

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. The names, location by City/State and status of all companies that submitted a competitive bid or RFP/RFSQ for the original contract.

<u>Name</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Majority/MBE/FBE</u>
Burgess & Niple, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
C.T. Consultants, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
DLZ Ohio, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
Dynotec, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	MBE
EMH&T, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
MS Consultants, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
Prime Engr. & Arch., Inc.	Westerville, Ohio	Majority
Resource International, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	FBE
W.E. Stilson Consult. Group, LLC	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
URS Corporation	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
Woolpert Constr. Ser., LLC	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
Stantec Consult. Ser., Inc (formerly R.D. Zande)	Columbus, Ohio	Majority

2. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.

DLZ Ohio, Inc.
6121 Huntley Road
Columbus, Ohio 43229
Telephone: (614) 888-0040
Fax: (614) 848-6712
Contact: David Day, P.E., E-mail: dday@dlz.com
EL008289

3. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

The work includes furnishing professional services for Construction Administration Services during 2008-2010 on projects for the Division of Power and Water (DOPW) and the Division of Sewerage and Drainage (DOSD). The work includes full time or part time construction administration services including resident project inspection for the specific project identified. In general the Consultant will respond to contractor's requests for information, respond to inquiries regarding the interpretation of the contract documents, review contractors claims for additional services and costs, review quality or materials/equipment substitutions and provide recommendations to the City, coordinate project submittals, schedule and chair progress meetings, and other services as directed by the City.

The work for Modification number 1 involved the replacement and or rehabilitation of sanitary and combined sewer infrastructure. The project involved in this specific modification was the Beulah Road Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation (Glen Echo Restoration).

The work for Modification number 2 under this contract includes furnishing professional services for Construction Administration and Construction Inspection Services during 2008-2010 on projects for the Division of Sewerage and Drainage (DOSD) and Storm Water. This

project typically involves the replacement and or rehabilitation of sanitary and combined sewer infrastructure. The projects involved in this specific modification are two sanitary projects: (1) General Construction Contract and (2) 2009 Annual Lining Contract; and one stormwater project: Floodwall Concrete Repair.

4. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The original contract was for a duration of three years beginning in 2008 and ending in 2010. The duration for the two sanitary projects: (1) General Construction Contract and (2) 2009 Annual Lining Contract; and one stormwater project: Floodwall Concrete Repair.

5. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

- Modification No. 1 in the amount of \$257,019.84; contract no. EL009167; Ordinance no. 0093-2009 provided construction administration and construction inspection for the Beulah Road Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation.

6. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The same work identified in section 3 above. The only difference is this is a separate project that will be inspected and administered.

7. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The modification was anticipated and explained in the original legislation.

8. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The original contract selected three firms to provide construction administration services for projects in 2008, 2009, and 2010.

9. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract Amount:	\$ 925,000.00
Modification 1	\$ 257,019.84
Modification 2 (current)	\$ 405,000.00
Total (Orig. + Mod 1, 2)	\$1,587,019.84

Future modifications are anticipated, but unknown at this time.

10. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

A cost proposal was provided by DLZ Ohio, Inc. and reviewed by the DOSD and Storm Water.

11. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract:

No Subs