PROJECT:	Water Distribution Syst	Bid Date:	F	ebruary 10, 2016	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Schneider
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690522	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.	Schneider					
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,438,540.00	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$5,236,568.50					
		-100.00%					

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES					
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES					
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B10	YES					
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES					
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	Water Distribution Syst	em SCADA System Upgrade	Bid Date:	F	February 10, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Schneider
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	5,236,568.50

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No. 6905		690522	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	Project Manager: Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Schneider					
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 4,438,540.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$5,236,568.50					
PART IB: R	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES					
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES					
С	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")		YES					
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	YES					
e	subcontractor per of more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one livision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA					
f	Bidder stated, via affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")		YES					
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES					
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	Water Distribution Syst	em SCADA System Upgrade	Bid Date:	F	ebruary 10, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Schneider
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	5,236,568.50

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690522	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	Project Manager: Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Schneider					
Engineer'	ngineer's Estimate: \$ 4,438,540.00		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$5,236,568.50					
PART IC: R	desponsiveness Cri	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES					
b	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES					
С		ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES					
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (3:	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES					
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES					
f	Bidder submitted a B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES					
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM I	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES					
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES					
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES					
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	NA					
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES					
I	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6		YES					
m		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES					
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES					
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES					
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PRO	OJECT:	Water Distribution Syste	em SCADA System	Upgrade	Bid	Date:	February	y 10, 2016
Departmer	nt/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Schneider	
Section:		Distribution		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	5,236,568.50
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		21	21	aut.	Est	Cal.
CIP No.		690522	Apparent Low COMPANY NAME	2nd COMPANY NAME	3rd COMPANY NAME	4th COMPANY NAME	5th COMPANY NAME	6th COMPANY NAME
	oject Manager: Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Schneider	COMPANT NAME	COMPANT NAME	CONFANTIVANIE	CONFANTIVANL	COMPANTINAME
	gineer's Estimate: \$ 4,438,540.00		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$5,236,568.50					
	The "Evaluated Lo	riteria, Contract Compliance ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance	A "no" answer in Par	rt IE will not necessaril		oonsive. Bidder must hexecution.	nave a valid contract co	empliance no. prior to
u u	number at the time	e of bid.	123					
	Bid Responsivness, Waiver Considerations:		Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office				
		Proceed to Part II?	YES					
	LC	DWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$5,236,568.50		Manually Enter Amou	int		
	LOV	VEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Schneider		Manually Enter Inform	nation		

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Water Distribution Syst	Upgrade	Bid I	Date:	February 10, 2016			
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):				Schn	Schneider	
Section:	Distribution		Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):		\$	5,236,568.50	
	·							
CIP No.	690522	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.	Schneider	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,438,540.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
		\$ 5,236,568.50	0	0	0	0	0	

PART IIA: (329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	NA					
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES					
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES					
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES					
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES					
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES					
Į.	Responsibility Score:	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES					



Tab 2: 5 of 10

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

DI	ROJECT:	Water Distribution Syst	om SCADA Systo	m Ungrado	Rid	Date:	Eobruary	10, 2016
- FI	TOULUT.	water Distribution 3950	em scada syste	in Opgraue	Biu i	Date.	rebluary	10, 2010
Departme Section:	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water Distribution			er (from Tabs I and II): (from Tabs I and II) :		Schn \$	eider 5,236,568.50
CIP No. Project M		690522 Phil Schmidt, P.E.	COMPANY NAME Schneider	COMPANY NAME NA	COMPANY NAME NA	COMPANY NAME NA	COMPANY NAME NA	COMPANY NAME NA
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 4,438,540.00	Bid Amount \$ 5,236,568.50	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.2	12 Local Bidder	+ 5)=50)					
_	1							
		IS BID LOCAL?	YES					
To look up PART IIIA:	if a bidder is local, i	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis	st located at	X:\Construction Prequa	<u>lification</u>			
step 1		LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to Total Steps 6 & 7 and continue to	AB IV. JE TO TO STEP 2 (see					
Part IIIB:								
step 2	Percentage differen	ice of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior si	tep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 4	DID AGENCY FOLI	SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO ONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AI (\$0)	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO						
Part IIIC:								
step 6	REVISED LOW	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 5,236,568.50		Manually Enter Amoun	t		
step 7	REVISED LOW	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Schneider		Manually Enter Informa	ation		

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PF	ROJECT:	Wa	ter Distribution System	SCADA System Up	grade	Bid I	Date:	February	10, 2016
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities	/ Water			(from Tabs I, II and III):			eider
Section:		Distribution			Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	5,236,569
CIP No.		690522		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	Manager: Phil Schmidt, P.E.		E.	Schneider	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$	4,438,540.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$ 5,236,568.50	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	ART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference Apparent Low Bid: \$ 5,236,568.5			A	Sohr	eider			
Appa	irent Low Bid:	\$	5,236,568.50	Apparent Low Bidder:	Schi	leider			
PART IV: 32	29.(17) Environmenta	I Preference							
step 1			conmentally preferable" bid? Answer other bidder's, input "NA"	NO					
	Excluding apparent bid?	low bidder, did bidder so	ubmit "environmentally preferable"	NA					
step 3	bid, then the apparent		ted an environmentally preferable ad bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5.						
	If the apparent/evalua bid, proceed to Steps		mit an environmentally preferable						
		ntal preference for each bid w bid, for a maximum of \$2	I. It is up to five percent (5%) of the 20,000 per bid.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	step 5 Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).			\$ -	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	step 6 Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both			\$ 5,236,568.50	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 5,236,568.50	Low Bidder:	Schneider					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Schneider	\$ 5,236,568.50
Project:	Water Distribution System SCADA System Upgrade	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Schneider	U	U	U	U	U
Bid	\$ 5,236,568.50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid						

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Certified/Cashier's Check Drawn on a solvant bank

YES

NO

N/A

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed. Contract Compliance

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio? Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

> If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Water Distribution System SCADA System Upgrade Project Name: Recommended Bidder: Schneider Electric Buildings Americas \$5,236,568.50 Contract Amount:

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776

Evaluation Completion Date: 2/11/2016

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Schneider	\$ 5,236,568.50	
Project:	Water Distribution System SCADA System Upgrade		

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

TAB VII: Notes

Schneider Electric

Tab 1, Part 1C-c: Technical Prequalifications

Anything other than the usual (ex. Specific experience?)
Marked "yes" for now.

Tab 1, Part 1C-h: Materially Balanced?

18% higher than Engineer's Estimate. Marked "yes" based on PM's comment.

Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statistically Comparable to other Bidders

Sole bidder; marked "NA".

Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:

of projects meeting deadlines: 2 out of 3. Marked "yes" based on PM's comment.

of projects meeting costs: 0 out of 3. Marked "yes" based on PM's comment.

Phil Schmidt's comments:

Yes - Bidder meets qualification requirements and their products and services were used as the basis of design.

Yes - The lack of competition may have contributed to a higher than expected bid. However, I do not consider this to be a materially unbalanced bid. I attribute the significant difference between bid and estimate to a poorly prepared engineer's opinion of probable cost.

Yes- The uniqueness of the project precludes comparisons to similar projects.