TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

	PROJECT:	Joyce Tank 2018 E	Bid Date:	F	ebruary 28, 2018	
Depar	tment/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Horizon Bros.
Sectio	n:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	658,099.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690477-100012	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	Horizon Bros.		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$1,500,000.00	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$980,547.50	\$1,320,131.00	\$1,398,400.00	\$658,099.00		
		34.63%	5.93%	-52.94%	-100.00%		

PART IA: F	desponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)						
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	NO				
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	NA	YES	NA				
с	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES	YES				
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE				

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT: Joyce Tank 2018 Exterior Painting Imp's	Bid Date:	February 28, 2018
--	-----------	-------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Horizon Bros.	
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$	658,099.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690477-100012	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	Horizon Bros.		
Engineer ^t	's Estimate:	\$1,500,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$980,547.50	\$1,320,131.00	\$1,398,400.00	\$658,099.00		
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
С	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are)	NA	NA	NA	NA		
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed rs are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA	NA	NA		
е	subcontractor per of more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? to licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA	NA		
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally (0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	NA	NA	NA	NA		
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	Joyce Tank 2018 Exterior Painting Imp's	Bid Date:	February 28, 2018
----------	---	-----------	-------------------

Department/Division:	artment/Division: Public Utilities / Water Initial Apparent Low Bidder:		Horizon Bros.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 658,099.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690477-100012	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	Horizon Bros.		
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$1,500,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$980,547.50	\$1,320,131.00	\$1,398,400.00	\$658,099.00		
PART IC: F	Responsiveness Cr	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for I	responsive bid)
a		omit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	Bidder acknowled PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	NA	NA	NA	YES		
С		vith technical pre-qualification ification requirements where applicable.	NA	NA	NA	NA		
d	The bid contains r invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
е	Bidder added no p (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	Bidder submitted B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES	YES	YES		
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM	omit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) B3	YES	YES	YES	YES		
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES	YES		
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid ()(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES	YES		
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES		
I	Bidder has compli (329.23)(f)(1)(k) F	ied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. ORM B6	NA	NA	NA	NA		
m		ain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it ne bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES	NO		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	Joyce Tank 2018 B	Bid Date:	February 28, 2018	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	Horizon Bros.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 658,099.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690477-100012	COMPANY NAME					
Project N	Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	Horizon Bros.	orizon Bros.	
Engineer	r's Estimate:	\$1,500,000.00	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$980,547.50	\$1,320,131.00	\$1,398,400.00	\$658,099.00		
n	n Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)		YES	YES	YES	NO		
0	o Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)		YES	YES	YES	NO		
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PR	OJECT:	Joyce Tank 2018 E	xterior Painting In	np's	Bid Date:		February 28, 2018		
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Horizon Bros.		
Section:		Distribution		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	658,099.00	
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.		690477-100012	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project M	lanager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	Horizon Bros.			
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$1,500,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$980,547.50	\$1,320,131.00	\$1,398,400.00	\$658,099.00			
PART ID: R		riteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Pa	rt IE will not necessaril		oonsive. Bidder must hexecution.	nave a valid contract co	ompliance no. prior to	
a	number at the time	ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	YES	YES	NO	NO			
				•		1	1	_	
	Bid Responsivness, Waiver Considerations:		Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	
		Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	NO			
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I \$ 980,547.50				Manually Enter Amou	ınt			
	LOW	EST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	UCL, Inc.		Manually Enter Information				

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Joyce Tank 2018	Bid Date: February 28,			28, 2018		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):				UCL, Inc.	
Section:	Distribution	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):				\$	980,547.50
CIP No.	690477-100012	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 1,500,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
	•	\$ 980,547.50	\$ 1,320,131.00	\$ 1,398,400.00	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3- Bid Price and Amount	YES	YES	NO			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	NA	NA			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	NA	NA			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:		6	5	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

