

Ord No.

**Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:**

- 1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

| <b>Name</b>           | <b>C.C. No./Exp. Date</b> |          | <b>City/State</b> | <b>Status</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|
| AECOM                 | 952661922                 | 10/11/14 | Chicago, IL       | Maj           |
| Brown and Caldwell    | 941446346                 | 5/2/14   | Columbus, OH      | Maj           |
| Burgess & Niple, Inc. | 310885550                 | 10/4/14  | Columbus, OH      | Maj           |
| CH2M Hill             | 320100027                 | 1/7/15   | Dallas, TX        | Maj           |
| EMH&T                 | 310685594                 | 9/22/13  | New Albany/OH     | Maj           |
| Gresham Smith         | 620794126                 | Inactive | Dublin, OH        | Maj           |
| HDR                   | 470680568                 | 9/10/14  | Columbus, OH      | Maj           |
| ME/IBI Group          | 311442777                 | 11/20/14 | Westerville, OH   | Maj           |
| ms consultants        | 346546916                 | 4/5/14   | Youngstown, OH    | Maj           |
| Pomeroy & Associates  | 311568332                 | 12/13/13 | Worthington, OH   | Maj           |
| Stantec               | 112167170                 | 12/21/13 | Chicago, IL       | Maj           |
| Strand                | 391020418                 | 10/31/13 | Madison/WI        | Maj           |
| URS                   | 340939859                 | 7/28/13  | Atlanta/GA        | Maj           |

- 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**  
RFP

- 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders (top 6 will be awarded projects).**

Strand  
URS  
EMH&T  
Brown and Caldwell  
Stantec  
Burgess & Niple, Inc.  
Gresham Smith  
HDR  
AECOM  
ms consultants  
ME/IBI Group  
CH2M Hill  
Pomeroy & Associates

- 4. Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.**

Stantec  
Elie Sabbagh, P.E.  
1500 Lake Shore Dr. Ste. 100,  
Columbus, OH 43204  
(614) 486-4383

- 5. A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.**

It is the objective of the City to mitigate overflows of DSR 335 to the 10-year level of service. The City will evaluate and determine if this LOS is best achieved with strictly I&I elimination with GI or in combination with some gray infrastructure.

To accomplish this objective, the scope of work for this project will include all the requisite investigation, evaluation, formulation, and design to produce fully independent construction documents for the remediation of inflow and infiltration (I/I),

green infrastructure (GI) technologies and other related activities and/or documents necessary for project completion.

**6. A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.**

It is anticipated that a Notice to Proceed will be issued May 5, 2013, Detailed Design is projected to be completed by August 2014, land acquisition is projected to be completed December 2014, Construction is projected to commence March 2015 and with a projected completion by March 2016.

**7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**

The City has embarked upon its approved Wet-Weather Management Plan (WWMP). Currently, that plan is almost entirely comprised of a series of treatment plant capacity upgrades, storage tanks, tunnels, and other piping system augmentations; collectively known as “gray” infrastructure. After approval of the WWMP, the use of more renewable, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems, commonly referred to as “green” infrastructure, has been recognized and implemented in many communities as a potential solution to many of the elements associated with consent order requirements.

It is anticipated there will be a greater impact to both economic impact and advantages by implementing a group of smaller projects which would be conducive for competition with local suppliers and vendors as opposed to the large scale, previously devised, WWMP projects.

Community and Outreach for the project will be conducted by the City, the selected consultant and the Public Outreach Consultant, Brown and Caldwell, which is currently under contract for this work.

**8. An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.**

\$1,706,877.08 (base contract)  
 \$450,000.00 (anticipated modification)  
 \$2,156,877.08 (total)

**9. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):**

| <u>Name</u>                 | <u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u> |            | <u>Status</u> |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|
| DLZ                         | 31-1268980                | 02.19.2015 | Maj           |
| Williams Creek Consulting   | 36-4498641                | 09.13.2014 | Maj           |
| Resource International Inc. | 31-0669793                | 05.23.2014 | FBE           |
| Chester Engineers           | 20-2401674                | 5.31.2013  | MBE           |

**10. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.**

| <u>Name</u>                 | <u>Scope of Work</u>  | <u>Amount</u> |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| DLZ                         | Records Search; Field Investigation; H&H; Geotechnical Investigation; Design; Bidding; Record Plans; Survey | \$ 243,549.86 |
| Williams Creek Consulting   | Records Search; Field Investigation; H&H; Design; Bid Documents; Bidding; Meetings                          | \$ 236,100.90 |
| Resource International Inc. | Survey; Utility Locating; Design  | \$ 49,626.50  |
| Chester Engineers           | Records Search; Field Investigation; Design; Bid Documents; Bidding; Meetings; Record Plans                 | \$ 144,544.10 |

Ord No.:

**Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

| <b><u>Name</u></b> | <b><u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u></b> | <b><u>City/State</u></b> | <b><u>Status</u></b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.
  
8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)
  
9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)
  
10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)
  
11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)
  
12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.
  
13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.
  
14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

| Name | C.C. No./Exp. Date | Status . |
|------|--------------------|----------|
|------|--------------------|----------|

**15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.**

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11