# Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

### 1. <u>The names, location by City/State and status of all companies submitting a competitive</u> <u>bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.</u>

Name	City/State	Majority/MBE/FBE
M-E Companies	Westerville, Ohio	Majority

#### 2. The name of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

M-E Companies EA012860-002

#### 3. <u>A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of</u> work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

**To date:** Design roadway improvements for Henderson Road from High Street to the Olentangy River.

**Current:** Design an 8" water line from High Street to the Olentangy River along Henderson Road.

## 4. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion.</u>

We anticipate four months for the completion of the design and review time. Autumn bid date for the construction contract.

### 5. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each</u> <u>modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List</u> <u>each modification separately.)</u>

**Original contract:** \$306,918.00 - EA012860-002 - Design roadway improvements for Henderson Road from High Street to the Olentangy River

**Modification No. 1:** \$63,601.51 – EA012860-003 – Modifications to the Henderson Road Bridge and Olentangy River Road meridian.

Modification No. 2: \$157,534.95 - EL005284 - Reconstruct Henderson Road from State Route 315 to High Street.

## 6. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> <u>modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

Design an 8" water line from High Street to the Olentangy River along Henderson Road.

7. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The project has been on-going since 2000; it was not known what year funds would be needed.

# 8. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

This project is a continuation of the existing work being performed and it would not be practical to contract with a different consultant. There are significant time and cost savings by having the company perform the work.

# 9. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original contract - \$306,918.00 Modification No. 1 - \$63,601.51 Modification No. 2 - \$157,534.95 This modification - \$43,204.53 Known future modifications: none for Power and Water Total estimate of the contract: \$571,258.99