

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,537,190.19

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$2,537,190.19	\$2,791,711.71	\$2,802,828.47	\$2,974,362.30	\$3,138,090.33	\$3,206,246.35
		10.03%	0.40%	6.12%	5.50%	2.17%	

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B10	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,537,190.19

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$2,537,190.19	\$2,791,711.71	\$2,802,828.47	\$2,974,362.30	\$3,138,090.33	\$3,206,246.35

PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder provided a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	If subcontractors are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
c	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
e	If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
f	Bidder stated, via affidavit , that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
g	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
BID IS:		RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,537,190.19

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$2,537,190.19	\$2,791,711.71	\$2,802,828.47	\$2,974,362.30	\$3,138,090.33	\$3,206,246.35

PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	Bidder did not submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	Bidder acknowledged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE PAGE, page 2	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
c	Bidder complied with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	The bid contains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
e	Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
f	Bidder submitted a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
g	Bidder did not submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
h	Bid prices are materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
i	Bid contains no irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
j	Bidder submitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
k	Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) FORM B4 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
l	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
m	Bid does not contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
n	Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
o	Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: July 20, 2015

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,537,190.19

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$2,537,190.19	\$2,791,711.71	\$2,802,828.47	\$2,974,362.30	\$3,138,090.33	\$3,206,246.35

PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution.					
a	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$2,537,190.19	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Conie	← Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 2,537,190.19

CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	NA	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,537,190.19	\$ 2,791,711.71	\$ 2,802,828.47	0	\$ 3,138,090.33	\$ 3,206,246.35

PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")					
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES
e	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES	NA	YES		YES	YES
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES	YES	YES		NA	YES
Responsibility Score:		8	7	8	0	7	8
Continue to TAB 3?		YES	YES	YES		YES	YES

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :	\$ 2,537,190.19

CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	NA	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,537,190.19	\$ 2,791,711.71	\$ 2,802,828.47	NA	\$ 3,138,090.33	\$ 3,206,246.35
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder							

IS BID LOCAL?	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES
----------------------	------------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at [X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

PART IIIA:	
step 1	<p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL, STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	10.032%	10.470%	NA	23.684%	26.370%
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NO	NA	NO	NO
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:	
step 6	<p>REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:</p> <p>\$2,537,190.19</p>
step 7	<p>REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:</p> <p>Conie</p>

← **Manually Enter Amount**

← **Manually Enter Information**

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) :	\$ 2,537,190

CIP No.	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	NA	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,537,190.19	\$ 2,791,711.71	\$ 2,802,828.47	NA	\$ 3,138,090.33	\$ 3,206,246.35
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
Apparent Low Bid:	\$ 2,537,190.19	Apparent Low Bidder:	Conie				

PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	NO	YES		NO	NO
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	10.032%	10.470%	NA	23.684%	26.370%
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 2,537,190.19	\$ 2,771,711.71	\$ 2,782,828.47	NA	\$ 3,118,090.33	\$ 3,186,246.35
step 7	Low Bid Amount: \$2,537,190.19	Low Bidder: Conie					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Conie	\$ 2,537,190.19
Project:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

<i>Bidders</i>	Conie	Underground	Danbert	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Bid	\$ 2,537,190.19	\$ 2,791,711.71	\$ 2,802,828.47	\$ 2,974,362.30	\$ 3,138,090.33	\$ 3,206,246.35
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	10.03%	0.40%	6.12%	5.50%	2.17%	

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

YES
YES

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES
YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvent bank

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

YES

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

NO
NO

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/>

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's
Recommended Bidder:	Conie Construction Co.
Contract Amount:	\$2,537,190.19

Evaluator's Name:	Missy Smith 645-3776
Evaluation Completion Date:	7/30/2015

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

<https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html>

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Conie	\$ 2,537,190.19
Project:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

<https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1>

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

<http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx>

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

<https://www.osha.gov>

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email:

prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

PROJECT:	Deland Ave. Area Water Line Imp's	Bid Date:	July 29, 2015
-----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Conie
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 2,537,190.19

Lowest Responsive Bidder:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.:	690236-100059	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Conie	Underground	Danbert	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,500,672.70	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$2,537,190.19	\$2,791,711.71	\$2,802,828.47	\$2,974,362.30	\$3,138,090.33	\$3,206,246.35
		10.03%	0.40%	6.12%	5.50%	2.17%	

Responsiveness Criteria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance A "NO" answer will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required information submitted prior to contract execution.