	PROJECT: Joyce Tank 2018 E		xterior Painting	ng Imp's Bid Date:			February 28, 2018		
Departme	ent/Division:	nt/Division: Public Utilities / Water		Evaluated Low Bidde	(from Tabs I and II):		UCL, Inc.		
Section:			Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II):				\$ 980,547.50		
CIP No.		690477-100012	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY MANE	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
CIP No. Project M	lanagar:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	UCL, Inc.	COMPANY NAME A1 Industrial	COMPANY NAME American Sun.	COMPANY NAME NA	COMPANY NAME NA	NA	
	's Estimate:	\$ 1,500,000.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
Lilgilicci	3 L3tillate.	3 1,300,000.00	\$ 980,547.50		\$ 1,398,400.00	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.2	212 Local Bidder							
				I I				ı	
		IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO	NO				
	if a bidder is local,	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis	st located at	X:\Construction Prequal	<u>ification</u>				
PART IIIA:	T	LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip							
step 1	IF <u>apparent</u>	LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINU above for apparent low bid and bidder informa							
Part IIIB:	IF <u>APPARENT</u>								
				34.632%	42.614%	NA	NA	NA	
Part IIIB:	Percentage differen	above for apparent low bid and bidder informa	ition)	34.632% NO	42.614% NO	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
Part IIIB:	Percentage difference Is amount in prior selection BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOL	above for apparent low bid and bidder informa	0.000%						
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3	Percentage different is amount in prior signification in prior significant in prior significa	above for apparent low bid and bidder information and bidder information apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO	0.000%						
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3	Percentage difference Is amount in prior selection in prior selection. If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOLITY CODE SECTION OF THE SECTION OF T	above for apparent low bid and bidder information and bidder information apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	0.000%						
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3 step 4	Percentage different is amount in prior significant	above for apparent low bid and bidder information and bidder information apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	0.000%	NO		NA			

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PI	PROJECT: Joyce Tank 2018 Exter		rior Painting Imp's		Bid [Date:	February	28, 2018	
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water			Evaluated Low Bidder	from Tabs I, II and III):		UCL, Inc.			
Section: Distribution			Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :	\$		980,548		
CIP No.		690477-100012		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Chris Scannell,	P.E.	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$	1,500,000.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$ 980,547.50	\$ 1,320,131.00	\$ 1,398,400.00	NA	NA	NA
	329.(17) Environmenta								
Арр	arent Low Bid:	\$	980,547.50	Apparent Low Bidder:	UCL	, Inc.			
D. D. T. II.	200 (47) 5								
PART IV: 3	329.(17) Environmenta	al Preference							
step 1		ed low bidder submit "envir pparent low bidder. For all	onmentally preferable" bid? Answer other bidder's, input "NA"	NO	NA	NA			
step 2	Excluding apparent bid?	low bidder, did bidder su	ubmit "environmentally preferable"	NA	YES	YES			
step 3	bid, then the apparen in Steps 4-6, complet	t/evaluated low bidder is le se Step 7, and proceed to T ated low bidder did not sub	ted an environmentally preferable ad bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5. mit an environmentally preferable bid,						
step 4	Calculate environmen		I. It is up to five percent (5%) of the 20,000 per bid.	0.000%	34.632%	42.614%	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for applied).	or each eligible bid (if 0, the	en no environmental preference was	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA
step 6		ronmental preference, exce se, both claiming EP cance	ept if both claim enviornmental els it for both	\$ 980,547.50	\$ 1,300,131.00	\$ 1,378,400.00	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount	\$ 980,547.50	Low Bidder:	UCL, Inc.					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	UCL, Inc.	\$	980,547.50
Project:	Joyce Tank 2018 Exterior Painting Im	p's	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	UCL, Inc.	A1 Industrial	American Sun.	Horizon Bros.	0	0
Bid		\$ 1,320,131.00	\$ 1,398,400.00	\$ 658,099.00	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and						
Next Highest Bid	34.63%	5.93%	-52.94%	-100.00%		

YES

YES

N/A

NO

N/A

YES

YES

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Gurantee Requirements Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

Bid Bond Letter of Credit Certified/Cashier's Check
Bond on City's bond form LOC on City's LOC form Drawn on a solvant bank

Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Contract Compliance Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Joyce Tank 2018 Exterior Painting Imp's

Recommended Bidder: UCL, Inc.

Contract Amount: \$ 980,547.50

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776

Evaluation Completion Date: 3/5/2018

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

Proiect:	Joyce Tank 2018 Exterior Painting Im	p's	
Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	UCL, Inc.	\$	980,547.50

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

<u>OSHA</u>

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

TAB VII: Notes

Overall bid questions:

PM's Responses:

(IC-c) 1. PM, are there any technical prequalification / specification requirements?

Yes, per TS 1.4. UCL meets these requirements

A1 Industrial Painting:

Issues:

(IA-b): 1. Form B1 - Interested Parties not filled in - marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(IIA-f,g): 1. cannot evaluate start/end dates or costs for past projects.

American Suncraft:

Issues:

(IIA-a): 1. bid is not statistically comparable to others...42.6% higher than lowest bidder; marked "No"

(IIA-f,g): 2. cannot evaluate start/end dates or costs for past projects; marked "NA"

Horizon Bros. Painting:

Issues: 1. **NON-RESPONSIVE**; not pre-qualified...turned in application 2/9/18.

2. did not submit 15% contingency so once corrected, their bid increased.

(IA-b): 3. Form B1 - Interested Parties not filled in - marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(IIA-f,g): 4. cannot evaluate start/end dates or costs for past projects.