

ORD 2829-2022

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 9/6/22

PROJECT:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	Bid Date:	September 21, 2022
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Ruhlin
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 3,283,895.58

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58		
		14.45%	13.46%	5.14%	-100.00%		

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (For contracts > \$500,000)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. FORM B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to the Director of Finance and Management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (For contracts > \$500,000) FORM B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	NA	NA	NA	NA		
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person signing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). (Bid Book) Proposal Signature Affidavit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. FORM B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
BID IS:		RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 9/6/22

PROJECT:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	Bid Date:	September 21, 2022
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Ruhlin
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 3,283,895.58

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58		
a	Provide a list with its bid submission of all first-tier subcontractors the bidder intends to utilize for the project, as well as all subcontractors the bidder intends to utilize to demonstrate achievement of established MBE/WBE subcontracting goals . FORM B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
b	If subcontractors are listed, bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed or not required, enter "NA".) FORM B5	NA	NA	NA	NA		
c	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") (For contracts > \$500,000) FORM B9	NA	NA	NA	NA		
d	If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (If no subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	NA	NA		
e	If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	NA	NA		
f	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city, nor on the City's Adverse Determination list by the Wage Theft Commission .	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
g	Bidder stated, via affidavit, proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors meet the Subcontractor Training and Benefits requirements. (For contracts > \$2,000,000) FORM B14	NA	NA	NA	NA		
BID IS:		RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)
---	--

ORD 2829-2022

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 9/6/22

PROJECT:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	Bid Date:	September 21, 2022
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Ruhlin
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 3,283,895.58

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58		
a	Bidder did not submit more than one bid for same work.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
b	Bidder acknowledged addenda.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
c	Bidder complied with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable.	NA	NA	NA	NA		
d	The bid contains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
e	Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
f	Bidder submitted a bid guarantee of at least 10%. FORM B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
g	Bidder did not submit an irregular bid guarantee. FORM B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
h	Bid prices are materially balanced. FORM B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
i	Bid contains no irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
j	Bidder submitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(A)(f)(1)(g) FORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
k	Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(A)(f)(1)(h) FORM B3 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
l	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(f) regarding subcontractors. FORM B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
m	Bid does not contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does respond to the bid documents.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
n	Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 9/6/22

PROJECT:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	Bid Date:	September 21, 2022
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Ruhlin
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 3,283,895.58

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58		
o	Bid is complete.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES		

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,283,895.58	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Ruhlin	← Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	Bid Date:	September 21, 2022
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):	\$ -
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):	\$ -

CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co	\$ -	\$ -
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58	\$ -	\$ -

PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")					
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	Yes	Yes	NA	NA		
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
e	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes		
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Responsibility Score:		8	8	6	7	0	0

LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BID, TAB II	\$ 3,283,895.58	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER, TAB II	Ruhlin	← Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Preference

PROJECT:		Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements			Bid Date:		September 21, 2022	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):						
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :					\$ 3,283,895.58	
Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co	\$ -	\$ -	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58	\$ -	\$ -	
PART III: 329.213								

IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO	YES	YES		
---------------	----	----	-----	-----	--	--

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at [X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

PART IIIA:	
step 1	<p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	14.453%	29.863%	36.533%	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NO	NO	NA	NA
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	NA					
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:	
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB: \$ 3,283,895.58
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB: Ruhlin

← Manually Enter Amount

← Manually Enter Information

TAB IV: ODI MBE/WBE Subcontracting Goals and/or Good Faith Effort

version: 9/6/22

ODI MBE/WBE Assigned Goal: 27%

PROJECT:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	Bid Date:	September 21, 2022
-----------------	--	------------------	--------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	0
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ -

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690604, CT #2333	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Jerry Hetterscheidt, PE	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co	\$ -	\$ -
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,581,074.80	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58	\$ -	\$ -
		14.45%	13.46%	5.14%			

Demonstrate achievement of MBE/WBE subcontracting goals or GFE.							
Appendix A (1)	"Bid Discount /Proposal Incentive Request Form/Affidavit": Bidder qualifies for Bid Discount?	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Appendix A (2)	Form has been approved & signed by ODI staff.	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Appendix B (1)	"Declaration of Proposed MBE/WBE Utilization": Bidder meets goal?	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes		
Appendix C	"Request for MBE/WBE Goal Waiver & Documentation of GFE": The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT the MBE/WBE goal and has completed the nine (9) "Request for MBE/WBE Goal Waiver and Documentation of Good Faith Effort" forms.	NA	NA	No	NA		
	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city, nor on the City's Adverse Determination list by the Wage Theft Commission.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB 3	\$ 3,283,895.58	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 3	Ruhlin	← Manually Enter Information

ORD 2829-2022

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Ruhlin	\$ 3,283,895.58
Project:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(A)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified. (329.23)(2)(e)

<i>Bidders</i>	Ruhlin	Miller Bros.	Shelly & Sands	Igel & Co	0	0
<i>Bid</i>	\$ 3,283,895.58	\$ 3,758,516.88	\$ 4,264,558.20	\$ 4,483,604.58	\$ -	\$ -
<i>Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid</i>	-14.45%	-13.46%	-5.14%	100.00%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

Yes
Yes

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Yes
Yes

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

Yes

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

No
NA

If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio.

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/>

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name:	Lockbourne Road Quarry Embankment Improvements
Recommended Bidder:	Ruhlin Co.
Contract Amount:	\$ 3,283,895.58

Evaluator's Name:	M. Smith
Evaluation Completion Date:	9/29/2022



TAB VII: Notes

Examples below:

Overall bid question:

PM's responses:

(IC-c): 1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them?

no

Ruhlin:

(IIA-a): Statistically Comparable:
47% lower than E.E..

(IIA-f & g): Meet costs/deadlines?
9/28/22: rec'd clarified info from Sara Clark

(IIA-h): Availability:
9/23/22: rec'd clarified info from Alex Licitri

Shelly & Sands:

(IIA-a): Statistically Comparable:
S&S's bid is 30% higher than lowest bidder. Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(IIA-f & g): Meet costs/deadlines?
1 of 3 projects met costs; other 2 didn't mention CO's. (Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.)

Tab 4 ODI Goals
Not sure if S&S met. If EBE can be counted they meet; if not, they don't. Would need GFE. (Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.)

Igel:

(IIA-a): Statistically Comparable:
Igel's bid is 37% higher than lowest bidder. Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.