

**Information to be included in all Legislation Renewing a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

| <u>Name</u>       | <u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u> | <u>City/State</u> | <u>Status</u> |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| MS Consultants    | 34-6546916 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| Chester Engineers | 20-2401674 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MBE           |
| CH2M Hill         | 32-0100027 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| Arcadis           | 57-0373224 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| OHM               | 38-1691323 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| DLZ               | 31-1268980 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MBE           |
| CHA               | 16-0966259 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| EMH&T             | 31-0685594 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| Black & Veatch    | 43-1833073 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MAJ           |
| Ribway            | 31-1406579 /              | Columbus, Ohio    | MBE           |

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened on January 31, 2015.

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

| <u>Bidder</u>                  | <u>Score</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. ms Consultants              | 86           |
| 2. Chester Engineers           | 84           |
| 3. Jacobs (formerly CH2M Hill) | 82           |
| 4. Arcadis                     | 80           |
| 5. OHM                         | 78           |
| 6. DLZ                         | 76           |
| 7. CHA                         | 74           |
| 8. EMH&T                       | 73           |
| 9. Black & Veatch              | 71           |
| 10. Ribway*                    | 0            |

\*This proposal was deemed unresponsive

4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.**

ms Consultants.  
 Mr. Anil Tangirala, P.E. (Project Manager)  
 2221 Schrock Road  
 Columbus, OH 43229  
 614-898-7100, [ATangirala@msconsultants.com](mailto:ATangirala@msconsultants.com)

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.**

To date, the consultant has conducted the required field investigations, property investigations, storm sewer cleaning and televising, hydraulic modeling & alternative analysis to determine the preferred alternative within the Greater Hilltop planning area. The consultants have used this data to assemble the 30% project plans and are currently work towards their 60% plan submittal.

Future work will concentrate on completing the project construction plans, assembling the construction documents, bidding the work and conducting engineering services during construction.

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

It is anticipated that this renewal will be for a term of 2 years.

7. **A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**

This project is being completed as part of the City's Blueprint Columbus program. This project will construct various types of green infrastructure (GI) throughout the neighborhood which will provide a higher water quality within the region by treating the surface runoff before it discharges to the waterways. The project will also rehabilitate the existing sanitary sewer laterals and install or redirect new roof downspouts so as to reduce inflow and infiltration (I&I) within the sanitary sewer system which will result in fewer water in basements (WIBs), reduced sanitary sewer flows and decreased sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs).

Community outreach is big part of these projects and the initial public meetings have been held. Subsequent meetings with other interested, neighborhood committees have also been held to inform them of the potential changes.

8. **A description of any and all renewals to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**

To date there have been no previous renewals or modifications to the original contract.

9. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract renewal. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

This contract renewal will provide the funding necessary to conduct additional public meetings and outreach, complete the designs of the GI and private property work as well as the assembly of the bid documents for each of the phases.

10. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract renewal cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not**

**sufficient explanation.)**

Re-bid of the project will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and would need to be rediscovered by another consultant unless the new RFP were won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have missed significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

**11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each renewal to date (list each renewal separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.**

Original Contract: \$1,956,247\*  
Renewal #1: \$916,844.15  
Renewal #2 (estimated): \$200,000.00  
**TOTAL \$ 3,073,091.15**

**\* A total of \$ 402,247.94 of the remaining un-authorized funds need to be reallocated to the Renewal #1**

**12. An explanation of how the cost of the renewal was determined.**

A cost estimate for the proposed scope of work was prepared by ms consultant and reviewed by DOSD. The total renewal includes total labor cost (direct labor multiplied by the hourly cost multiplier).

**13. Subconsultant information**

| <u>Firm</u>                         | <u>Amount</u> |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| AECOM Inc.                          | \$154,069.54  |
| DHDC Engineering Consulting Service | \$ 29,682.95  |
| Coldwater Consulting, LLC           | \$37,987.51   |
| Russell Tree Experts                | \$20,000.00   |

Please see the attached subcontractor Work Identification Form Located for all of the detailed information regarding the above subconsultants.