

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: February 27, 2015

PROJECT:	TP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contract	Bid Date:	May 27
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Kenmore C
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction				
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00				
		-100.00%				

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for r				
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES				
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES				
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B10	YES				
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES				
BID IS:		RESPONSIVE				

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: February 27, 2015

PROJECT:	TP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contract	Bid Date:	May 27
-----------------	--	------------------	---------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Kenmore C
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction				
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00				

PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for r				
a	The bidder provided a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM B6	YES				
b	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA				
c	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA				
d	Bidder did not bid more than one subcontractor for each portion of work to be subcontracted. (329.20)(h)(5) FORM B6	YES				
e	Bidder stated, via affidavit , that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA				
f	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES				
BID IS:		RESPONSIVE				

PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for r				
a	Bidder did not submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES				
b	Bidder acknowledged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE PAGE, page 2	NA				

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: February 27, 2015

PROJECT:	TP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contract	Bid Date:	May 27
-----------------	--	------------------	---------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Kenmore C
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction				
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00				
c	Bidder complied with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	YES				
d	The bid contains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES				
e	Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	YES				
f	Bidder submitted a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3	YES				
g	Bidder did not submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3	YES				
h	Bid prices are materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES				
i	Bid contains no irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES				
j	Bidder submitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")	YES				
k	Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) FORM B4 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA				
l	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	YES				
m	Bid does not contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES				
n	Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES				
o	Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES				

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: February 27, 2015

PROJECT:	TP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contract	Bid Date:	May 27
-----------------	--	------------------	---------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Kenmore C
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction				
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE				

PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Other	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yesses" needed for resp					
a	The prime contractor is not currently debarred from doing business with the city by one or more fo the following: the city, state, or federal government. (see links on Tab 5)	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE				

PART IE: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract co					
contract execution.						
a	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES				

Bid Responsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES				

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,934,800.00	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Kenmore	← Manually Enter Information

7, 2015

Construction
2,934,800.00

6th
COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount

(responsive bid)

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

7, 2015

Construction
2,934,800.00

6th
COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount
(responsive bid)

(responsive bid)

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

7, 2015

Construction
2,934,800.00

6th
COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount

onsive bid)

pliance no. prior to

Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	TP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contrac	Bid Date:	May 27, 2015
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):	Kenmore
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 2,934,800.00

CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00	0	0	0	0	0

PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")					
a	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction an adequate and appropriate BONDING CAPACITY for the project. FORM B2, FORM B3	YES					
b	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
d	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
e	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES					
f	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES					
g	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES					
h	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES					
i	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES					
Responsibility Score:		9	0	0	0	0	0

PART IIB: Responsibility Criteria - Serious, Willful OSHA Violations		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")					
a	The bidder has no serious or willful OSHA violations. (See link in Tab 5)	NA					
Responsibility Score:		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Responsibility Score:		9	0	0	0	0	0
Continue to TAB 3?		YES					

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Con	Bid Date:	May 27, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	---------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):	Kenmore
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :	\$ 2,934,800.00

CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder							

IS BID LOCAL?	YES
----------------------	------------

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at [X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

PART IIIA:	
step 1	<p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL, STOP. There are no additional steps on PART III. Continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:	
step 6	<p>REVISED LOW BID, TAB IIIB:</p> <p>\$ 2,934,800.00</p>
step 7	<p>REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:</p> <p>Kenmore Construction</p>

← Manually Enter Amount

← Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	WWTP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contract J-2	Bid Date:	May 27, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	---------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):	Kenmore Construction
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) :	\$ 2,934,800

CIP No.	650259-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Monica Powell	Kenmore Construction	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,852,280.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,934,800.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
Apparent Low Bid:	\$ 2,934,800.00	Apparent Low Bidder:	Kenmore Construction				

PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES					
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA					
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$ -	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 2,934,800.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 2,934,800.00	Low Bidder:	Kenmore Construction			

↑
Manually Enter Amount

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kenmore Construction	\$ 2,934,800.00
Project:	on Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Kenmore Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 2,934,800.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	-100.00%					

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

NO
NO

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

<https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html>

Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?

Pre-Qualification Office email:

prequalification@columbus.gov

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

<https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1>

Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?

Pre-Qualification Office email:

prequalification@columbus.gov

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

OSHA Violations

Go to the US Department of Labor's web site to see if there are any serious or willful violations by the prime contractor

<https://www.osha.gov>

Cross Referenced in Tab 2, Part IIB

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES
YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvent bank

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kenmore Construction	\$ 2,934,800.00
Project:	on Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase	

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: JPWWTP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating, Phase II - Contract J-219

Recommended Bidder: Kenmore Construction

Contract Amount: \$ 2,934,800.00

Evaluator's Name: Mike Pettiford

Evaluation Completion Date: 6/2/2015

Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations
Effective January 1, 2015

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code [Columbus City Code](#)

As of 1/23/15, Municode is not up to date with the new code language for Section 329.
Referer to the attachment in Ord. 2612-2014 until Municode is updated

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA

Instructions:

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are department specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

TAB VII: Notes

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.