

Information to be included in all Legislation **Modifying*** a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
Stantec Consulting Services, INC	11-2167170/9-17-2019	Columbus/OH	MAJ
American Structurepoint	35-1127317 / 8-25-2017	Columbus/OH	MAJ
Chester Engineers	20-2401674 / 6-30-2017	Columbus/OH	MBE

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**
*For engineering agreements: Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened on 8/21/2015
3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**
1. Stantec Consulting Services, INC
 2. American Structurepoint
 3. Chester Engineers

4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.**
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
Attn: Mark Hudak
1500 Lakeshore Drive
Suite 100
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone:(614)485-5043
Cell:(614)314-9785
Mark.Hudak@stantec.com

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.**
See the following link for the "Community Planning Areas" on the Fiscal Intranet site here:
<http://dpuweb/Portals/0/Fiscal/Columbus%20Planning%20Areas.pdf>
To date the work has consisted of the inspection and assessment of all combined sewers larger than 36" at Near North and Near East areas.

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**
- *For engineering agreements:**
- a. **The original agreement allowed for a total term of how many years? (ex. 4 year term) 4 years.**
 - b. **Which year of the total term is this modification for? (ex. year 2 of 4 year term). Year 2.**

c. **The expiration date of this agreement is June 2020.**

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

This project will extend the remaining useful life of the most critical sewers within the City by making necessary repairs. It will also lower operation and maintenance costs by increasing the pipe flow efficiency. It will also reduce the risk of collapse which could cause SSO to adjacent water ways or WIB's.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

There have been no modifications to date.

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modifications. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The scope of work for this modification will include the subsequent investigations required in order to obtain additional data for the project plans, the completion of the construction drawings, bidding of the work, engineering services during construction, and completion of record plan drawings once construction is completed.

10. If the contract modifications was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modifications of the contract scope and amount.)

This was an anticipated modification.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modifications cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefits.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modifications to date (list each modifications separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract = \$1,099,389.44

Modification # 1 = \$796,824.77

\$1,896,214.21

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modifications was determined.

The costs were determined through negotiations with the consultant based on the scope of services required.

14. Subconsultant information

Prime AE Group, Inc.

26-0546656/ 9-21-2019

ASN

Services shall include maintenance of traffic design and waterline relocation design.

***For engineering agreements:**

Information regarding subconsultants should be submitted on the Subcontractor Work Identification Form Located on the Fiscal Intranet site under “DPU Fiscal Forms” (see link): <http://dpuweb/DPUFiscal/tabid/148/Default.aspx>

This form should have sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR), name, C.C. No./Exp. Date, status, brief Scope of work for each subcontractor, and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

*****Effective 2/1/2018, Section 329 of the Municipal Code differentiates between contract modifications and renewals. Modifications are unforeseen circumstances that require additional funding and time with the same vendor for the same project as the original contract. Renewals are planned contract modifications that are expected and detailed in the ordinance for the original contract.**

