

Steege, Jerry K.

From: Kelsey, Mark
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2011 8:42 AM
To: Cofield, Alex A.
Cc: Wilfong, Gary D.; Atkinson, Jennifer L.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; McGuinness, Rory O.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Poindexter, Kaelyn C.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Rundio, Ted; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject: Re: RECOMMENDATION: Arterial Street Rehabilitation - Hamilton Rd from SR 161 to Morse Rd

I approve of the recommended consultant for award
Mark Kelsey sent from my blackberry

From: Cofield, Alex A.
To: Kelsey, Mark
Cc: Wilfong, Gary D.; Atkinson, Jennifer L.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; McGuinness, Rory O.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Poindexter, Kaelyn C.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Rundio, Ted; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Sent: Wed Sep 07 16:25:50 2011
Subject: RECOMMENDATION: Arterial Street Rehabilitation - Hamilton Rd from SR 161 to Morse Rd

To: Mark Kelsey, Director

Department of Public Service

From: Alex Cofield, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: September 7, 2011

Subject: Arterial Street Rehabilitation – Hamilton Rd from SR 161 to Morse Rd

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the Arterial Street Rehabilitation – Hamilton Rd from SR 161 to Morse Rd. The budget is \$500,000. The final, to be negotiated dollar amount, shall be funded by 2011 bond funds.

The intent of this project is to provide the City of Columbus, Division of Planning and Operations, additional resources to produce an Engineering Source Document for Hamilton Road from Morse Road to SR 161. The document will include determination of roadway and complete street improvements necessary to address current capacity, safety, and operational deficiencies; and future development.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from July 21, 2011, to August 18, 2011. The city received four (4) responses. Proposals from EMH&T and MS Consultants, Inc. were deemed non-responsive due to their not meeting all required ODOT pre-qualification categories. The two remaining proposals were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on September 7, 2011. The responding firms were:

CONSULTANT

**Sub-consultant Business Enterprise Status Contract Compliance Number Active % OF WORK
% MAJ.**

% OTH.

URS CORPORATION MAJ 340939859 Y 77.00% 82.00% MAJ

18.00% OTH

Dynotec, Inc. MBE 311319961 Y 10.00%

Resource International, Inc. FBE 310669793

Y 5.00%

BBC&M Engineering, Inc. MAJ 311166709 Y 5.00%

ASC Group, Inc. FBE 311167506 Y 1.00%

Engage

Communications FBE 201593274 Y 2.00%

WOOLPERT MAJ 201391406 Y 74.00% 84.00% MAJ 16.00% OTH

Lawhon & Associates, Inc. FBE 311153141 Y 6.00%

Dynotec, Inc. MBE 311319961 Y 10.00%

BBC&M Engineering, Inc. MAJ 311166709 Y 10.00%

This RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Jennifer Gallagher, Hassan Zahran and Patti Austin– a representative from EBOCO was invited to attend, but declined, and Jennifer Atkinson.

URS Corporations received 83.00 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100). The next closest firm was Woolpert with 79.33 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee’s assessment of the two evaluated proposals for your final selection.

URS Corporation 83.00

Woolpert 79.33

The Committee would like to submit URS Corporation for the Director’s review and recommendation. The Committee felt that URS Corporation submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

1. The proposal from URS engaged the public in the earliest stages.
2. URS discussed providing the City with “quick fixes” for safety improvements.
3. URS was the only proposal to discuss the “demand versus capacity” issue.

4. The URS Corporation proposal discussed handling the project from the initial stage to potentially, eventually be a Federal or State funded project.

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

1. Approve of the committee's recommendation, URS Corporation
2. Reject the committee's recommendations and designate a firm
3. Call for an oral presentation of the two firms
4. Other

Alex Cofield

Capital Fiscal Manager

City of Columbus

Department of Public Service

Office of Support Services

109 N. Front St. Ground Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(p) 614-645-1557

(f) 614-645-7549

aacofield@columbus.gov