PROJECT:	Fisher Road Booster S	Bid Date:	April 6, 2016	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	Righter Co.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 509,410.00

	Bids from Apparent lowe	est to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690473-100009		COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Righter Co.					
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 30	02,452.34	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$ 509,410.00					
			-100.00%					

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES					
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES					
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES					
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	NA					
е	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES					
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES					
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	Fisher Road Booster S	Bid Date:	April 6, 2016	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	Righter Co.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 509,410.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690473-100009	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	roject Manager: Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Righter Co.					
Engineer	gineer's Estimate: \$ 302,452.34		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 509,410.00					
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cr	iteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES					
b	licensed constructi	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES					
С	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- ble or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	YES					
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	YES					
е	subcontractor per of more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA					
f	trade subcontractoresponsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction ors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	YES					
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or ty. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES					
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	Fisher Road Booster S	station Roof Replacement	Bid Date:	April 6, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:	Righter Co.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$ 509,410.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to high	est: Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690473-100009	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.	Righter Co.					
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 302,452.3	4 Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 509,410.00					
PART IC: R		riteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	answers needed for	responsive bid)
a		omit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES					
b	Bidder acknowled	lged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	NA					
С		with technical pre-qualification iffication requirements where applicable.	NA					
d	The bid contains invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the $329.23)(f)(1)(d)$	YES					
е	Bidder added no p (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	provisions reserving right to accept or reject awar	d. YES					
f	Bidder submitted	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES					
g	Bidder did not sul FORM B2	bmit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES					
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES					
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect $(1)(1)(1)$ and $(329.23)(1)(1)(1)$	YES YES					
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if requir FORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter						
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES					
- 1	Bidder has compli (329.23)(f)(1)(k) F	ied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontract CORM B5	ors. YES					
m		ain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it dodocuments. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES			_		_
n	Bid responds to b (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	id documents in all material respects.	YES					
0	Bid is complete. (3	329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES					
		BID	IS: RESPONSIVE					

PRO	OJECT:	Fisher Road Booster S	tation Roof Repla	cement	Bid	Date:	April (6, 2016
Departmen	nt/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Right	ter Co.
Section:		Distribution		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	509,410.00
		Pide from Assessment to the bishest						
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690473-100009	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.	Righter Co.					
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$ 302,452.34	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 509,410.00					
2	•	riteria, Contract Compliance w Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	A "no" answer in Par	rt IE will not necessaril		oonsive. Bidder must hexecution.	nave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to
						•	•	•
	Bid Res	ponsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office				
		Proceed to Part II?	YES					
•								
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I		\$ 509,410.00	<u> </u>	Manually Enter Amou	int		
	LOW	/EST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Righter Co.		Manually Enter Inform	nation		

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Fisher Road Booster Station Roof Replacement				Bid Date: April 6, 2016				
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / W	/ater	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):				Righter Co.		
Section:	Distribution		Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):			\$ 509,410.00			
CIP No.	690473-100009		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Righter Co.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	302,452.34	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$ 509,410.00	0	0	0	0	0	

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES					
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES					
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES					
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES					
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES					
	Responsibility Score:	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES					



TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PF	ROJECT:	Fisher Road Booster S	Station Roof Rep	lacement	Bid I	Date:	April 6	, 2016
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	_	Evaluated Low_Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):	_	Right	er Co.
Section:		Distribution		Evaluated Low Bid		\$ 509,410.00		
CIP No.		690473-100009	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Phil Schmidt, P.E.	Righter Co.	NA	NA	NA		
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 302,452.34	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
			\$ 509,410.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.2	12 Local Bidder						
		IS BID LOCAL?	YES					
To look up	if a hidder is local u	ise the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis		V:\ Construction Broquel	ification			
PART IIIA:	ii a biaaci is iocal, t	so the office of construction requalifications is	n iooutou ut	X:\Construction Prequa	<u>IIICatiOII</u>			
step 1		OW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to Tour Steps 6 & 7 and cont	AB IV. JE TO TO STEP 2 (see					
Part IIIB:								
step 2	Percentage differen	ce of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior st BID?	ep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 4	DID AGENCY FOLL	EE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. OW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO DNS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AM (\$0)	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO						
Part IIIC:								
step 6	REVISED LOW	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 509,410.00		Manually Enter Amoun	t		
step 7	REVISED LOW	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Righter Co.		Manually Enter Informa	ation		

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PF	ROJECT:	Fis	her Road Booster Statio	n Roof Replaceme	nt	Bid I	Date:	April 6	6, 2016
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / W	/ater	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II a				Right	er Co.
Section:		Distribution			Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	509,410
_									
CIP No.		690473-100009		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M		Phil Schmidt, P.E.		Righter Co.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$	302,452.34	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$ 509,410.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	29.(17) Environmenta		F00 440 00		Diale.	ar Ca			
App	arent Low Bid:	\$	509,410.00	Apparent Low Bidder:	Right	er Co.			
DADT IV. O	00 (47) Fi	D							
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	Preference							
step 1		d low bidder submit "environm parent low bidder. For all othe	entally preferable" bid? Answer er bidder's, input "NA"	YES					
step 2	Excluding apparent	low bidder, did bidder submi	t "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA					
step 3	then the apparent/eva		in environmentally preferable bid, ir for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps						
	If the apparent/evalua proceed to Steps 6 an		n environmentally preferable bid,						
step 4		tal preference for each bid. It is, for a maximum of \$20,000 pe	s up to five percent (5%) of the non- er bid.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount fo applied).	r each eligible bid (if 0, then no	environmental preference was	\$ -	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6		onmental preference, except if se, both claiming EP cancels it		\$ 509,410.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 509,410.00	Low Bidder:	Righter Co.					
		•		†					

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Righter Co.	\$	509,410.00
Project:	Fisher Road Booster Station Roof Replacement		

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Righter Co.	0	0	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 509,410.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid						

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

NO YES

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

YES YES

YES

NO

N/A

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Contract Compliance Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting $\frac{1}{2}$

service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio.

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Fisher Road Booster Station Roof Replacement

Recommended Bidder: The Righter Co., Inc.

Contract Amount: \$ 509,410.00

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776
Evaluation Completion Date: 4/7/2016

Tab 5: 8 of 9

TAB VII: Notes

The Righter Co.	PM's comments:			
Tab 1, Part 1C-c: Are there Technical Prequalifications (other than pre-qualification)?				
Marked "N/A".	There are no technical prequalifications requied. (PAS)			
Tab 4. Port 4C by Materially Polarica d2				
Tab 1, Part 1C-h: Materially Balanced?				
Sole bidder so nothing to compare to. Marked "Yes".	-			
Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statistically Comparable/Further Documentation Needed:	Bid was much higher than E.E., however we checked references in			
Bid is 68.42% higher than E.E. Marked "Yes" for now.	construction industry and determined that this bid amount was in			
Bid is don't 275 mg. i.e. than 2.121 marked 1.65 for now	line with current market conditions. (PAS)			
Tab 2, Part IIA-e: Experience	inc with current market conditions. (1 A5)			
Tab 2, Fare in Controlled				
Projects listed don't have anything to do with roofing (that I can tell). PM to decide.	This contractor has constructed booster stations for the City of			
Marked "Yes" for now.	Columbus in the past and has sufficient experience. (PAS)			
Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:				
	Each example project provided included change orders for extra			
# of projects meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3; marked "Yes" for now. PM to evaluate.	work, therefore it is not necessarily the bidders fault that original			
# of projects meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3; marked "Yes" for now. PM to evaluate.	project deadlines were not met. (PAS)			