

**Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
<i>Black and Veatch</i>	<i>432167170/9-30-15</i>	<i>Columbus/Oh</i>	<i>Majority</i>
<i>CH2M Hill</i>	<i>302100027/1-7-15</i>	<i>Columbus/Oh</i>	<i>Majority</i>

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

*A modified RFP process was used. This was deemed warranted due to the specialized nature of the project and the strict pre-qualifications included in the request.*

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

*1) Black and Veatch 2) CH2M Hill*

4. **Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.**

*Black and Veatch Corp David Day (Client Manager)  
4016 Townsfair Way, Suite 210,  
Columbus, Ohio, 43219*

*Black and Veatch Corp Pam Lemoine (Project Manager)  
16305 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 230, 636-288-2892  
Chesterfield, MO 63017 [LemoinePR@BV.com](mailto:LemoinePR@BV.com)*

5. **A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.**

*The City of Columbus, Division of Sewerage and Drainage (DOSD) met sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) and combined sewer overflow (CSO) Consent Order requirements in submitting its Wet Weather Management Plan (WWMP) on July 1, 2005. It immediately began the proposed improvements contained therein; and received approval for that plan on January 26, 2009. As part of that plan, DOSD is submit an affordability analysis that includes a rate study predicting sewer user rates needed to complete required capital projects meeting Plan goals. Submission requirements include user rate predictions under construction program duration scenarios of 40, 35, 30, and 25 years.*

*Currently, the City is re-evaluating fundamental technologies employed in the WWMP. Blueprint Columbus is a series of long-term pilot projects seeking to determine the effectiveness of inflow and infiltration (I/I) remediation technologies and "green" infrastructure. The WWMP, as approved, relies almost entirely upon "gray" infrastructure; technologies that accept I/I into the sanitary system and forwards it to the treatment plants. Using this "transport and treat" methodology generally does not degrade the environment; but doesn't enhance it either. Green*

*infrastructure, in contrast, seeks to enhance environmental quality by augmenting and/or mimicking natural processes minimizing or replacing the need for gray infrastructure. Reliance upon gray infrastructure naturally causes an ever-increasing cycle of system upgrades as existing infrastructure degrades and allows ever-increasing amounts of I/I into the system; requiring ever-increasing amounts of re-investment.*

*Blueprint Columbus seeks to replace this strictly grey infrastructure reliance in the WWMP with more green. Thus, a major portion of this project is a comparison between these two technological bases.*

*The scope of work for this project evaluates existing/past affordability analyses and associated rate models, from a number of larger U.S. cities, including Columbus and building a rate model for current conditions. This model will then be used to evaluate the WWMP and Blueprint Columbus programs. The Team will aid in the derivation of the various programs; which is to be devised by other entities outside of this project.*

*This is intended to be a multi-year contract with two or three annual modifications*

**6. A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.**

*The Project task end dates are as follows and includes all City reviews and approvals.*

<i>Proposals out .....</i>	<i>8-26-13 (Actual)</i>
<i>Proposals received.....</i>	<i>9-30-13 (Actual)</i>
<i>Contract Initiation (NTP) .....</i>	<i>8-26-11</i>
<i>Modification 1 (Existing Evaluation &amp; Model Development) .....</i>	<i>2-1-14</i>
<i>Modification 2 (Model Refinement &amp; Application) .....</i>	<i>2-1-15</i>
<i>End (Final Reporting and Clean-up).....</i>	<i>2-1-16</i>

**7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**

*This project enables local and national experts to assess our proposed \$2.5 to \$4 billion wet weather program (WWMP) and provides opportunities for review and revision as required by the WWMP. The possible cost-savings impact is important. Acquiring a national expert, experienced in the process of doing this is essential to providing the maximum amount of protection to the environment with a minimal amount of cost to the rate payers.*

**8. An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.**

<i>Original Contract (Existing Data Evaluation) .....</i>	<i>\$707,700</i>
<i>Modification 1 (Final Evaluations &amp; Model Development).....</i>	<i>\$200,000</i>
<i>Modification 2 (Model Refinement &amp; Application) .....</i>	<i>\$200,000</i>
<i>Total .....</i>	<i>\$1,107,700</i>

9. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
<i>Stratus</i>	<i>pending/N-A</i>	<i>Majority</i>
<i>McMahon/DeGulis</i>	<i>341764792/11-8-14</i>	<i>Majority</i>
<i>Haran, Watson, &amp; Co.</i>	<i>311273495/1-31-14</i>	<i>AS1</i>

10. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Project Role</u>	<u>Contract Value</u>
<i>Stratus</i>	<i>Demographic data for Analysis</i>	<i>\$169,245</i>
<i>McMahon/DeGulis</i>	<i>Strategy development for Ohio laws</i>	<i>\$117,936</i>
<i>Haran, Watson, &amp; Co.</i>	<i>Financial data for FCA</i>	<i>\$17,182</i>

Ord No.:

**Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
-------------	---------------------------	-------------------	---------------

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**
3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**
4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**
5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**
6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**
7. **A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**
8. **A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**
9. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**
10. **If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**
11. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not**

sufficient explanation.)

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.
  
13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.
  
14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):
  
15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Project Role</u>	<u>Contract Value</u>
<i>Stratus</i>	<i>Demographic data for Analysis</i>	<i>\$250,100</i>
<i>McMahon/DeGulis</i>	<i>Strategy development for Ohio laws</i>	<i>\$250,100</i>
<i>Haran, Watson, &amp; Co.</i>	<i>Financial data for FCA</i>	<i>\$250,100</i>

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11