OKL	0#1544-2011	TY OF COLUMBIA				
		TY OF COLUMBUS EVALUATION FORM	/ I			
	DID	EVALUATION FURN	1			
	Department:	Public Utilities		CIP Number:	690359	9-100001
	Division:	Water			S. Wellfield Exp. CW-106 & Pump H	
-	21/18/01/	11,002		Funding Source:		onds
				Bid Opening Date:		7/11
	Lowest Bidder:	\$3,768,600.00		Engineer's Estimate		4,200.00
-	Non-Local Lowest Bidder:	\$3,791,810.00		2.19.11.01 5 250.11.11.0	¥ .ys .	-,=0000
		+0,172,020100				
		Kokosing	Reynolds	Dugan & Meyers	Igel	
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$3,768,600.00	\$3,791,810.00	\$4,124,422.50	\$4,331,250.00	
	Local Company? (Proof s/b included.)	yes	no	no	yes	
	Doein Company (21001 5/6 metadeas)	yes	no no	no	yes	
	EACTORS					
	FACTORS Tion I. Mondatory Footors					
M-1	Tier I - Mandatory Factors Bid is responsive (Y or N)	****	***	***	***	
M-2	Bidder is compliant with city taxes on payroll and net profits (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
M-3	Bidder will make financial statements available upon request (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
M-4	Bidder will make financial statements available upon request (Y or N) Bidder is currently debarred from doing business with any govt. agency (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
M-4 M-5		no	no	no	no	
_	Bidder & subs have requisite licenses (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
M-6	Bidder & subs have provided a contract compliance #, or application (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
	Proceed to Tier II?	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
	Tier II - Creditable Factors					
C 1						
C-1	Bidder is eligible for local credit? - (see below for clarification)	yes	no	no	yes	no
G 4	If lowest bidder is local, they do receive local credit, as long as there is a non-local bidder. If					
C-2	Environmental Preference: To receive preference, bidder must include documentation that					
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y or N)	yes	no	10.00(yes	//T.A.W. W.
	Difference versus lowest bidder (%)	NA	NA		15.0%	#VALUE!
	Difference versus lowest bidder (\$)	NA	NA	\$375,822.50	\$562,650.00	#VALUE!
	Does bidder receive environmental preference? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND	,				
	less than \$20,000, of the lowest bid.	n/a	no TAT GE		1.000/	N 7.4
	Total Local Credit	1.00%	FALSE		1.00%	NA NA
	Dollar Value of Credits	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	<u>'</u>	\$20,000.00	NA NA
	Evaluated Bid Total:	\$3,748,600.00	\$3,791,810.00	\$4,124,422.50	\$4,311,250.00	NA
	Low Bid Dollar Amount After Credits	\$3,748,600.00	\$3,791,810.00	\$4,124,422.50	\$4,311,250.00	\$0.00
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0%	1%	10.0%	15.0%	#VALUE!
	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid after credits)	yes	yes	no	no	#VALUE!
	Proceed to Tier III?	yes	yes	no	no	#VALUE!
	Tier III - Employer & History Factors					
E-1	Bidder has local workforce? (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
E-2	Bidder is a quality training contractor? (Y or N)	yes	no	no	yes	
E-3	Bidder has health insurance? (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
E-4	Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	
	Pillaharan dafan and Faran					
IIE 4	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with City of Columbus affirmative action					
	programs Pill I Compare to the compa	no	no	no	no	
	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with federal, state and local affirmative action					
	programs	no	no		no	
	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds	no	no		no	
	Bidder has paid liquidated damages in the past 3 years	yes	no		no	
	Principals or principal employees of bidder have record of fraud, collusion, etc.	no	no		no	
HE-6	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with the following:	no	no	no	no	

		TY OF COLUMBUS EVALUATION FORM	М				
	Domonton out.	Dublic Hallaton		CID Nameh om	(00250	100001	
	Department:	Public Utilities		CIP Number:		V 106 % Down House	
	Division:	Water		·		V-106 & Pump House	
-				Funding Source:	Bonds 9/7/11 \$4,974,200.00		
	Lowest Bidder:	\$3,768,600.00		Bid Opening Date: Engineer's Estimate			
	Non-Local Lowest Bidder:	\$3,791,810.00		Engineer's Estimate	\$4,974	1,200.00	
-	Non-Local Lowest bluder:	\$5,791,810.00					
-		Valvasina	Downalda	Dugan & Manana	Tool		
	D51 T-1. A	Kokosing	Reynolds	Dugan & Meyers	<u>Igel</u>		
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$3,768,600.00	\$3,791,810.00	\$4,124,422.50	\$4,331,250.00		
	Local Company? (Proof s/b included.)	yes	no	no	yes		
	<u>FACTORS</u>						
	A. Fair Labor Standards Act	no	no	no	no		
	B. Prevailing Wage Laws	no	no	no	no		
	C. Unemployment Compensation Laws	no	no	no	no		
	D. Worker's Compensation Laws	no	no	no	no		
	Bidder has a record of "willful or "serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years						
	(verify on OSHA.gov)	yes	yes	no	yes		
	Bidder has an adequate health and safety plan and supporting documentation	yes	yes	yes	yes		
	Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EMR	yes	yes	yes	yes		
HE-10	Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no	no	no	no		
HE-11	The results of Bidder's references are questionable	no	no	no	no		
	Total Negative History and Experience Factors:	-2	-2	-1	-1	0	
	A negative score in tier III may result in further investigation of bid, if merited.						
	Bid investigated with satisfactory outcome? (Requires user input)	yes	yes	yes			
	Proceed to Tier IV?	yes	yes	yes	no	no	
	Tier IV - Resource Factors (yes answers desirable)						
Note: T	ier IV entails examining resource factors of bidder specific to the project for which the bid						
1.	Bidder has sufficient physical resources to reasonably complete this project (e.g.,						
	eqpt.)	yes	yes	yes	yes		
	Bidder's management has sufficient experience to ensure completion of project	yes	yes	yes	yes		
	Bidder's workforce has sufficient experience to complete this project	yes	yes	yes	yes		
	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel proposed for this project	yes	yes	yes	yes		
R-5	Bidder does not have too many current projects to take on this project	yes	yes	yes	yes		
	Total Number of "Yes" Answers	5	5	5	5	0	
	Division's Recommendation:	Division's Recommendation: Kokosing Construction Company, Inc.					