

ORD 0681-2006

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

URS Corporation
DLZ Ohio, Inc.
Gannett Fleming Engineers & Architects, P.C.

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

URS Corporation	Columbus, Ohio
DLZ Ohio, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio
Gannett Fleming Engineers & Architects, P.C.	Westerville, Ohio

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

URS Corporation	Majority
DLZ Ohio, Inc.	Majority
Gannett Fleming Engineers & Architects, P.C.	Majority

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

URS Corporation	Columbus, Ohio	EL003430
-----------------	----------------	----------

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Engineer prepared complete plans and specifications for the bidding and construction of the Livingston Avenue Booster Station. This modification will provide for construction administration services.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The construction administration services will be needed until the contractor is finished with construction; this is expected to take 12 months.

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

No prior modifications

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

Construction administration services

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

Construction administration services were presented in the original proposal, but it was decided to wait until the project was ready to be built before securing these services.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

The design Engineer has unique knowledge gained by working on the design of the project. They are well qualified to perform the required services. Initiating a new procurement process would likely cause lengthy delays in project completion at additional costs, with no benefit to the City.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract Amount	\$212,000.00
This Modification	\$225,000.00
Total Contract Cost	\$437,000.00

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.