Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State Status
CDM	04-2473650	Columbus, OH MAJ
ms Consultants, Inc.	34-6546916	Columbus, OH MAJ
URS Corporation	11-2167170	Columbus, OH MAJ

2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Request for Statements of Qualifications

3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

Submitted Proposals (3/31/06) URS Corporation CDM. ms Consultants, Inc.

Submitted Statements of Qualification (3/25/05)

URS	Brown & Caldwell
CDM	Jones & Stuckey
ms Consultants	FMSM
R.D. Zande Associates	Korda/Nemeth
EMH&T, Inc.	Moody-Nolan
Malcolm Pirnie	Tetra Tech
Burgess & Niple	

4. <u>The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm</u> <u>awarded the original contract.</u>

URS Corporation 277 West Nationwide Boulevard Columbus, OH 43215 Jeff Kerr, P.E. (614) 464-4500

5. <u>A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of</u> work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

The complete design of an Intercepting sewer serving the western portion of the Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base; including service to the Village of Lockbourne and Inter-modal transportation facility. The original work included the preparation of

bidding documents, evaluation of bids, and an award recommendation. Almost all work scoped under the original work was completed.

6. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion.</u>

Anticipated project closeout date is in June 2012.

7. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)</u>

None

8. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> <u>modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

As was described in the original contract legislation, work for this phase of the project shall consist of professional services for the completion of the design plans and specifications, bidding documents, engineering services during construction, and all other services required for the completion of the project.

9. <u>If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract</u> legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

Included in the original project design was a significant amount of additional storage volume in the Interceptor to aid in treatment plant and sewer system operations; which dictated certain piping sizes and construction methods. With the design and imminent construction of the Olentangy-Scioto Intercepting Sewer (OSIS) Augmentation Relief Sewer (OARS – CIP 650704), the additional storage volume in the Lockbourne Inter-modal Sewer (LIS) was deemed unnecessary. Thus, the thorough investigation of a down-sizing of the LIS and the probable the redesign of the LIS for smaller diameters and revised construction methods was deemed prudent.

This modification funds the effort to down-size the existing 12' tunnel-driven project. It assumes the re-use of any and all applicable information and effort applied to original design in aid of revising any such original requirements that may provide a more economical LIS. Such project parameters might include, but are not limited to, lowering the carrier pipe sizing; revising the tunnel construction method; and possibly restructuring land acquisition demands.

10. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit. 11. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

 Original Contract Amount:
 \$3,865,122.72

 Proposed Modification #1:
 \$1,326,630.00

 Proposed Future Modifications:
 \$00.00

 Proposed Total Amount:
 \$5,191,752.72

12. <u>An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.</u>

Estimates were provided by the consultant for the number of hours needed to complete the remaining portions of work. Costs were generated using the hourly rates included in the original contract.

13. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. &</u> <u>expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	<u>Status</u>
VA Engineering (OCTC)	76-0526934	MAJ
Lee Testing and Engineering, Inc.	27-0093755	MBE
Eagon and Associates, Inc.	31-1152778	MAJ
EMH&T, Inc.	31-0685584	MAJ
Snyder Engineering, Inc.	Seeking now	MAJ
Ronald Heuer, Geotechnical Consultant	Seeking now	MAJ
Michael Hoggarth, Environmental Consultant	Seeking now	MAJ

14. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Name	Role/scope	Cost
VA Engineering (OCTC)	Odor Control engineering and design	\$18,000
Lee Testing and Engineering	Soil Borings/Geotechnical	\$70,000
Eagon and Associates	Well testing and protection	\$37,000
EMH&T	Field Survey/Easements	\$20,000
Snyder Engineering	Tunnel Boring Machine Consultant	\$12,000
Ronald Heuer	Tunnel/de-watering	\$12,000
Hoggarth	Mussel Relocation	\$13,555

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Updated as of 4-3-09 (JPM)