| CITY OF COLUMBUS BID EVALUATION FORM | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| | (FC | OR CONTRACTS OVER \$2 | | | | |
| | Department: | Public Utilities | CIP Number: | 690411 | -100005 | |
| | | | • | Watershed Misc. Im | provements - Energy | |
| | Division: | Water | Project Name: | | Lighting | |
| | | | Funding Source: | | Bonds | |
| τ | Description of Description of the District Assessment | ¢124.006.20 | Bid Opening Date: | 12/11/13 | | |
| Lo. | west, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount: | \$124,906.20 | Engineer's Estimate | \$198,754.00 | | |
| | Vendor Name: | Energy Efficient Equip | Capital City Electric | | | |
| | Bid Tab Amount: | \$124,906.20 | \$163,976.27 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | <u>FACTORS</u> | | | | | |
| (D== 0 0) 0 | | | | | | |
| (Pgs.8-9) & Form 1 (Pg. | | | | | | |
| 20) - Contract | Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or | | | | | |
| Compliance | submitted on-line (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | | |
| <u>Form 2 - </u> | | | | | | |
| Claims & Liquidated | Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or | | | | | |
| <u>Damages</u> | has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N) | No | No | | | |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Form 3 - Con | mpliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regul | | | | | |
| | Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with t | 37 | 3.7 | | | |
| | Fair Labor Standards Act Prevailing Wage Laws | No No | No No | | | |
| | Prevaining wage Laws Unemployment Compensation Laws | No No | No | | | |
| | Workers' Compensation Laws | No | No | | | |
| | 5. Bidder has a record of non-compliance with any | | | | | |
| | governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs | No | No | | | |
| | 6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EN | No | Yes | | | |
| | 7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon reques | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | Negative Factors: | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| E 1 St | te and Federal Health and Safety Laws: | | | | | |
| Form 4 - Siai | 1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA | | | | | |
| | violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov) | No | No | | | |
| | 2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSF | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | 3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | 4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/ | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | 5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years | No | No | | | |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Form 5 | Has bidder been debarred/suspended from doing business | | | | | |
| <u>Form 5 -</u> Debarment | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years | No | No | | | |
| <u> </u> | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | * | | <u> </u> | | |
| <u>Form 6 - </u> | | | | | | |
| Local | What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | |
| <u>Workforce</u> | Negative Factors: | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | |
| | rieguire I uctors: | | | | | |
| Form 7 - Hea | alth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs | | | | | |
| | 1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N) | No | Yes | | | |
| | 2. If yes, percentage paid into plan: | | ER: 100% | | | |
| | 3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N) | No | Yes | | | |
| | 4. If yes, percentage paid into plan: | -2 | ER: 100% | 0 | | |
| | Negative Factors: | -2 | U | U | U | |
| Form 8 - | | | | | | |
| Apprenticeship | | | | | | |
| <u>Programs</u> | 1. Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Form 9 - Evn | perience, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED | | | | | |
| <u> 1 σεπ. 7 - Ελβ</u> | Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y/ | Yes | (blank) | | | |
| Form 10 - Ex | xperience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED | | | | | |
| | Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N) | (blank) | (blank) | | | |
| <u> Form 11 - De</u> | eadlines and Cost Control | | | | | |
| Form 12 - Av | Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar constru | see comment | see comment | | | |
| 1 01 m 12 * AV | Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) | Yes | see comment | | | |
| L | (1/11) | 100 | volimont | | l | |

1

ORD# 0049-2014

| ORD# 0049- | | | ~ | | i |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|
| | Vendor Name: | | Capital City Electric | | |
| E 12 E | Bid Tab Amount: | \$124,906.20 | \$163,976.27 | | |
| | ade Licenses and Certificates | ** | 17 | | |
| | Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requir | | No | | |
| | License and/or Certificate No: | General Contractor - G5302 | E1914 (Electrical) | - | |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder | \$124,906.20 | \$124,906.20 | | |
| | Percent Over Low Bid: | 0% | 31% | -100% | -100% |
| F | undamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid) | yes | no | yes | yes |
| | | | | | |
| | ronmental Preference | | | | |
| | Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid | | | | |
| | book? (Y/N) | no | no | | |
| | Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable | | | | |
| | bidder (%) | 0.00% | 31.28% | -100.00% | -100.00% |
| | Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable | | | | |
| | bidder (\$) | \$0.00 | \$39,070.07 | (\$124,906.20) | (\$124,906.20) |
| | Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark | | | | |
| | "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the | | | | |
| | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid. | no | no | | |
| | If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita | | | | |
| | Musser been notified? | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | 11/α | 11/ 4 | | |
| Pas 30-47 - S | l Statements and Affidavits | | | | |
| | Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized | Yes | | | |
| Affidavit | | 0 | Yes | | 0 |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | U |
| D. 46 | | | | | |
| <u>Pg. 46 -</u> Addenda | Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project | | | | |
| Acknlgmt. | (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| Proposal | (1/14) | 168 | 108 | | |
| (Pg. 47) - | | | | | |
| Proposal | | | | | |
| | Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | - 1-g | | * | - | • |
| Pg. 48 - Propo | osal Rond | | | | |
| | Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (| Yes | Yes | | |
| | Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N) | Yes | Yes | | |
| | | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co | Y es 0 | | | 0 |
| | Negative Factors: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | Grand Total Negative Factors: | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | Division's Recommendation: | | Energy Efficient I | Equipment, Inc. | |
| l | | | | | |
| | valuation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Secti | on 102.08, not covered above | e in RED. | | |
| | Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under | | | | |
| - | man one proposar andre | | | | |
| 1) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) | no | no | <u> </u> | |
| 1) | | no no | no no | | |
| 1) 2) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) | | | | |
| 1) 2) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) | | | | |
| 1) 2) 3) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not | no | no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all | no | no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or | no no | no no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) | no | no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to | no no yes | no no yes | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) | no no | no no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract | no no yes no | no no yes no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) | no no yes | no no yes | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where | no no yes no | no no yes no | | |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) | the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) | no no yes no | no no yes no | 0 | |