

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Resource International
GoldTech
SHI International
Silicon Alley Group
Savepoint Consulting
Rainbow Data Systems
AXIA
Marlabs Innovations
Centric
TEK Systems

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Resource International - Columbus, OH
GoldTech – Hilliard, OH
SHI International – Somerset, NJ
Silicon Alley Group – Iselin, NJ
Savepoint Consulting – Columbus, OH
Rainbow Data Systems – Beavercreek, OH
AXIA – Columbus, OH
Marlabs Innovations – Piscataway, NJ
Centric – Kettering, OH
TEK Systems – Dublin, OH

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Resource International - FBE
GoldTech - MBE
SHI International - FBE
Silicon Alley Group - FBE
Savepoint Consulting - Majority
Rainbow Data Systems - MBE
AXIA - Majority
Marlabs Innovations - Majority
Centric - Majority
TEK Systems - Majority

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract

Number.

Resource International - Columbus, OH (EL012220)

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

The project scope entails administration of the Department of Public Utilities' "Work and Asset Management (WAM)" system with a full enterprise license. The goal is to leverage the Department's mission critical data and systems in order to empower them to provide service to their customers that is more proactive, transparent, and data driven. The consultant will provide database administration and system administration duties, and facilitate performance tracking and reporting.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

Services under this agreement are to be provided over a period of five years. Funds for the project shall be reviewed and approved each year of the five year contract by City Council and the Mayor, and Auditor's certification of funds. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement to the contrary, the maximum obligation of the City for services described in this agreement for the period commencing on December 1, 2011 through November 30, 2012 ("Phase 1") is limited to the amount of three hundred thousand dollars \$300,000.00, unless all the following occur: this Agreement is modified in writing; City Council enacts an ordinance approving the new amount; the Mayor has authorized the additional amount; and the Auditor has certified the additional funds. The City is not obligated to spend the maximum obligation authorized under this Agreement. Phase 2 costs under this agreement for the period from December 1, 2012 through November 30, 2013 are estimated at two hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00). Phase 3 costs for the period from December 1, 2013 through November 30, 2014 are estimated at two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000.00). Phase 4 costs for the period from December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015 are estimated at one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00). Phase 5 costs for the period from December 1, 2015 through November 30, 2016 are estimated at one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00).

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

No modifications to date.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

This contract modification would consist of the same type of work described above.

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This modification to extend the contract was anticipated and is provided for in the original contract terms.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

This contract modification would consist of the same type of work described above (Phase 2).

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original contract (Phase 1)	\$ 300,000.00
This modification #1 (Phase 2)	<u>\$ 154,460.00</u>
Total contract estimate to date	\$ 454,460.00

It is anticipated that this contract will be increased in the amount of:

Phase 3: \$200,000.00

Phase 4: \$150,000.00

Phase 5: \$100,000.00