

Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc.		Columbus, OH	Maj.
URS Corporation		Columbus, OH	Maj.
Prime Engineering & Architecture Inc.		Columbus, OH	FBE
Columbus Engineering Consultants, Inc.		Columbus, OH	ASN
American Structurepoint, Inc.		Columbus, OH	Maj.
Pomeroy & Associates, Ltd.		Worthington, OH	Maj.
R.W.Armstrong & Associates, Inc.		Columbus, OH	Maj.
Floyd Browne Group		Columbus, OH	Maj.
Chester Engineers		Columbus, OH	MBE
Ribway Engineering Group, Inc.		Columbus, OH	MBE
Dynotec, Inc.		Columbus, OH	MBE
GRW Engineers, Inc.		Columbus, OH	Maj.

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**
RFP

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc.	1
URS Corporation	2
Prime Engineering & Architecture Inc.	3
Columbus Engineering Consultants, Inc.	4
American Structurepoint, Inc.	5
Pomeroy & Associates, Ltd.	6
R.W.Armstrong & Associates, Inc.	7
Floyd Browne Group	8
Chester Engineers	9
Ribway Engineering Group, Inc.	10
Dynotec, Inc.	11
GRW Engineers, Inc.	12

4. **Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.**

*Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc.
1650 Watermark Drive, Suite 200
Columbus, Ohio 43215
John W. Panovsky, P.E., Partner
614-487-1650*

5. **A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.**

This is a SECAP-required project that will address the sanitary sewer overflow reduction requirements of the Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Consent Order. It will eliminate DSR 337

(located in MH 0233S0024 at Richards Rd / Granden Rd. intersection in the Clintonville Priority Area) and reduce WIB occurrences in the area. There were several possibilities presented in the WWMP to eliminate DSR 337. The best alternative was determined to be the Richards Road alternative. The project will develop, design and construct a 12-inch sanitary replacement sewer along Richards Road from Granden Rd., MH 0233S0024 to MH 0233S0005 in the alley just east of High Street, approximately 2,500 feet. The proposed 12-inch sanitary sewer will replace the existing 8-inch sanitary sewer in the same horizontal alignment, and will transfer existing services to properties on the north and south sides of Richards Road to the new 12-inch sewer. Vertical profile of the proposed 12-inch sewer has been developed through the modeling effort preceeding this project, resulting in an updated model of the Richards Rd. sanitary replacement sewer, originally developed as part of the WWMP (refer to the Design Memo by EMH&T dated 12/22/2009). The City will supply CCTV VHS tapes and logs from Clintonville sewer lining projects CIP 664 and 678 completed in 2005 to be used to identify and show existing active services on the plans. To comply with the OEPA Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) consent order and according to the WWMP 40-year Project Schedule, this project shall be under design no later than in 2010, under construction no later than in 2013, and in operation in 2014.

The project will also address stormwater collection/conveyance issues along Richards Rd. between Sharon Avenue and High Street, approximately 2,200 feet. There is an existing 8-inch VCP storm sewer located along Richards Road that begins just west of Sharon Avenue and runs west discharging to a storm sewer along High Street. The existing 8-inch storm sewer is in constant need of maintenance either from being plugged with debris and roots or because of broken tiles. The blocked storm sewer results in street flooding. This project will design an adequately-sized stormwater collection/conveyance system along Richards Road (with main line storm sewer under the pavement) between Sharon Avenue and High Street.

The project will also include roadway rehabilitation of Richards Road between High Street and Indianola Avenue, approximately 5,000 feet. Roadway rehabilitation will consist of resurfacing the pavement, and new straight curb and drive aprons as described below. The resurfacing operation shall consist of a 3 inch planing replaced with two 1.5 inch courses of asphalt (total of 3 inches asphalt). Based on previously performed pavement cores, the existing asphalt thickness ranges from 2-4 inches over a granular base. Any loose remaining asphalt shall be removed and the existing granular base shall be compacted prior to placement of new asphalt.

6. A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

A NTP is expected in October 2010, contract duration is 15 months.

7. An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

The full contract cost is \$224,508, out of which \$156,289 is DPU/DOSD portion, and \$68,219 is DPS portion.

8. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
Resource International, Inc.		FBE
Lee Testing and Engineering, Inc.		MBE

9. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Resource International, Inc.	\$4,000	Subsurface Utility Investigation
Lee Testing and Engineering, Inc.	\$9,000	Geotechnical Investigation

Ord No.:

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
-------------	---------------------------	-------------------	---------------

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**
3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**
4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**
5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**
6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**
7. **A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**
8. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**
9. **If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**
10. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

12. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

13. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
-------------	---------------------------	---------------

14. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Updated as of 4-10-10