

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.	32-0100027 : 12/2/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
ARCADIS US, Inc. (fka Malcolm Pirnie)	57-0373224 : 7/10/15	Columbus, OH	MAJ
CDM Smith, Inc.	04-2473650 : 12/4/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
HDR Engineering, Inc.	47-0680568 : 8/13/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ

Note: All firms had MBE/FBE participation proposed for their project teams.

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**
Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened February 16, 2007.

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1. CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.
2. ARCADIS US, Inc. (fka Malcolm Pirnie)
3. CDM Smith Inc.
4. HDR Engineering, Inc.

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.
1103 Schrock Road, Suite 400
Columbus, Ohio 43229
Melanie Gamez, P.E., (614) 825-6721, Melanie.Gamez@CH2M.com
Original P.O.: EL007318 (Ord. #1073-2007)
Mod #1 P.O.: EL012078 (Ord. #1030-2011)
Mod #2 P.O.: EL013280, (Ord. #1187-2012)

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

This project entails professional consulting/engineering services to provide full-service assistance to the City to evaluate options and design an upgrade to the Parsons Avenue Water Plant (PAWP) to reliably treat 30 MGD of ground water under the direct influence of surface water (GWUDI). The selected professional service firm will prepare technical reports of evaluation findings and make recommendations for improvements and/or alterations to the physical facilities and treatment processes, evaluate and design an upgrade to replace existing plant electrical service, including the electrical switch gear for the plant upgrade, prepare construction documents for the selected design, and perform contract administration services. Once the project moves into construction, the firm will also provide engineering services during construction in concert with the professional construction management firm.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The construction phase is anticipated to begin in February 2015 and last for approximately 3.5 years. This modification provides funding for Engineering Services during Construction for a portion of the estimated construction phase.

Legislation for future contract modification requests will be submitted to fund engineering services during construction for the remainder of the construction period.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

This project is necessary to meet anticipated regulatory requirements for the Parsons Avenue Water Plant (PAWP). The PAWP is an essential and integral component in the Columbus area water supply and treatment infrastructure. Adequate safe supply of water is essential to economic growth and development.

Public informational meetings are not anticipated for this project, all proposed work should be within the boundaries of the water treatment facility. Regulatory agencies will be notified of the proposed work as appropriate.

The consultant team has identified a commitment to the Mayor's Green Initiative in their business practices. Upgrades to the electrical systems at the plant will be evaluated for increased efficiency and reduced energy consumption. A construction waste management program will be employed to ensure that certain amounts of construction waste are recycled and diverted from landfills.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

The Original contract (1073-2007, EL007318, \$1,740,400.00) entailed tasks identified as Preliminary Engineering, including Bench-Scale Demonstration and Microbial Studies which were compiled into a Preliminary Engineering Report.

Modification #1 (1030-2011, EL012078, \$275,000) was to update the project direction based on source water quality, current and proposed regulations, and existing plant conditions, and to finalize the Preliminary Engineering Report, develop design alternatives and cost estimates.

Modification #2 (1187-2012, EL013280, \$5,950,000) provided final detailed design of the plant upgrades and bidding, including 30%, 60% and 90% design submittals and review meetings, Value Engineering, regulatory submittals, geotechnical and groundwater investigations, and bidding services.

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This Modification (No. 3) provides funding for Engineering Services During Construction, including onsite construction representation, submittal and RFI reviews, change order preparation, special inspections, training and asset management services and preparation of records documents, online training, an ArcFlash Study and other engineering services during construction as outlined in the contract scope of work.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This was a planned contract modification as indicated in the original authorizing legislation (Ord #1073-2007), the first contract modification (Ord #1030-2011), and the second contract modification (Ord #1187-2012). This modification will fund a portion of the Engineering Services during Construction.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The consultant team is very familiar with the details of the project and has performed a multitude of tests, prepared a series of reports and documentation detailing their findings and recommendations, and have compiled the Preliminary Design Report, Detailed Design documents and Bidding documents. This contract was anticipated to be funded in phases as indicated on the original authorized legislation. The process of selecting and contracting with a new consultant team at this time and having them start with data and reports prepared by another consultant would further delay the project and the design of major upgrades that will help the plant provide reliable service and preparedness for future regulatory changes or updates.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

CONTRACT AMOUNT:

Original contract amount:	\$ 1,740,400.00	(EL007318)
Modification #1:	\$ 275,000.00	(EL012078)
Modification #2:	\$ 5,950,000.00	(EL013280)
Modification #3 (current request):	\$ 1,250,000.00	
Modification #4 (future request):	\$ 3,450,000.00	
Current new contract amount:	\$12,665,400.00	

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The Consultant prepared an estimate of cost for the remaining scope of work based on a series of meetings and defined task list. City Project management staff reviewed, provided input into the scope and the fees, and approved this cost proposal.