

**Department of Public Utilities
Summary Report**

Division: Department of Public Utilities - Directors Office

Date of Notice
To City Council: _____

Project: _____ **Estimated Cost:** _____
Community Watershed Stewardship Pr \$100,000

RFP Due Date: May16,2006
Date of Report: _____

Committee's Ranking of Technical Proposals

Offeror:	Total Points:
Friends of Big Walnut Creek Watershed (FOBWC)	80.4
Friends of the Lower Olentangy Watershed (FLOW)	83.5

Committee Members

Name:	Classification:
Frances Beasley	Assistant Director
Jeff A. Cox	Stormwater Project Engineer
Stephanie Smith	Equal Business Opportunity Advisor
Rick Tilton	Assistant Director
Lorraine Winters	Water Protection Coordinator

Evaluation of Proposal

Competence of Offeror

OEPA 319 or ODNR Watershed Group status (10); knowledge of watershed demographics & relationships with community & watershed residents (5); activities are part of OEPA Watershed Action Plan (5); Activities are integrated into existing community plans(5)

Offeror: FOBWC

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
25	17.4

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Has OEPA watershed group status but Watershed Action Plan (WAP) is awaiting approval; proposal is unclear re integrating activities into draft WAP and existing community plans; good knowledge of watershed demographics demonstrated

Offeror: FLOW

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
25	20.1

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Watershed Action Plan (WAP) endorsed by OEPA but Watershed coordinator presently not in place; proposal addresses existing community relations and integration into community plans; knowledge of watershed demographics; relationships with watershed residents clearly established

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
25	

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
25	

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Evaluation of Proposal

Ability of Offeror

Addresses criteria of the City's anticipated MS4 Permit (15); Builds upon DPU's current education and outreach activities (10).

Offeror: Friends of Big Walnut Creek (FOBWC)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

**Max. # pts.
Possible**

25

**Points
Received**

22

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Although many projects are outlined some are unclear as to which contaminants are addressed. Proposal makes good use of DPU education materials, i.e. We All Live Downstream, and integrates with current Project Clean Rivers outreach activities such as "No Dumping Drains to River" storm drain marking.

Offeror: Friends of the Lower Olentangy Watershed (FLOW)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

**Max. # pts.
Possible**

25

**Points
Received**

18.4

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Limited discussion as to which pollutants are targeted. No mention of household hazardous waste or tires. Businesses are not specifically targeted as part of outreach and education. Proposal addresses riparian landowners throughout the DPU service area.

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

**Max. # pts.
Possible**

25

**Points
Received**

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

**Max. # pts.
Possible**

25

**Points
Received**

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Evaluation of Proposal

Past Performance of Offeror

Successful completion or lead on similar project (7.5); Specific experience in environmental education and outreach activities (7.5); Specific experience in public education and outreach activities (5)

Offeror: Friends of Big Walnut Creek (FOBWC)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible Points Received
20 18

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Experience cited in leading watershed tours, forums, storm drain marking and stream clean-ups; consultant working with the group lists technical experience and expertise; has performed public education and outreach activities in the watershed

Offeror: Friends of the Lower Olentangy Watershed (FLOW)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible Points Received
20 19.5

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

This proposal is an expansion of FLOW's Backyard Conservation Program which is already in place. Excellent track record of achievement with similar projects; has provided a series of public education forums and activities with watershed residents

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible Points Received
20 _____

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible Points Received
20 _____

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Evaluation of Proposal

Quality and Feasibility

Target audience is clearly identified (5); Proposal is clearly written, well-organized & succinct (5); Proposal time lines are reasonable (5); Goals are clear and objectives are measurable (5)

Offeror: Friends of Big Walnut Creek (FOBWC)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
20	14

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

The proposal provides a menu of services, however, some of the goals and objectives could use clarification. The target audiences are clearly identified, however, it is difficult to identify plan details, costs and timelines from supportive arguments

Offeror: Friends of the Lower Olentangy Watershed (FLOW)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
20	16.5

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Project goals, timelines and objectives are clearly outlined and riparian landowners are identified as the target audience. It is unclear how results and outcomes will be quantified and documented.

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
20	

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
20	

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Evaluation of Proposal

Local Workforce

At least 90% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted (10). At least 75% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted (8). At least 90% of the Team's labor will be performed in an office location within Franklin County but outside of the Columbus Corporate limits on the date the proposal is submitted (8). At least 50% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted. (5).

Note: Team = Prime & Sub-Consultants

Offeror: Friends of Big Walnut Creek

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
10	9

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

FOBWC office is located in Gahanna

Offeror: Friends of the Lower Olentangy Watershed (FLOW)

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
10	9

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Watershed coordinator office is in Columbus

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
10	

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Offeror: _____

Proposed Subcontractors: _____

Max. # pts. Possible	Points Received
10	

Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided

Project Leadership

Identify the project personnel committed to leadership of the project. Note specific competencies, experience, skills and prior or current city commitments.

Offeror: Friends of Big Walnut Creek (FOBWC)

Personnel Assigned to Project: Susan Moeller

Description of Project Leadership:

Susann is relatively new in the position of OEPA Watershed Coordinator for FOBWC. She has extensive experience in watershed planning, conservation organizations, environmental and public education activities. The group proposed a cafeteria-style of program options. It is recommended that the less-complicated proposals be negotiated prior to tackling the high-cost, consultant assisted projects.

Offeror: Friends of the Lower Olentangy Watershed (FLOW)

Personnel Assigned to Project: Watershed Coordinator and Board

Description of Project Leadership:

FLOW is hiring a new watershed coordinator. The project is an expansion of their current Backyard Conservation Program and the group has extensive experience and competence to complete the project as proposed.

Offeror: _____

Personnel Assigned to Project: _____

Description of Project Leadership:

Offeror: _____

Personnel Assigned to Project: _____

Description of Project Leadership: