Ord No.:

<u>Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:</u>

1.	The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.		
	Name C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State Status		
2.	What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).		
3.	List the ranking and order of all bidders.		
4.	Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.		
5.	A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.		
6.	A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.		
7.	An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.		
8.	Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):		
	Name C.C. No./Exp. Date Status		
9.	Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.		

Ord No.:

<u>Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:</u>

1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

me C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State		Status	
Burgess & Niple, Ltd.	31-0885550/ <i>x-x-xx</i>	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
Camp, Dresser, McKee, Inc.	04-2473650/ <i>x-x-xx</i>	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
EMH&T, Inc.	31-0685594/ <i>x-x-xx</i>	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott, and May, Ir	nc. $61-065-9421/x-x-xx$	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
Gannett/Fleming, PC.	xx-xxxxxxxx/x-x-xx	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
Metcalf & Eddy of Ohio, Inc.	22-2581306/ <i>x-x-xx</i>	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
Jones – Stuckey, Ltd., Inc.	11-2167170/01-07-10	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
RD Zande & Associates, Ltd.	31-1395123/12/17/11	Columbus,OH	MAJOR
URS Corporation	941716908/ <i>x-x-xx</i>	Columbus, OH	MAJOR
Woolpert, LLP	xx-xxxxxxxx/x-x-xx	Columbus, OH	MAJOR

2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Request for Statements of Qualifications

3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

Submitted Proposals (2/5/05)

RD Zande and Associates, Ltd.

Burgess & Niple, Ltd.

URS Corporation

Submitted Statements of Qualification (3/10/04)

CDM

RD Zande

Burgess & Niple

URS

EMH&T, Inc.

M&E

Gennett/Fleming

FMSM

Jones – Stuckey

Woolpert

4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.

R.D. Zande & Associates, Ltd. 1500 Lake Shore Drive

Columbus, OH 43204 Dale Kocerek, P.E. (614) 486-4383

5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

The complete investigation of the source and volume of inflow and infiltration within the Study area (Early Ditch Relief) and the design of generalized CIP projects to mitigate those flows.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

Anticipated project closeout date is in June 2012.

7. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

None

8. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This work augments and extends the work already begun: cleaning, televising and condition assessment of selected sewers in the area (specifically storm); increased modeling efforts for selected area storm sewers and augmented sanitary sewer modeling services; and augmented sanitary and storm field investigation efforts for storm and sanitary modeling and system evaluation and recommendation efforts.

9. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The rationale is two-fold. City engineers developing the project were unaware of the extent of the decline of the original system and the effect poor storm drainage systems in the area would affect project design. As a consequence, significant additional flow monitoring, field investigations and modeling was required to assess the condition of the sanitary system. In so doing, it also has become evident that the success of this work is highly dependent on the understanding and investigation of the area storm sewers. To meet these requirements significant additional modeling and stormwater investigations are necessary.

10. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract Amount:\$6,628,698.00Proposed Modification #1:\$1,325,501.35Proposed Future Modifications:\$00.00Proposed Total Amount:\$7,954,199.35

12. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

Estimates were provided by the consultant for the number of hours needed to complete the remaining portions of work. Costs were generated using revised hourly rates to adjust the original contract rates approved in 2006.

13. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	Status
AAA Pipe, Inc.	340869703x-x-xx	MAJ
Ribway Engineering, Inc.	311406579/11-30-11	MBE
Donohue Ideas, Inc.	61716807/3-31-12	FBE

14. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Name	Role/scope	Cost
AAA Pipe, Inc.	Pipe cleaning storm/sanitary	\$10,000.00
Ribway Engineering, Inc.	Assist in flow metering	\$35,000.00
Donohue Ideas, Inc.	Storm Field investigations	\$87,000.00

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Updated as of 4-3-09 (JPM)