		(F	CITY OF COL BID EVALUATI OR CONTRACTS	ON FORM	
	Department: Division:	Public Utilities Water		. , ,	CIP Number: Project Name: Funding Source: Bid Opening Date:
Lo	west, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:	\$2,160,620.98			Engineer's Estimate
	<u>Vendor Name:</u> Bid Tab Amount:	<u>Underground Util.</u> \$2,160,620.98	Beheler \$2,423,208.06	<u>Conie</u> \$2,636,214.00	Eramo & Sons \$2,749,843.98
	<u>FACTORS</u>				
(Pgs.6-7) & Form 1 (Pg. 12) - Contract Compliance Form 2 -	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or submitted on-line (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Claims & Liquidated Damages	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N) Negative Factors:	No 0	No 0	Yes	No 0
Form 3 - Con	pliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regu				
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with t	•	**	**	•
	Fair Labor Standards Act Prevailing Wage Laws	No No	No No	No No	No Yes
	Prevailing wage Laws Unemployment Compensation Laws	No	No	No	No
	4. Workers' Compensation Laws	No	No	No	
	5. Bidder has a record of non-compliance with any	3.7	**	**	•••
	governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs 6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EM	No Yes	No No	No Yes	No Yes
	7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon request	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Negative Factors:	0	-1	0	-1
Form 4 - State	e and Federal Health and Safety Laws: 1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA				
	violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov) 2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSH	Yes Yes	No Yes	No Yes	Yes Yes
	3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	No -1	No 0	No 0	
	Negative Factors:	-1	U	0	-1
Form 5 - Debarment	Has bidder been debarred/suspended from doing business with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years Negative Factors:	No 0	No 0	No 0	
	reguire i actors.	v	v	0	U
Form 6 - Local Workforce	What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties Negative Factors:	4.0%	0.0%	43.0%	82.0%
Form 7 - Hea	lth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs				
	1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)	Yes	ER: 100%	Yes	
	If yes, percentage paid into plan: Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)	ER: 100% Yes	100% Yes	ER: 100% Yes	80% - 20% Yes
	Finder has redictive or pension plan: (1717) 4. If yes, percentage paid into plan: Negative Factors:	ER: 100%	ER: 100%	ER: 3% match up to 3% of gross	ER: 100%
Form 8 -					
Apprenticeship Programs	Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N) Negative Factors:	Yes 0	No -1	No -1	
Form 9 - Exp	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Bidder has adequate quanticularities and guident has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y/	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Form 10 - Ex	perience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED				
	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N) Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)	Yes Yes	Yes "N/A"	Yes Yes	"TBD" Yes
<u>Form 11 - De</u>	adlines and Cost Control	1 68	IV/A	Tes	Tes
	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construc	Yes	see comment	see comment	see comment
Form 12 - Av	ailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Form 13 - Tra	Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) ade Licenses and Certificates	1 es	ı es	res	Yes
	Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requir	Yes	Yes	No	
	License and/or Certificate No: Negative Factors:	SW0106	SW0196, SW0295	SW0035 0	
	riegative ractors.				0
	Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder	\$2,160,620.98			

ORD# 0067-2014

	Vendor Name:	Underground Util.	<u>Beheler</u>	<u>Conie</u>	Eramo & Sons
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$2,160,620.98	\$2,423,208.06	\$2,636,214.00	\$2,749,843.98
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0%	12%	22%	27%
	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)	yes	no	no	no
Pa 30. Envir	onmental Preference				
1 g. 30- Liivii	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid				
	book? (Y/N)	No	No	Yes	No
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	110	110	103	110
	bidder (%)	0.00%	12.15%	22.01%	27.27%
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable				
	bidder (\$)	\$0.00	\$262,587.08	\$475,593.02	\$589,223.00
	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark		·	,	,
	"Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the				
	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.	No	No	No	No
	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita				
	Musser been notified?				
	tatements and Affidavits				
Statement 1:	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Statement 2:	Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Statement 3:	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Affidavit	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
Pg. 41 -	P:11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Addenda	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project				
Acknlgmt.	(Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Proposal (P. 42)					
(Pg. 42) -					
<u>Proposal</u> Signature	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<u>J.C.I.III.I.C.</u>	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
	Tregular of account	Ü	V	v	U
Pg. 43 - Prop	osal Bond				
	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name ()	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	-1	-2	-2	-3
	Division's Recommendation:	Award to Underground	Utilties Inc.		
Additional E	valuation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Sectio	n 102.08, not covered al	bove in RED.		
	Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under				
1)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)	No	No	No	No
2)	Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	No	No	No	No
	Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not				
3)	provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)	No	No	No	No
	Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all				
	material respects, and does not contain irregularities or				
4)	deviations? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to				
5)	accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	No	No	No	No
	Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract				
6)	item listed? (Y/N)	No	No	No	No
	Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where				
7)	required? (Y/N)	No	No	No	No
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0

