Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. <u>The names, contract compliance no., location by City/State and status of all companies</u> <u>submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name	C.C. No.	City/State	Maj/MBE/FBE
GGJ, Inc.	34-1527864	Eastlake/Ohio	Major
Ribway Engineering Group, Inc.	31-1406579	Columbus/Ohio	M1A
URS Corporation - Ohio	34-0939859	Columbus/Ohio	Major

<u>Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.</u> URS Corporation - Ohio 277 West Nationwide Boulevard

Columbus, Ohio 43215-2566 Attn: Brian Macy, P.E., (614) 464-4500

3. <u>A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.</u>

The purpose of this project is to make necessary upgrades to the Morrison Road Booster Station. The scope of work for this upgrade project includes design services for the following items: new pad mounted electrical service transformer, new underground primary conduit for power company use, electric service metering modifications as required, new switchgear and motor control center, addition of a permanent natural gas powered generator to supply emergency power, demolition of existing transformers, obsolete electrical work, obsolete fencing and concrete, restoration of disturbed areas, general evaluation of booster station condition for recommendation, and design of additional required improvements. Any site design shall meet all City of Columbus stormwater requirements, which will include an approved CC Drawing. The consultant will prepare the construction drawings and design specifications for the project.

4. <u>A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.</u> Contract completion to be within 420 calendar days after the date of the Agreement is signed.

5. <u>An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.</u> \$130,525.00

 6. <u>Sub-Consultants (if authorized) identified to work on this contract</u>: Lee Testing & Engineering, Inc. – C.C. #44-12224011 – M1A - geotechnical investigation Resource International, Inc. – C.C. #31-0669793 – F1 - site survey, utility locating, and easements

Other Notes:

The names of all companies who submitted an RFSQ on March 21, 2008.

American Structurepoint, Inc. Bird & Bull Brown & Caldwell **Burgess & Niple Chester Engineers** Civil & Env. Consultants, Inc. CT Consultants, Inc. DLZ Ohio. Inc. Dynotec Inc. EMH&T E.P. Ferris & Associates, Inc. Floyd Browne Group Gannett Fleming GGJ, Inc. Gresham, Smith and Partners HDR Engineering, Inc. Hockaden and Associates, Inc. Jones-Stuckey Ltd. K.E. McCartney & Associates, Inc. Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc. M.E. Companies Moody Nolan ms Consultants P & L Systems, Inc. Poggemeyer Design Group Pomeroy & Associates Prime Engineering **Resource International Ribway Engineering Group** Stantec Consulting Services URS Corporpation - Ohio WEC Engineers of Ohio, Inc. W.E.Stilson Woolpert, Inc.

Scoring criteria and how the recommended bidder was determined:

1.	Proposal Quality:	50 Points Total		
	How easily does the proposal lend itself to rev	view and evaluation? (5 points)		
	Does Consultant present and explain their approach in a clear/concise manner?			
	(15 points)			
	How effectively does the Offeror's approach a	address project requirements? (15 points)		
	Does Offeror demonstrate knowledge of project site conditions? (15 points)			
2.	Project Schedule:	25 Points Total		
	Did Offeror present a realistic and achievable project timeline? (10 points)			

Did Offeror present a realistic and achievable project timeline? (10 points) Are task hours reasonable to complete all tasks in the scope? (15 Points)

3. Environmentally Preferable Offeror:

5 Points Total

Does the proposal discuss the use of environmentally beneficial methods and technologies?

4. Local Workforce:

20 Points Total

At least 90% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted, or at least 90% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to the office location within Franklin County if office established prior to 1995. (20 points)

At least 75% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted. (15 points)

At least 90% of the Team's labor will be performed in an office location within Franklin County but outside of the Columbus Corporate limits on the date the proposal is submitted. (15 points)

At least 50% of the Team's project labor costs are assignable to employees paying City of Columbus income tax on the date the proposal is submitted. (10 points)

Note: The offeror shall indicate their percentage of local workforce and show how this number was determined. The Team includes the prime consultant and all sub-consultants.

Total Available Points:

100 Points

An evaluation committee reviewed the proposals and scored them based on the above criteria. URS Corporation - Ohio was the firm selected to be recommended to the Director to perform the design services for this project.