

**Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u> / <u>Exp Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
American Structure Point	35-1127317 / 8/25/17	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
Arcadis	57-0373224 / 5/14/17	Columbus/Ohio	PHC
Black & Veatch	43-1833073 / 9/22/17	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
CDM Smith	04-2473650 / 11/15/18	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
CH2M Hill	32-0100027 / 11/10/18	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
CHA	16-0966259 / 6/17/17	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
GS&P	62-1736493 / 12/3/17	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
Hazen & Sawyer	13-2904652 / 3/14/18	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ
HDR	47-0680568 / 8/1/18	Columbus/Ohio	PHC
MS Consultants	34-6546916 / 2/18/18	Columbus/Ohio	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**

*A modified RFP process was used. This was deemed warranted due to the specialized nature of the project and the strict pre-qualifications included in the request. Furthermore, four specific projects were in consideration under the same Proposal request process: 650870.701 (Hudson/McGuffey), 650870.702 (Oakland Park/Medina), 650870.703 (Aglar/Berrell), and 650870.704 (Artane/Parkwood). This Legislation Request pertains specifically to 650870.701 (Hudson/McGuffey).*

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1. 1) HDR 2) Hazen & Sawyer 3) CDM-Smith 4) Gresham, Smith Partners 5) Black & Veatch 6) Arcadis 7) CH2M Hill 8) ms Consultants 9) American Structure Point 10) CHA

4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.**

*Negotiations w/the top 4 Offerors were sought for one of the four projects within the RFP. The successful bidder for the **650870.701 (Hudson/McGuffey)** project was:*

Gresham Smith and Partners  
155 East Broad Street, Suite 900,  
Columbus, Ohio, 43215

David S. Pyzoah, P.E. (Project Manager)  
(614) 221-0678  
david\_pyzoah@gspnet.com

**5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.**

*The work performed to date includes review of existing information including record drawings and flooding complaints, field investigations and stakeholder interaction, surveying, hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the existing sewer system and proposed improvements, production of a Preliminary Engineering Report and accompanying maps/exhibits summarizing the costs and benefits of the proposed improvements, and all associated meetings, etc.*

*This project will include a planned future modification to perform engineering services during construction and the associated warranty period, and to provide as-built record drawings at the completion of the project.*

Community Planning Areas – 11 – North Linden

**6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

- a. **The original agreement allowed for a total term of how many years? (ex. 4 year term) Approx. 5 years.**
- b. **Which year of the total term is this modification for? (ex. year 2 of 4 year term). Year 3 of 5 year term.**
- c. **The expiration date of this agreement is 8/31/18.**

**7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**

*The City has embarked upon its approved Wet-Weather Management Plan (WWMP). Currently, that plan is almost entirely comprised of a series of treatment plant capacity upgrades, storage tanks, tunnels, and other piping system augmentations; collectively known as “gray” infrastructure. After approval of the WWMP, the use of more renewable, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems, commonly referred to as “green” infrastructure, has been recognized and implemented in many communities as a potential solution to many of the elements associated with consent order requirements.*

*It is anticipated there will be a greater impact to both economic impact and advantages by implementing a group of smaller projects which would be conducive for competition with local suppliers and vendors as opposed to the large scale, previously devised, WWMP projects.*

*Community and Outreach for the project will be conducted by the City, the selected consultant and the Public Outreach Consultant, RAMA Consulting, which is currently under contract for this work.*

**8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**

*None*

**9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

The scope of work for this proposed phase of the project is to refine the recommendations included in the Preliminary Design Report previously developed as part of the initial phase of work, and provide detailed design services to produce fully independent construction documents for the green infrastructure (GI) technologies and other related work items necessary to achieve the project goals of: mitigating DSR activations, water-in-basement occurrences (WIBs), and street/yard flooding, as well as provide stormwater detention and treatment via the re-purposing of Columbus Land Redevelopment Office (Land Bank), abandoned, and vacant parcels for the implementation of Green Infrastructure (GI). The specific work activities of this scope include development of detailed construction drawings and bidding documents, meetings with stakeholders, submission of permits and providing responses to all contractor inquiries during the bidding process.

**10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**

*This proposed contract modification was anticipated at the time of contract origination.*

**11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

*Re-bid of the project will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, significant time would be wasted in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.*

**12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.**

Initial Contract:	\$2,199,868.64
Currently Proposed Modification #1:	\$1,038,279.23
Planned Future Modification #2:	\$ 250,000.00
Total	<b>\$3,488,147.87</b>

**13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.**

*The costs of Contract Modification #1 were determined by negotiations between Gresham Smith and DOSD.*

**14. Subconsultant information**

*See attached Subconsultant Work Identification Form (SWIF)*