ORD# 0764-2012					
	CITY OF COLU BID EVALUATIO				
	Department:	Public Utilities	OCA Code:		
	Division:	Water	Project Name: Funding Source:	Utility Cut & Restoration - 2012 Water Operating Fund 3/21/12 \$1,250,000.00	
	Lowest Bidder:	\$1,136,997.50	Bid Opening Date: Engineer's Estimate		
	Non-Local Lowest Bidder:	\$1,136,997.50			
		Decker Construction			
	Bid Tab Amount: Local Company? (Proof s/b included.)	\$1,136,997.50 yes			
		,			
	FACTORS Tier I - Mandatory Factors				
M-1	Bid is responsive (Y or N)	yes			
M-2 M-3	Bidder is compliant with city taxes on payroll and net profits (Y or N)	yes			
M-4	Bidder will make financial statements available upon request (Y or N) Bidder is currently debarred from doing business with any govt. agency (Y or N)	yes no			
M-5	Bidder & subs have requisite licenses (Y or N)	yes			
M-6	Bidder & subs have provided a contract compliance #, or application (Y or N) Proceed to Tier II?	yes yes	no	no	no
	Troceca to Tier 11:	yes	110	110	110
~ .	Tier II - Creditable Factors				
C-1	Bidder is eligible for local credit? - (see below for clarification) If lowest bidder is local, they do receive local credit, as long as there is a non-local bidder. If the lowest bidder IS NOT local and another bidder is local, then the local bidder receives credit. Local credit is 1% of the apparent low, non-local bidder, up to a maximum of \$20,000.	yes	no	no	no
C-2	Environmental Preference: To receive preference, bidder must include documentation that meets guidelines				
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y or N) Difference versus lowest bidder (%)	yes 0.0%	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Difference versus lowest bidder (%)	\$0.00	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Does bidder receive environmental preference? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than	•			
	\$20,000, of the lowest bid. Total Local Credit	1.00%	NA	NA	NA
	Dollar Value of Credits	\$11,369.98		NA NA	NA NA
	Evaluated Bid Total:	\$1,125,627.53	NA	NA	NA
	Low Bid Dollar Amount After Credits	\$1,125,627.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0%	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid after credits)	yes		#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Proceed to Tier III?	yes	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Tier III - Employer & History Factors				
E-1	Bidder has local workforce? (Y or N) Bidder is a quality training contractor? (Y or N)	yes			
E-2 E-3	Bidder has health insurance? (Y or N)	yes yes			
E-4	Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y or N)	yes			
TIE 1	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with City of Columbus affirmative action programs	no			
HE-2	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with federal, state and local affirmative action programs	no			
	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds	no			
	Bidder has paid liquidated damages in the past 3 years Principals or principal employees of bidder have record of fraud, collusion, etc.	no no			
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with the following:	no			
	A. Fair Labor Standards Act	no			
	B. Prevailing Wage Laws C. Unemployment Compensation Laws	no			
	D. Worker's Compensation Laws	no no			
	Bidder has a record of "willful or "serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years (verify on				
HE-7 HE-8	OSHA.gov) Bidder has an adequate health and safety plan and supporting documentation	no yes			
HE-9	Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EMR	yes			
	Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no			
HE-11	The results of Bidder's references are questionable Total Negative History and Experience Factors:	0		0	0
	A negative score in tier III may result in further investigation of bid, if merited.		· ·	v	
	Bid investigated with satisfactory outcome? (Requires user input)	yes			
	Proceed to Tier IV?	yes	no	no	no
	Tier IV - Resource Factors (yes answers desirable)				
The fiel	Yer IV entails examining resource factors of bidder specific to the project for which the bid was solicited. d of eligible bidders will be determined through application of Tiers I through III. In Tier IV, a review of a				
R-1	Bidder has sufficient physical resources to reasonably complete this project (e.g., eqpt.)	yes			
R-2	Bidder's management has sufficient experience to ensure completion of project	yes			
R-3	Bidder's workforce has sufficient experience to complete this project	yes			
R-4 R-5	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel proposed for this project Bidder does not have too many current projects to take on this project	yes yes			
	Total Number of "Yes" Answers	5	0	0	0
	Will I'm		D. i. c	and a contract of	
l	Division's Recommendation:		Decker Const	ruction Co.	