#### Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

## 1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp.	Date City/State	Status
Trucco Construction Co., Inc.	31-1293605 - 6/12/14	Delaware, OH	MAJ
George J. Igel & Co., Inc.	31-4214570 - 12/20/14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Complete General Construction	31-4366382 - 10/3/15	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Conie Construction Co.	31-0800904 - 10/9/14	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Shelly & Sands, Inc.	31-4351261 - 1/6/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
S.J. Louis Construction	41-1440589 - inactive	Rockville, MN	MAJ
John Eramo & Sons, Inc.	31-0724866 - 12/16/15	Hilliard, OH	MAJ

### 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Formal competitive bids were opened on March 6, 2013.

#### 3. <u>List the ranking and order of all bidders.</u>

1. Trucco Construction Co., Inc.	\$2,172,937.03
2. George J. Igel & Co., Inc.	\$2,214,331.63
3. Complete General Construction	\$2,226,547.03
4. Conie Construction Co.	\$2,393,072.00
5. Shelly & Sands, Inc.	\$2,826,391.15
6. S.J. Louis Construction	\$3,117,482.50
7. *John Eramo & Sons, Inc.	\$1,854,203.67

\*John Eramo & Sons, Inc. withdrew bid

#### 4. <u>The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm</u> <u>awarded the original contract.</u>

Trucco Construction Co., Inc. 3531 Airport Rd. Delaware, Ohio 43015 Lou Piccin (740) 417-9010 EL014275

5. <u>A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of</u> work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Installation of 12-inch, 24-inch, 30-inch, 36-inch, and 48-inch water lines and appurtenances inclusive of approximately 2,200 linear feet of open cut trenching; installation of fire hydrants and water services, including horizontal directional drilling; utility pole and electrical facility relocation, and such other work as may be necessary to complete the contract in accordance with the plans and specifications set forth in the bid submittal documents.

6. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion.</u> The current contract completion date is April 30, 2014. 7. <u>A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project;</u> <u>community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental</u> <u>factors or advantages of the project.</u>

This project will limit the City's risk by replacing 100 year old infrastructure. The mains being installed are designed and specified to have a life expectancy of 100 years. By reducing the risk in this area, the City will have reduced maintenance costs over the life of the new mains.

# 8. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)</u>

No Modifications to date.

9. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> <u>modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

A significant amount of unforeseen groundwater was encountered during construction. Contractor was required to dewater the trench and restore pavement areas that were lost due to undermining of the trench. This work required additional time, labor, and materials, with production decreasing as a result.

10. <u>If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract</u> legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

A significant amount of unforeseen groundwater was encountered during construction. Although a geotechnical report was provided to the Contractor which noted the existing groundwater, the amount of groundwater encountered was significantly higher than anticipated. The groundwater required a significant amount of effort to control. The groundwater caused the trench to undermine which created additional required work to restore the excavation. This additional work created a decrease in production.

11. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

The additional work requiring the modification was relevant to the contract and was the most cost efficient and feasible way to address the issues. This work had to be completed in order for the current contract to continue.

12. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract Amount - \$2,172,937.03 Modification #1 - \$175,000.00 Total - \$2,347,937.03

#### 13. <u>An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.</u>

Actual costs to perform the work were submitted by the Contractor plus additional contingency to complete the project.