

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding, or submitting an RFP or RFSQ, for the original contract.

Kokosing Construction Company, Inc. | Columbus, Ohio | Majority Firm
Adams Robinson Enterprises | Dayton, Ohio | Majority Firm
Shook, Inc. | Dayton, Ohio | Majority Firm

The location by City and State of all companies bidding, or submitting an RFP or RFSQ, for the original contract.

Kokosing Construction Company, Inc. | Columbus, Ohio | Majority Firm
Adams Robinson Enterprises | Dayton, Ohio | Majority Firm
Shook, Inc. | Dayton, Ohio | Majority Firm

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding, or submitting an RFP or RFSQ, for the original contract.

Kokosing Construction Company, Inc. | Columbus, Ohio | Majority Firm
Adams Robinson Enterprises | Dayton, Ohio | Majority Firm
Shook, Inc. | Dayton, Ohio | Majority Firm

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

Kokosing Construction Company Inc., 886 McKinley Ave, Columbus, Ohio 43222

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

The Contract provided for the removal of the existing sludge dewatering membrane filter press process equipment, and installation of new sludge dewatering centrifuges. The work includes installation of centrifuge process ancillary equipment, sludge conveyance systems, water heating boilers and electrical distribution equipment.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The Contract Notice to Proceed was issued February 11, 2003. Substantial Completion of construction, defined as completely operational building and process systems, was originally scheduled for January 1, 2005, and is now projected to occur June 10, 2005. Final Completion of construction, defined as all work being complete, was originally scheduled for March, 2005, but is now projected to occur on August 9, 2005. Extension of the duration of the contract has been primarily caused by revisions in work caused by inaccuracies in the design, necessary additional work realized to be needed during construction, and problems with purchase and delivery of equipment.

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

Original Contract: \$11,427,150.00 (EL003060 - January 22, 2003)

The Contract provided for the removal of the existing sludge dewatering membrane filter press process equipment, and installation of new sludge dewatering centrifuges. The work includes installation of centrifuge process ancillary equipment, sludge conveyance systems, water heating boilers and electrical distribution equipment.

The original Contract amount of \$11,427,150.00 included a 5% contingency amount of \$544,150.00. Currently, \$536,904.00 of the contingency amount has been committed to additional work (change orders), resulting in a current contract work amount of \$11,419,904.00. The value of contract work completed is \$11,312,237.00, or 99% of the current contract work amount.

d. Proposed Modification:

Modification No. 1: \$500,000.00

The amount requested under this ordinance is \$500,000.00; an amount that is approximately 4.6% of the original construction cost.

Additional items of work have been identified as necessary, the cost of which will be greater than the remaining contract contingency amount. The additional funding requested by this ordinance is an amount estimated to be sufficient for the cost of known additional work, plus an additional contingency amount to allow for possible costs of work that may occur due to yet unforeseen conditions.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

Modification No. 1: \$500,000.00

The amount requested under this ordinance is \$500,000.00; an amount that is approximately 4.6% of the original construction cost.

Additional items of work have been identified as necessary, the cost of which will be greater than the remaining contract contingency amount. The additional funding requested by this ordinance is an amount estimated to be sufficient for the cost of known additional work, plus an additional contingency amount to allow for possible costs of work that may occur due to yet unforeseen conditions.

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

In any construction project, especially a project for renovation of existing facilities, changes to contract work are expected. Some reasons for additional work include: details of equipment and systems specified to be provided are reviewed and sometimes refined during construction in order to provide a facility that is optimally configured for operation and safety; components of the existing facility are concealed and conditions cannot be accurately determined prior to contract work; latent errors or omissions may exist in the design.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

It is not deemed either feasible or reasonable to suspend work with the entity currently under contract, and undertake continuation of the work under a new procurement. The process for initiating a new procurement would likely cause an additional and lengthy delay in project completion at additional cost, with no benefit to the City.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

It is not deemed either feasible or reasonable to suspend work with the entity currently under contract, and undertake continuation of the work under a new procurement. The process for initiating a new procurement would likely cause an additional and lengthy delay in project completion at additional cost, with no benefit to the City.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

6/20/05