	Department:	690236-			
	Division:	Sexton Drive A			
Lowest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:			Water Bonds		
		12/11 \$3,461,			
L	owest, Dest, Most Responsive, Responsible Did Tab Amount.	φ3,401,	317.70		
	<u>Vendor Name:</u> Bid Tab Amount:	Elite Excavating \$2,798,017.33	<u>Shelly & Sands</u> \$2,852,588.23	Cols. Asphalt Pav. \$2,911,501.00	
	EACTORS				
	<u>FACTORS</u>				
(Pgs.6-7) & Form 1 (Pg.					
12) - Contract Compliance	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or submitted on-line (Y/N)	Yes	No	Ye	
Form 2 -					
Claims & Liquidated	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or				
<u>Damages</u>	has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N)	No	No	N	
	Negative Factors:	0	-1	(
Form 3 - Coi	mpliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regu				
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with t 1. Fair Labor Standards Act	No	No	N	
	Fair Labor Standards Act Prevailing Wage Laws	No No	No No	No.	
	Trevaining wage Laws Unemployment Compensation Laws	No	No	N	
	Workers' Compensation Laws	No	No	N	
	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with any	2.0	-10	***	
	governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs	No	No	No	
	6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EM	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon request	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
Form 4 - Sta	te and Federal Health and Safety Laws:				
101m 4 Sta	Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA				
	violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)	Yes	Yes	No	
	2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSH	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	No	No	No	
	Negative Factors:	-1	-1		
Form 5 -	Has bidder been debarred/suspended from doing business				
Debarment	with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years	No	No	No	
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
Form 6 - Local Workforce	What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties	0.0%	52.0%	75.0%	
TTOTAL OF CC	Negative Factors:	0.070	32.070	13.07	
Form 7 - Hee	alth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs				
	1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	If yes, percentage paid into plan: Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)	see comment Yes	ER: 100% Yes	ER: 100% Ye:	
	3. Bidder has retirement of pension plan: (1/N)	168	1 08	16	
	4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	ER: 4%	ER: 100%	ER: 100%	
	Negative Factors:	0	0	(
Form 8 - Apprenticeship					
Programs	Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
Form 9 - Exp	perience, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED				
	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Form 10 E.	Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y/xperience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED	Yes	Yes	Yes	
_ orm 10 - E.	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye	
	Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye	
				see commen	
<u>Form 11 - D</u>	eadlines and Cost Control Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar constructions.	see comment	see comment	acc commen	
Form 11 - Do	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construc	see comment	see comment		
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction vailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)	see comment Yes	see comment Yes		
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction vailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) rade Licenses and Certificates	Yes	Yes	ye	
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction variability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) rade Licenses and Certificates Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	ye Ye	
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction vailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) rade Licenses and Certificates	Yes	Yes Yes No	ye	
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction vailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) rade Licenses and Certificates Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N) Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requir	Yes Yes (blank)	Yes Yes	ye Ye No	
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction wailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) rade Licenses and Certificates Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N) Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requir License and/or Certificate No:	Yes Yes (blank) SW0088, G4169	Yes Yes No No City License listed	ye Ye No	
Form 12 - A	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construction wailability Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N) rade Licenses and Certificates Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N) Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requir License and/or Certificate No:	Yes Yes (blank) SW0088, G4169	Yes Yes No No City License listed	ye Ye No	

ORD# 0067-2014

Pg. 30- Enviror I t t I I I I Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	Bid Tab Amount: Percent Over Low Bid: undamentally Equivalent Bid ('Le., within 10% of low bid) Immental Preference Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally prefered bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?	\$2,798,017.33 30% no see comment 29.50% \$637,396.35	\$2,852,588.23 32% no see comment 32.03% \$691,967.25	\$2,911,501.00 35% ne see commen
Pg. 30- Enviror I t t I I I I I Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	mmentally Equivalent Bid ('Le., within 10% of low bid) mmental Preference Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	see comment 29.50% \$637,396.35	see comment 32.03%	see commer
Pg. 30- Enviror I t I I I I Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	nmental Preference Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	see comment 29.50% \$637,396.35	see comment 32.03%	see commer
I b I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	29.50% \$637,396.35	32.03%	
I b t I t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	29.50% \$637,396.35	32.03%	
t I t t t t t t t t	book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	29.50% \$637,396.35	32.03%	
I t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	29.50% \$637,396.35	32.03%	
t I t t t t	bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	\$637,396.35		34.75%
I b I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	\$637,396.35		34.75%
t I I I Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	bidder (\$) Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	. ,	\$691,967.25	
I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	. ,	\$691,967.25	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	"Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	X7		\$750,880.02
1 I M Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	**		
I Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	XT .		
Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No	No	N
Pgs. 31-34 - Sta	Musser been notified?			
	atements and Affidavits			
	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)	Yes	"No"	Ye
	Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye
Statement 3:	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye
Affidavit I	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	Yes	Yes	Ye
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
Pg. 41 -				
	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project			
	(Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye
<u>Proposal</u>				
(Pg. 42) <u>-</u>				
<u>Proposal</u>	D'II I I I I I I D I I G' I AVAD	37		17
<u>Signature</u> I	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
Pg. 43 - Propos	and Band			
	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (1)	Yes	Vac	V
			Yes	Ye
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye
	Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Ye
1	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	Yes	Yes	Ye
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
	Constant Tetal New Control	-1	-2	
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	-1	-2	
	Division's Recommendation:			
	•			
Additional Eva	aluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section			
J	Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under			
1) t	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)	No	No	N
2)	Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	No	No	N
	Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not			
	provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)	No	No	N
3)	Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all	110	110	
	material respects, and does not contain irregularities or			
Í		***	NT.	Ye
Î	=	Yee	NO	
4) (1	deviations? (Y/N)	Yes	No	-
4) (d)	deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to			
4) (4) I	deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	Yes No	No No	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract	No	No	N
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)			N N
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	deviations? (Y/N) Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract	No	No	N