

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. The names, location by City/State and status of all companies submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

<u>Name</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Majority/MBE/FBE</u>
--------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------

NOTE: This is a construction contract, not an RFP or RFSQ.

Kokosing Construction Co.	Columbus, Ohio	Majority
CJ Mahan Construction Co.	Grove City, Ohio	Majority
The Righter Company	Columbus, Ohio	Majority

2. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.

Kokosing Construction Company
886 McKinley Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43222
(614) 228-1029
EL007159

3. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Construction and furnishing of all equipment, materials and labor to provide improvements to the existing raw water intake facilities consisting of installation of new bar screens and trash rake, retaining wall, low-head dam improvements, replacement of sludge line, and electrical work.

4. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The current completion date of all work associated with the intake is November 22, 2008 and the completion date for all work associated with the low-head dam is December 13, 2008. This has not been revised since execution of the original contract.

5. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

There are no prior modifications.

6. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

- \$110,000.00 – Installation of a guard house, additional pavement lane and associated appurtenances to enhance security at Utilities Complex entrance.
- \$125,000.00 – Full scale rebuilding of the south abutment including sheet pile installation
- \$80,000.00 – Additional grouting to stabilize the existing low-head dam due to unknown voids encountered
- \$31,500.00 – 10% Contingency

7. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

- Regarding the guard house, the Director of Public Utilities directed this to be installed as an increased security measure.
- The South abutment deteriorated beyond the original scope of repairs due to the unseasonably wet June '08. The high flows and the force of the river significantly damaged the South abutment.
- The low-head dam repair quantities were originally estimated by the Design Engineer. The dam was originally constructed in the late 1800's (and significantly rehabbed in 1919 and again in 1936 and in 1950) and was in need of rehabilitation. The true extent of the repairs could not be determined until the dam area was dewatered and fully investigated. The extent of these repairs exceeded the original estimates.

8. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This modification keeps the contractor on the project and on schedule to finalize the Dublin Road Water Plant intake and low-head dam improvements. It was not determined that the additional work at the low-head dam was needed until the contractor built temporary cofferdams and dewatered the dam. At that point it was necessary to make the needed improvements as soon as possible in order to restore the stream flow to the normal conditions. It was not practical at that time to bid the work out to another contractor, in addition the additional cost and time associated with bidding out this work would well exceed any benefit.

9. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

At this time it is not anticipated any additional contract modifications will be required for this construction contract. As with any construction project being performed, it is possible unanticipated conditions may arise which would require a construction contract modification. At this time, the complete estimated cost breakdown is as follows:

Original Contract Amount	\$ 5,715,600.00
Cost of this Modification	<u>\$ 346,500.00</u>
Total Amount	\$ 6,062,100.00

10. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

Costs were submitted by the Contractor and reviewed and verified by the Construction Administrator and Engineering Consulting Team.

11. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract:

Complete Clearing, Decker Construction Co, Ohio Concrete Sawing, Settle Muter Electric, J.A. Guy Inc., Delta Erecting, Contract Dewatering Services