

FINAL
10/30/2013

Evaluation Summary from Committee Meeting and Reviews

Evaluation of Proposals for Professional Services for Air (Clean Air Act) Compliance Assistance Solicitation SA005105 - Sept. / Oct. 2013			Committee's Average Score				Evaluation Committee Written Explanation concerning scoring of Offerors' Proposals				
			Maximum Points Available	RCP, Inc.	Stone Env. Engineering	T & M Assoc.	Total Compliance	RCP, Inc.	Stone Env. Engineering	T & M Assoc.	Total Compliance
Evaluation Criteria per Solicitation SA005105	Proposal Quality	Project approach is well-structured, clear and succinct	10	2	5	9	5	Two sentence approach statement.	Approach is vague. Generic Project Management discussion.	Proposal fully developed.	Proposal was very succinct, but lacked clarity. Not enough detail in proposal.
		Project approach meets all the various air support needs of the department including permitting, air source testing, permit reporting, air surveying, and compliance checking	25	7	17	24	17	There was no project approach listed, just a team leader	Does not acknowledge some RFP Scope of Services items.	Beyond RFP Scope, anticipates needed study of fugitives, odor, composting and wet streams.	Little narrative toward source testing, air surveying, although included in resumes.
	Project Team Experience	Education and training fits the project's needs	5	1	4	5	2	2 resumes provided - one PhD/MS, one BA. Both claim generic experience.	3 resumes provided - Resumes indicate engineering degrees with some additional regulatory training.	2 local project managers are supported by 14 team members. All resumes indicate appropriate education and training.	5 work history resumes provided - Specific information about individual team member education is not provided.
		Proficiency in the use of E-biz and air toxics modeling	5	2	4	5	4	No mention of eBiz (implied by permitting experience?). 1 of 2 claim modeling experience.	2 of 3 on team claim experience modeling for Air Toxics	4 team members claim experience modeling for Air Toxics	3 of 5 on team claim experience modeling for Air Toxics
		Experience in preparing Title V and permit to install applications, and renewals and modifications of same; experience in air modeling to meet Ohio's air toxics requirements; experience in conducting air surveys and air audits; experience in preparing Title V reports including deviation reports, special quarterly reports, and air toxics reports; experience with conducting stack tests, RATA tests, CGA tests; experience in preparing quality assurance / quality control plans and obtaining required technical personnel and equipment certifications	25	12	19	23	17	Very difficult to tell as resumes and "Partial List of Recent Clients" offer little detail..	Resumes indicate experience with similar projects. However, there is very little discussion of experience with continuous monitoring and associated certification activities. No government client experience indicated.	Reflective of their current ongoing experience with this project, Offeror's team brings all necessary experience. The team's familiarity with DPU's air work will reduce any learning curve.	The team has good professional experience and is familiar with DPU. However, there is little discussion of experience with continuous monitoring and associated certification activities. Strong on compliance auditing.
		Individual team member skills match Project needs and assignments.	5	1	3	5	3	1 long term air specialist. One QA/QC specialist with some air experience.	2 members are generalists but may have sufficient duration-driven air experience. Third resume looks light on actual air work over past 10 years.	Large team with many air specialists. Plenty of qualification and depth result from teamed firms' broader affiliations.	1 long term specialist. 4 others show applicable experience of shorter duration.
	Project Team Workload	Proposal demonstrates the availability of the project team to complete the project expeditiously	5	3	4	5	3	Two team members average 50% available. No others on payroll at this time. No indication of backup for project principal.	Tabulated predicted available hours for three team members.	Larger team is more likely to be resilient.	There is concern for available staff to provide back-up support for project principal.
	Local Workforce (One choice per Proposal. See Legend below)	A	20	20	20	20		Per proposal statement	Per proposal statements	Per proposal statements	
		B	15								
		C	10								
D		10				10					
Total Points:		100	48	76	96	61					
Ranking of Offerors:			4	2	1	3					

Local Workforce Legend		
A	At least 90% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted, or at least 90% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to the office location within Franklin County if office established prior to 1995.	20
B	At least 75% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted.	15
C	At least 90% of the Team's labor will be performed in an office location within Franklin County but outside of the Columbus Corporate limits on the date the proposal is submitted.	10
D	At least 50% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted.	10