<u>Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing</u> <u>Entering into Contracts:</u> Not Applicable – this legislation is to modify a contract.

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The status, Majority, MBE or FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.

A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

An estimate of the full estimated cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

<u>The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.</u> A total of three firms submitted RFP's, DLZ Ohio, Inc., ms consultants and R.D. Zande & Assc.

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract. All three firms are based in Columbus, Ohio.

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

DLZ's status is listed as MBR (ASN). The other two companies submitting qualifications and proposals for the original contract were Majority owned businesses.

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number. DLZ Ohio, Inc., 6121 Huntley Road, Columbus, OH 43229 original contract number EA 025197-002

<u>A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.</u> See attached document "SWF RWL scope of services"

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

See attached document "Proposed Eng Design Sched-10-31-052"

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

This is the first proposed contract modification.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation) See attached document "Mod 1 scope SWF RWL 11-2-05"

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The original contract provided for engineering design for the work anticipating a substantial portion of the raw-waterline alignment would fall within the right-of-way of SR 23. Easement preparation was indicated as an "If Authorized" task in the original proposal. The current modification was not anticipated at the time of executing the original contract. Ohio Department of Transportation disallowing longitudinal occupancy of the right-of-way in SR 23 for placement of the Raw Water Line has necessitated a substantial portion of this contract modification. The majority of the costs included in this modification are for engineering and surveying services to prepare legal description and plats to be utilized by the City Attorney – Real Estate Division in Land Acquisition activities. Additional costs are included for design of a Telemetry System (low frequency radios) in lieu of the fiber optic system that was originally included in the design scope. The evolution of lower frequency radios over the last several years has made this option more reliable than what was available at the time the contract was executed. The telemetry system will result in lower construction costs than the fiber optic option.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

The consultants have performed substantial work to collect survey information and prepare plans and specifications to bid this work. They consultant will not be able to complete the preparation of the final bid documents without completing additional survey work, document research and engineering. This work will finalize the tasks that have already been started by the design team. If this work is bid out, a new firm would need to undertake substantial work to get to the same point in the project and the current consultant would be unable to complete the current contract by preparing complete bid documents. If a new contract is sought, substantial time will be lost in the consultant selection process, more time would be required by the new consultant reviewing the work completed to date and a higher fee would be anticipated.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost. CONTRACT AMOUNT: Original contract amount \$460,284.00 Modification No.1 \$295,499.94 (see attached spreadsheet "MODIFICATION 1 TOTAL SWF RWL 11-02-05") Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

4/28/05