FORM B11	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FORM B12	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FORM B13.1	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to show it has met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE (if "NO," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see immediately below)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
FORM B13.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
FORM B13.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	N/A	No	No	Yes	No	No
FORM B14	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,537,190.19	←	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Conie	←	Manually Enter Information

TAB VII: Notes

TAB VII: Notes cont...

Conie	Underground Utilities	Danbert, Inc.	Elite Excavating Co. of Ohio, Inc.	Shelly & Sands	Kenmore Construction Co., Inc.	Eramo & Sons, Inc.	Savko & Sons
Tab 1, Part 1B-b: Which subs are licensed?				Tab 1, Part 1B-b: Which subs are licensed?			
Conie didn't mark U.S. Utility's "loop detector" work as being licensed electrical work,. Evan thinks it is so changed to Yes; ok'd by Bill O'Malley 7/30/15.	Smith Paving & Shelly & Sands were listed as subs but not needing prequalification; S&S is pre-qualified (but not necessary for this job).	Bakarr Enterprises & Kokosing Construction were listed as subs but not needing prequalification; Kokosing is pre-qualified (but not necessary for this job).	Shelly & Sands & Oglesby Construction were listed as subs but not needing prequalification; S&S is pre-qualified (but not necessary for this job).	MS Trucking, Lionel Construction, & Griffin Pavement Striping were listed as subs but not needing prequalification.	Strawser Paving, Paul Peterson, and Griffin Pavement STRiping were listed as subs but not needing prequalification; Griffin Pavement Striping is pre-qualified (but not necessary for this job).	Bid amount = \$3,409,285.91	Bid amount = \$4,519,097.73
Tab 1, Part 1C-5: Acknowledged Addendum #1?			Did not acknowledge addendum; non-responsive.	Tab 1, Part 1C-5: Acknowledged Addendum #1?			
Tab 2, Part IIA-h: # of Current Projects:				Tab 2, Part IIA-h: # of Current Projects:			
				Marked NA. Submitted list of 140+ projects.			
Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:							
# of projects meeting costs: 2 out of 3	# of projects meeting costs: 3 out of 3	# of projects meeting costs: 6 out of 12		# of projects meeting costs: 3 out of 5	# of projects meeting costs: 2 out of 3		
# of projects meeting schedules: out of 3	# of projects meeting schedules: only completion dates given, start dates. Marked "NA".	# of projects meeting schedules: 7 out of 7 (with dates provided)		# of projects meeting schedules: 2 out of 5	# of projects meeting schedules: 1 out of 3		
Tab 4, Part IV-step 2: Environmental Preference:							
				Submitted weak plan so marked No.	Submitted Fleet Policy but no schedule to retrofit/retrograde current vehicles with emission-reducing technologies.		
Tab 5, Subcontractors Contract Compliant?				Tab 5, Subcontractors Contract Compliant?			
Marked "Yes" for now; cannot contract with Conie until 2 subs are activated.							
Tab 6, Form B13.1 thru 13.3 - MBE/WBE Goals Met:				Tab 6, Form B13.1 thru 13.3 - MBE/WBE Goals Met:			
	Submitted figures for Rath Builders Supply (both WBE & MBE), but they are a supplier, not subcontractor.	Only submitted Bakarr Enterprises at 3% MBE...no 1.8% for WBE.		13.1 thru 13.3: Only submitted MS Trucking @ 2% WBE. Submitted Lionel Construction @ 1.5% but they are MBR, not MBE. 13.2-3: Does not have 13.2 Form from a MBE company.	Submitted figures for Rath Builders Supply (both WBE & MBE), but they are a supplier, not subcontractor.		
Tab 6, Good Faith Efforts:				Tab 6, Good Faith Efforts:			
	Would not have submitted documentation because they are using Rath Builders Supply as WBE/MBE.	Did not submit statement.	Submitted copy of where they advertised on Builders Exchange.	Would not have submitted documentation because they are using Lionel Construction as MBE.	Would not have submitted documentation because they are using Rath Builders Supply as WBE/MBE.		