Steege, Jerry K.

From: Kelsey, Mark

Sent: Monday, December 03, 2012 2:55 PM

To: Cofield, Alex A.

Cc: Atkinson, Jennifer L.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall;

Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephane, Thomas H.; Tilton, Pick C.; Wontzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hessen V.

Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y. RE: RECOMMENDATION: Intersection Improvements - Safety Projects General Engineering

Subject: RE: RECOMMENDATION: Intersection Improvements - Safety Projects General I

I approve of the recommended consultant for selection

From: Cofield, Alex A.

Sent: Monday, December 03, 2012 12:02 PM

To: Kelsey, Mark

Cc: Atkinson, Jennifer L.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson,

Valuise E.; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.

Subject: RECOMMENDATION: Intersection Improvements - Safety Projects General Engineering

To: Mark Kelsey, Director

Department of Public Service

From: Alex Cofield, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: December 3, 2012

Subject: Intersection Improvements – Safety Projects General Engineering

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the Intersection Improvements – Safety Projects General Engineering Contract. The budget is \$250,000; amount of the contract shall be \$250,000 funded by 2012 DPS bonds.

The intent of this project is to provide the City of Columbus, Department of Public Service with continuing contractual access to additional resources that are necessary to perform various safety related professional engineering, survey, and technical services.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from October 30, 2012, to November 20, 2012. The city received six (6) responses. All proposals were deemed responsive and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on November 29, 2012. The responding firms were:

	BUSINESS	CONTRACT			
CONSULTANT/	ENTERPRISE	COMPLIANCE		% OF	% MAJORITY
Subconsultant	STATUS	NO.	ACTIVE	WORK	% OTHER

					70.00% MAJ
GPD Group	MAJ	341134715	Y	70.00%	30.00% OTH
Dynotec	MBE	311319961	Υ	15.00%	
Resource International	FBE	310669793	Υ	10.00%	
AEC	FBE	311612308	Υ	5.00%	
					82.00% MAJ
W. E. Stilson	MAJ	311702689	Υ	72.00%	18.00% OTH
Resource International	FBE	310669793	Υ	18.00%	
E.L. Robinson Eng.	MAJ	311725058	Υ	10.00%	
					60.00% MAJ
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	MAJ	251228638	N	60.00%	40.00% OTH
Resource International	FBE	310669793	Υ	20.00%	
Cad Concepts Inc.	FBE	311390280	Υ	18.00%	
AEC	FBE	311612308	Υ	2.00%	
DLZ	ASN	311268980	Y	80.00%	100.00% OTH
Columbus Engineering Consultants	AS1	310716498	Υ	15.00%	
Burton Planning Services	FBE	263803465	Υ	5.00%	
					75.00% MAJ
Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.	MAJ	370844662	Υ	75.00%	25.00% OTH
Barr & Prevost	AS1	311347309	Υ	5.00%	
Dynotec	MBE	311319961	Υ	20.00%	
The 84 and 10 County C	B .C	24420522		05.000	85.00% MAJ
The Mannik & Smith Group	MAJ	341206380	Υ	85.00%	15.00% OTH
Blue-J Surveying	FBE	264803478	Υ	15.00%	

This RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Jennifer Gallagher, Patti Austin, and Randall Bowman. Non-voting members, but attendees, included, Jerry Steege. A representative from EBOCO was invited to attend, but declined.

GPD Group received 90.00 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100). The next closest firm was W.E. Stilson with 85.33 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee's assessment of the six evaluated proposals for your final selection.

GPD Group 90.00 W.E. Stilson 85.33

DLZ, Ohio	80.00
Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.	79.00
Michael Baker, Jr.	78.33
The Mannik & Smith Group	76.00

The Committee would like to submit GPD Group for the Director's review and recommendation. The Committee felt that GPD Group submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

- 1. GPD Group has a strong project manager and assembled a good team for the project.
- 2. GPD Group has a lot of safety experience.
- 3. GPD Group's proposal mentioned working with the neighborhood and general public.
- 4. GPD Group really understood what the Department is looking for in a Safety General Engineering contract.

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

- 1. Approve of the committee's recommendation, GPD Group
- 2. Reject the committee's recommendations and designate a firm
- 3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scoring firms
- 4. Other

Alex Cofield

Capital Fiscal Manager City of Columbus Department of Public Service Office of Support Services 109 N. Front St. Ground Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

(p) 614-645-1557 (f) 614-645-7549 aacofield@columbus.gov