Ord No.

## Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State Status

- 2. <u>What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).</u>
- 3. <u>List the ranking and order of all bidders.</u>
- 4. <u>Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.</u>
- 5. <u>A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.</u>
- 6. <u>A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.</u>
- 7. <u>A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project;</u> <u>community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental</u> <u>factors or advantages of the project.</u>
- 8. <u>An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.</u>
- 9. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. &</u> <u>expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

NameC.C. No./Exp. DateStatus

## 10. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

### Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

# 1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	<u>Status</u>
Brown & Caldwell Ohio, LLC	941446346/5-2-14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
DLZ Ohio, Inc.	311268980/2-22-13	Columbus, OH	ACT
Chester Engineers	202401674/5-31-13	Columbus, OH	MBE
AECOM	952661922/10-11-14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
EMH&T, Inc.	310685594/9-22-13	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	112167170/12-21-13	Columbus, OH	MAJ
R.W. Armstrong	351062227/7-27-13	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Pomeroy & Associates, Ltd.	311568332/12-13-13	Worthington, OH	MAJ

#### 2. <u>What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).</u> RFP

#### 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

- 1. Brown & Caldwell Ohio, LLC
- 2. DLZ Ohio, Inc.
- 3. Chester Engineers
- 4. AECOM
- 4. EMH&T, Inc.
- 6. Stantec, Inc.
- 7. R.W. Armstrong
- 8. Pomeroy & Associates, Ltd.

#### 4. <u>The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm</u> <u>awarded the original contract.</u>

Chester Engineers 88 East Broad Street, Suite 1980 Columbus, OH 43215 Contact: Allen Fathi, P.E., Project Manager 614-224-4419

#### 5. <u>A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of</u> work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Work items completed on this project consist of closed circuit televising and sonar to assess the structural and operational condition of the Alum Creek Trunk and SubTrunk, manhole inspections, survey, and the providing of an Assessment Report outlining recommendations for their cleaning and/or repair of sewers and manholes found to pose a risk a failure.

6. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion</u>. December, 2015 7. <u>A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project;</u> <u>community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental</u> <u>factors or advantages of the project.</u>

The proposed repairs will extend the service life of these sewers by at least 20 years. This will help prevent the risk of any potential collapses or operational failures within the sewer that could lead to regulatory fines or other social and environmental costs associated with the resulting sewage spills.

# 8. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)</u> None

9. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> <u>modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

The Engineer shall prepare the necessary easement exhibits, and shall complete the design plans/specifications, bidding documents, and engineering services during construction as necessary to construct the repair recommendations from the Assessment Report from Phase 1 of this project, along with all other items outlined in the scope of services required for the completion of the project.

- 10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.) This modification was planned as part of the original contract.
- 11. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

Chester Engineers is most familiar with the project and site conditions, and best suited to develop the plans and bid specifications for this completion of their recommendations from Phase 1 of this project. To solicit proposals for the completion of these services would be more costly due to duplication of effort, and lead to project delays associated with the RFP process.

#### 12. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract : \$663,237.56 Proposed Modification: \$261,624.75 Total Contract: \$924,862.31

13. <u>An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.</u>

Costs were developed by the consultant based on the scope of services to be provided, and these costs reviewed by the City project engineer and found to be acceptable.

# 14. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. &</u> <u>expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	<u>Status</u>
Burgess & Niple, Inc.	310885550/10-4-14	MAJ
Resource International	310669793/6-30-13	FBE

#### 15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Burgess & Niple, Inc. shall be assisting with plan development, permit applications, and services during construction for an amount not to exceed \$63,201.57

Resource International shall be performing all surveying as necessary to generate the plans and any proposed easements for an amount not to exceed \$69,983.44.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Updated as of 4-10-10