

To: Tracie Davies, Director
Department of Public Utilities

From: Melinda Cunningham, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: May 4, 2017

Subject: Stormwater (ST-21, ST-22, and ST 23) Improvements Project CIP 611031-100000

The Department of Public Utilities solicited Requests for Proposals for the Stormwater (ST-21, ST-22, and ST-23) Improvements Project.

The intent of this project is to provide the City of Columbus, Department of Public Utilities, Division of Stormwater with preliminary engineering services to investigate, develop, and evaluate storm system and flood protection improvements for the area southwest of the Arena District near the confluence of the Scioto and Olentangy Rivers that: 1) meet the current City of Columbus Stormwater Drainage Manual criteria; 2) eliminate structural flooding for storm events up to and including the 100-year event; and 3) protect from Scioto River 100-year flood event.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services website from March 24, 2017 to April 21, 2017. The city received two (2) responses. Both proposals were deemed responsive and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on May 2, 2017.

The responding firms were:

CONSULTANT Sub-consultant	Business Enterprise Status	Contract Compliance Number	Active	% OF WORK	% MAJ. % OTH.
MS Consultants	CC	34-6546916	Y	51%	63% MAJ 37% OTH
DLZ Corp.	MBR	31-1268980	Y	35%	
CHA Consultanting, Inc.	CC	16-0966259	Y	12%	
DHDC Engineering	AS1	32-0376762	Y	1%	
Eagon & Associates	CC	31-1152778	Y	0%	
Stone Environmental	FBE	31-1262683	Y	1%	
AECOM Technical Serv.	MAJ	95-2661922	Y	45%	85% MAJ 15% OTH
EMH&T	CC	31-0685594	Y	30%	
Resource International	FBE	31-0669793	Y	15%	
S&ME, Inc.	CC	56-0791580	Y	10%	

This RFP Evaluation Committee included five (5) voting members – Ann Aubry, Patti Austin, Steve Salay, and Tracey Phelps from the Department of Public Utilities and Kaelyn Poindexter from the Office of Diversity and Inclusion. Non-voting members, but attendees, included Monica Hawkins and Lisa Wilson.

MS Consultants received 88 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100) and AECOM with 82 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee’s assessment of the two evaluated proposals for your final selection.

MS Consultants	88
AECOM	82

The Committee would like to submit MS Consultants for the Director’s review and recommendation. The Committee felt that MS Consultants submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

1. MS Consultants proposal identifies keys to success.
2. MS Consultants’ team has displayed representation in various projects, which will apply to this study.
3. MS Consultants’ analysis is robust.
4. MS Consultants has a good pump station analysis.
5. MS Consultants approach to the project is clear and concise.

Per City Code 329.28, please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

1. Approve of the committee’s recommendation, MS Consultants.
2. Reject the committee’s recommendations and designate a firm.
3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scoring firms.
4. Other.