Shore Dr.

Columbus, Ohio 43204

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

It is the objective of the City to mitigate overflows of DSR 335 to the 10-year level of service. The City will evaluate and determine if this LOS is best achieved with strictly I&I elimination with GI or in combination with some gray infrastructure.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This was a planned contract modification.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Initial Contract:	\$1,706,878.00
Modification #1:	\$ 400,014.81
Modification #2:	\$ 555,722.81
Total	\$2,662,615.62

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The costs of Mod #2 were determined by negotiations between Stantec and DOSD.

14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
DLZ	31-1268980		02.19.2015	MAJ
Williams Creek Consulting	36-4498641		09.13.2014	MAJ
Resource International Inc.	31-0669793		05.23.2014	FBE
Chester Engineers	20-2401674		5.31.2013	MBE

15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

DLZ: \$50,428.74
 Services shall include Records Search; Field Investigation; H&H; Survey; Geotechnical Investigation; Design; Bidding; Record Plans. These services were covered by the original contract.

Williams Creek Consulting: \$70,758.70
 Services shall include Records Search; Field Investigation; H&H; Design; Bid Documents; Bidding; Meetings. These services were covered by the original contract.

Resource International Inc.: \$0.00
 Services shall include Survey; Utility Locating; Design. These

services were covered by the original contract.

Chester Engineers:

\$59,738.95

Services shall include Records Search; Field Investigation; Design; Bid Documents; Bidding; Meetings; Record Plans. These services were covered by the original contract.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11